



FROM THE PRESIDENT

What moves the needle?

Factors that influence NCMB decisions and policy

One thing I've learned in more than five years of service on the North Carolina Medical Board is that EVERYONE has an opinion—often a strong one—about the Board and how it goes about its work. Let's face it, as the regulator of more than 40,000 physicians, physician assistants and other medical professionals, the NCMB holds their livelihoods in its hands and that isn't something that endears the Board to the typical licensee. Many naturally prefer an arms-length relationship with the Board that involves little beyond their license. The less contact with the Medical Board the better, right?

Unfortunately, this approach often means that licensees remain in the dark about important aspects of the Board's work, which can lead to wrong and even damaging assumptions. I've heard colleagues opine that the Board is unfair, overly aggressive, or that its handling of disciplinary matters does not always seem consistent. Sometimes, the individuals raising concerns do not have accurate or complete information. In this article, I want to pull back the curtain and give you a glimpse into how the Board approaches its disciplinary and policy work in hopes of clearing some misconceptions.

The primary influences on Board decisions are:

1. The Board's makeup
2. Precedent
3. Licensee input
4. The law

First and foremost, it's essential to understand that the Medical Board is not a static institution. Members are appointed by the Governor for a three-year term, with the possibility to "re-up" for a second consecutive term, or six years of total service. Moreover, Board Member terms are staggered so that the Board always has a mix of more experienced members and less experienced members. In other words the membership of the Board is constantly evolving, which of course influences the collective Board's perspective—on disciplinary matters, on policy matters and on subtler points such as the appropriate role of the Medical Board's staff. Simply put, different Board Members have different priorities and sensitivities. Matters that are of the utmost significance to one Board Member may be of less interest to others. This is one reason Board priorities shift over time, as Board lead-



NCMB President, Dr. Paul S. Camnitz, says "licensees remain in the dark about important aspects of the Board's work, which can lead to wrong and even damaging assumptions."

IN THIS ISSUE

- | | | | |
|----|--|----|-------------------------------------|
| 3 | Drug overdose prevention law | 22 | Meet the Board's newest members |
| 3 | Free training for new death certificate form | 23 | NCMB bids farewell to former member |
| | | 25 | Quarterly Board Actions Report |
| 21 | PA site visits: Are you in compliance? | 28 | Drug take-back day |

FROM THE PRESIDENT

ership changes and as members come and go. If you don't agree with the Board's decisions and priorities, you might consider applying for a seat on the NCMB yourself. With physician members rotating on and off every few years, opportunities to serve arise with some frequency.

Conversely, the staff of the Medical Board is quite stable. Many staff members have served the Board for 10, 20 or, in a few instances, even 30 years. As such, the staff is an essential source of institutional memory and, in the context of disciplinary cases, the keepers of Board "precedent." In evaluating and discussing disciplinary cases, Board Members often call upon members of the staff to summarize how similar matters have been handled in the past. Now, that doesn't mean the Board always adheres to precedent, but it is an important starting point for many disciplinary matters. After considering precedent, the Board typically then considers both aggravating (harm caused to others, prior occurrences of similar behavior or prior disciplinary history) and mitigating (corrective action taken, no prior history of problems) factors before coming to a decision about how to resolve a particular case.

A third factor that influences decisions is various types of input from licensees.

You are most likely aware that the Board routinely solicits feedback from licensees with regard to matters of policy. This feedback includes testimony and other input from the North Carolina Medical Society, the NC Academy of Physician Assistants and other professional groups, roundtable discussions that include representatives from stakeholder groups, and direct surveys of licensees such those conducted in connection with the recent policy changes pertaining to treating and prescribing to self or family.

Licensees who are the subject of Board investigations are also provided ample opportunity to tell "their side" of the story. When the Board investigates complaints from patients or others, licensees are contacted and asked to provide a detailed response (there are some exceptions — licensees are typically not required to respond to cases when the conduct alleged does not violate the Medical Practice

Act, though they are notified of the complaint.) During the investigative process, licensees may be invited to the Board offices to participate in a confidential interview with members of the Board. Even when the Board votes to issue charges against a licensee, the licensee in question has the right to a conference with one or more members of the Board prior to the issuance of those charges. Each of these opportunities for contact between licensee and Board represent a chance for the licensee to defend his or her actions and conduct.

Finally, Board actions and decisions must stay within the framework set forth by our legislature. This can be fraught with conflict since the law is frequently open to interpretation. Grey zones abound, but the Board's mission as prescribed by law remains clear—We stand for the benefit and protection of the people of North Carolina.

As our environment evolves, we must evolve as well—electronic medical records, telemedicine, distance learning, hospitalists, urgent care centers and concierge medicine all present unique challenges and questions. The Board is actively pondering some of these issues now.

It is natural, when you are part of an institution such as the North Carolina Medical Board, to defend the status quo. I pledge to do my best not to fall into that trap. And with that pledge, I offer a challenge to you: To be an active part of your Medical Board. Send us your suggestions and together we will find solutions to our knottiest issues.

Send comments to forum@ncmedboard.org

Paul S. Camnitz

Facts about your new Board president

City: Greenville, NC

Appointed: Nov. 1, 2008 | **Term ends:** Oct. 31, 2014

Specialty: Otorhinolaryngology (ENT surgery)

Certification: American Board of Otolaryngology; American Academy of Facial Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery

Personal: Married to Jill Camnitz, Dr. Camnitz has two adult children, a daughter and a son.

North Carolina Medical Board Forum Credits

Volume XVI | Winter 2014

Board officers

President

Paul S. Camnitz, MD | Greenville

President Elect

Cheryl Walker-McGill, MD | Gastonia

Secretary/Treasurer

Pascal O. Udekwa, MD | Raleigh

Board members

Michael J. Arnold, MBA | Wake Forest

Debra A. Bolick, MD | Hickory

Eleanor E. Greene, MD | High Point

Subhash C. Gumber, MD, PhD | Cary

A. Wayne Holloman | Greenville

Timothy E. Lietz, MD | Charlotte

Thelma Lennon | Raleigh

Helen Diane Meelheim, F-NP | Beaufort

Barbara E. Walker, DO | Kure Beach

Forum staff

Publisher

NC Medical Board

Editor

Jean Fisher Brinkley

Editor Emeritus

Dale G. Breaden

Contact Us

Street Address

1203 Front Street
Raleigh, NC 27609

Mailing Address

PO Box 20007
Raleigh, NC 27619

Telephone / Fax

(800) 253-9653
Fax (919) 326-0036

Web Site:

www.ncmedboard.org

E-Mail:

info@ncmedboard.org

Have something for the editor?

forum@ncmedboard.org

The *Forum* of the North Carolina Medical Board is published four times a year. Articles appearing in the *Forum*, including letters and reviews, represent the opinions of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the North Carolina Medical Board, its members or staff, or the institutions or organizations with which the authors are affiliated. Official statements, policies, positions, or reports of the Board are clearly identified.

We welcome letters to the editor addressing topics covered in the *Forum*. They will be published in edited form depending on available space. A letter should include the writer's full name, address, and telephone number.

“Good Samaritan” law offers protection for prevention of overdose deaths

A drug overdose prevention law that took effect in April 2013 provides broad protection from criminal prosecution to any medical professional who prescribes the opioid-antagonist drug naloxone. Physicians are important partners in efforts to reduce deaths caused from unintentional drug overdose, which have reached epidemic proportions nationally. In North Carolina, more than 1,100 people die annually from unintentional drug overdose.

The “Good Samaritan/Naloxone Access Law” explicitly states that any practitioner who prescribes naloxone in good faith to an individual at risk of opioid overdose, or to a friend or family member of such an individual, shall be immune from any

civil or criminal liability.

The Medical Board amended its position statement, *Drug overdose prevention*, in March 2013 in anticipation of the law’s passage to encourage licensees to participate with programs that seek to get naloxone into the hands of individuals who may be at risk of overdose. Such programs are becoming much more active in North Carolina as deaths from drug-related overdose continue to rise. Community Care of NC (CCNC) is leading one of the most significant efforts, including multiple trainings for clinicians across the state. In addition, CCNC has developed toolkits for use by prescribers in hospital and primary care settings that may be accessed online.

The Good Samaritan/Naloxone Access law also provides limited immunity to individuals who seek medical attention for a person who is experiencing symptoms of possible drug-related overdose. Specifically, the law states that individuals who call for help will not be prosecuted for felony or misdemeanor possession of heroin or cocaine, provided they are in possession of less than one gram of drugs. The law also grants limited immunity to individuals under the age of 21 who seek medical attention for a person suffering possible alcohol poisoning. It is believed that fear of criminal prosecution is the main reason individuals fail to seek help when a companion needs it.

NC Vital Records offers free training on new death certificate form

Effective Jan. 1, physicians and others who complete death certificates in North Carolina have been using a new form to certify the deaths of patients who die from natural causes. The new form differs from the previously used death certificate in important ways, and the NC Department of Vital Records wants physicians and authorized physician assistants and nurse practitioners who certify causes of death to know how to use it.

Vital Records, the NC Division of Public Health branch that registers and maintains records of NC births, deaths, marriages and certain other events, has created an online guide to the new form for use by clinicians. The online training covers items on the new death certificate form that have either changed or are new. Login with user ID “vrdeath” and password “death” to gain access to the guide (do not use quotation marks when entering user name and password). After logging in, physicians and other certifiers should click on the “Medical Certifiers” tab to review guidance on the new form.

Clinicians who still have questions after viewing the online information may contact Sharon Montour at Vital Records via email Sharon.montour@dhhs.nc.gov, or by telephone at 919-792-5818.

Vital Records staff members are also available to conduct on-site training sessions for group practices or hospital medical staff. To schedule a workshop or lunch-and-learn session on the new death certificate form, contact Tamma Hill at tamma.hill@dhhs.nc.gov, or by telephone at 919-792-5832.

USEFUL LINKS

Link to full form:

<http://vitalrecords.nc.gov/training/newdeathcert.cfm>

Training link:

<http://vitalrecords.nc.gov/training/getuser.cfm?CFID=42098&CFTOKEN=70170917>

NC Medical Board Position Statements

A guide to the Board’s Position Statements as of 12/31/2013

Each year, the NCMB publishes its complete position statements as a guide for all licensees. The statements are also available on the Board’s website at www.ncmedboard.org

The Board’s Policy Committee reviews the content of the statements regularly, making necessary revisions to address changes in medical practice, new, innovative methods and procedures or matters of policy. In 2013, the Board adopted a new position statement on using social media, and revised and reviewed several other statements. In an effort to make this guide more user friendly, we have employed a color-coding system to identify position statements that were adopted, reviewed or revised in 2013. New statements are identified in green, statements that were revised are coded purple; statements that were reviewed with no changes are marked in blue.

The principles of professionalism and performance expressed in the position statements of the North Carolina Medical Board apply to all persons licensed and/or approved by the Board to render medical care at any level.

Disclaimer

The North Carolina Medical Board makes the information in this publication available as a public service. We attempt to update this printed material as often as possible and to ensure its accuracy. However, because the Board’s position statements may be revised at any time and because errors can occur, the information presented here should not be considered an official or complete record. Under no circumstances shall the Board, its members, officers, agents, or employees be liable for any actions taken or omissions made in reliance on information in this publication or for any consequences of such reliance. A more current version of the Board’s position statements will be found on the Board’s Web site: www.ncmedboard.org, which is usually updated shortly after revisions are made. In no case, however, should this publication or the material found on the Board’s Web site substitute for the official records of the Board.

WHAT ARE THE POSITION STATEMENTS OF THE BOARD AND TO WHOM DO THEY APPLY?

The North Carolina Medical Board’s Position Statements are interpretive statements that attempt to define or explain the meaning of laws or rules that govern the practice of physicians,* physician assistants, and nurse practitioners in North Carolina, usually those relating to discipline. They also set forth criteria or guidelines used by the Board’s staff in investigations and in the prosecution or settlement of cases.

When considering the Board’s Position Statements, the following four points should be kept in mind.

- 1) In its Position Statements, the Board attempts to articulate some of the standards it believes applicable to the medical profession and to the other health care professions it regulates. However, a Position Statement should not be seen as the promulgation of a new standard as of the date of issuance or amendment. Some Position Statements are reminders of traditional, even millennia old, professional standards, or show how the Board might apply such standards today.
- 2) The Position Statements are not intended to be comprehensive or to set out exhaustively every standard that might apply in every circumstance. Therefore, the absence of a Position Statement or a Position Statement’s silence on certain matters should not be construed as the lack of an enforceable standard.
- 3) The existence of a Position Statement should not necessarily be taken as an indication of the Board’s enforcement priorities.
- 4) A lack of disciplinary actions to enforce a particular standard mentioned in a Position Statement should not be taken as an abandonment of the principles set forth therein.

The Board will continue to decide each case before it on all the facts and circumstances presented in the hearing, whether or not the issues have been the subject of a Position Statement. The Board intends that the Position Statements will reflect its philosophy on certain subjects and give licensees some guidance for avoiding Board scrutiny. The principles of professionalism and performance expressed in the Position Statements apply to all persons licensed and/or approved by the Board to render medical care at any level.

*The words “physician” and “doctor” as used in the Position Statements refer to persons who are MDs or DOs licensed by the Board to practice medicine and surgery in North Carolina. (Adopted November 1999) (Reviewed May 2010)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Physician-Patient Relationship.....	5	Office-Based Procedures.....	11
Medical Record Documentation.....	5	Laser Surgery.....	15
Access to Medical Records.....	5	Care of the Patient Undergoing Surgery or Other Invasive Procedure	16
Retention of Medical Records.....	6	HIV/HBV Infected Health Care Workers.....	16
Departures From or Closings of Medical Practices.....	6	Professional Obligation to Report Incompetence, Impairment, and Unethical Conduct.....	17
The Retired Physician/Licensee.....	6	Advertising and Publicity.....	17
Advance Directives and Patient Autonomy.....	7	Sales of Goods from Physicians Offices.....	18
Availability of Licensees to Their Patients.....	7	Referral Fees and Fee Splitting.....	18
Guidelines for Avoiding Misunderstandings During Physical Examinations.....	7	Unethical Agreements in Complaint Settlements.....	18
Sexual Exploitation of Patients.....	7	Medical Supervisor-Trainee Relationship.....	18
Contact with Patients Before Prescribing.....	8	Competence and Reentry to the Active Practice of Medicine	18
Writing of Prescriptions.....	8	Capital Punishment	18
Self-Treatment and Treatment of Family Members.....	8	Physician Supervision of Other Licensed Health Care Practitioners.....	19
The Treatment of Obesity.....	9	Drug Overdose Prevention.....	19
Prescribing Legend/Controlled Substances for Other Than Valid Medical or Therapeutic Purposes, with Particular Reference to Substances or Preparations with Anabolic Properties.....	9	Medical Testimony.....	19
Policy for the Use of Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain.....	9	Collaborative Care within the Health Care Team.....	19
End-of-Life Responsibilities and Palliative Care.....	10	Telemedicine.....	20
Joint Statement on Pain Management in End-of-Life Care.....	11	Physician Scope of Practice.....	20
		Professional Use of Social Media	20

POSITION STATEMENTS

THE PHYSICIAN-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP

The duty of the physician is to provide competent, compassionate, and economically prudent care to all his or her patients. Having assumed care of a patient, the physician may not neglect that patient nor fail for any reason to prescribe the full care that patient requires in accord with the standards of acceptable medical practice. Further, it is the Board's position that it is unethical for a physician to allow financial incentives or contractual ties of any kind to adversely affect his or her medical judgment or patient care.

Therefore, it is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that any act by a physician that violates or may violate the trust a patient places in the physician places the relationship between physician and patient at risk. This is true whether such an act is entirely self-determined or the result of the physician's contractual relationship with a health care entity. The Board believes the interests and health of the people of North Carolina are best served when the physician-patient relationship remains inviolate. The physician who puts the physician-patient relationship at risk also puts his or her relationship with the Board in jeopardy.

Elements of the Physician-Patient Relationship

The North Carolina Medical Board licenses physicians as a part of regulating the practice of medicine in this state. Receiving a license to practice medicine grants the physician privileges and imposes great responsibilities. The people of North Carolina expect a licensed physician to be competent and worthy of their trust. As patients, they come to the physician in a vulnerable condition, believing the physician has knowledge and skill that will be used for their benefit.

Patient trust is fundamental to the relationship thus established. It requires that:

- there be adequate communication between the physician and the patient;
- the physician report all significant findings to the patient or the patient's legally designated surrogate/guardian/personal representative;
- there be no conflict of interest between the patient and the physician or third parties;
- personal details of the patient's life shared with the physician be held in confidence;
- the physician maintain professional knowledge and skills;
- there be respect for the patient's autonomy;
- the physician be compassionate;
- the physician respect the patient's right to request further restrictions on medical information disclosure and to request alternative communications;
- the physician be an advocate for needed medical care, even at the expense of the physician's personal interests; and
- the physician provide neither more nor less than the medical problem requires.

The Board believes the interests and health of the people of North Carolina are best served when the physician-patient relationship, founded on patient trust, is considered sacred, and when the elements crucial to that relationship and to that trust—communication, patient primacy, confidentiality, competence, patient autonomy, compassion, selflessness, appropriate care—are foremost in the hearts, minds, and actions of the physicians licensed by the Board.

This same fundamental physician-patient relationship also applies to all licensees.

Termination of the Physician-Patient Relationship

The Board recognizes the physician's right to choose patients and to terminate the professional relationship with them when he or she believes it is best to do so. That being understood, the Board maintains that termination of the physician-patient relationship must be done in compliance with the physician's obligation to support continuity of care for the patient.

The decision to terminate the relationship must be made by the physician personally. Further, termination must be accompanied by appropriate written notice given by the physician to the patient or the patient's representative sufficiently far in advance (at least 30 days) to allow other medical care to be secured. A copy of such notification is to be included in the medical record. Should the physician be a member of a group, the notice of termination must state clearly whether the termination involves only the individual physician or includes other members of the group. In the latter case, those members of the group joining in the termination must be designated. It is advisable that the notice of termination also include instructions for transfer of or access to the patient's medical records. (Adopted July 1995) (Amended July 1998, January 2000, March 2002, August 2003, September 2006, July 2012)

MEDICAL RECORD DOCUMENTATION

The North Carolina Medical Board takes the position that an accurate, current and complete medical record is an essential component of patient care. Licensees should maintain a medical record for each patient to whom they provide care. The medical record should contain an appropriate history and physical examination, results of ancillary studies, diagnoses, and any plan for treatment. The medical record should be legible. When the care giver does not handwrite legibly, notes should be dictated, transcribed, reviewed, and signed within a reasonable time. The Board recognizes and encourages the trend towards the use of electronic medical records ("EMR"). However, the Board cautions against relying upon software that pre-populates particular fields in the EMR without updating those fields in order to create a medical record that accurately reflects the elements delineated in this Position Statement.

The medical record is a chronological document that:

- records pertinent facts about an individual's health and wellness;
- enables the treating care provider to plan and evaluate treatments or interventions;
- enhances communication between professionals, assuring the patient optimum continuity of care;
- assists both patient and physician to communicate to third party participants;
- allows the physician to develop an ongoing quality assurance program;
- provides a legal document to verify the delivery of care; and
- is available as a source of clinical data for research and education.

The following required elements should be present in all medical records:

1. The record reflects the purpose of each patient encounter and appropriate information about the patient's history and examination, and the care and treatment provided are described.
2. The patient's past medical history is easily identified and includes serious accidents, operations, significant illnesses and other appropriate information.
3. Medication and other significant allergies, or a statement of their absence, are prominently noted in the record.
4. When appropriate, informed consent obtained from the patient is clearly documented.
5. All entries are dated.

The following additional elements reflect commonly accepted standards for medical record documentation.

1. Each page in the medical record contains the patient's name or ID number.
2. Personal biographical information such as home address, employer, marital status, and all telephone numbers, including home, work, and mobile phone numbers.
3. All entries in the medical record contain the author's identification. Author identification may be a handwritten signature, initials, or a unique electronic identifier.
4. All drug therapies are listed, including dosage instructions and, when appropriate, indication of refill limits. Prescriptions refilled by phone should be recorded.
5. Encounter notes should include appropriate arrangements and specified times for follow-up care.
6. All consultation, laboratory and imaging reports should be entered into the patient's record, reviewed, and the review documented by the practitioner who ordered them. Abnormal reports should be noted in the record, along with corresponding follow-up plans and actions taken.
7. An appropriate immunization record is evident and kept up to date.
8. Appropriate preventive screening and services are offered in accordance with the accepted practice guidelines.

(Adopted May 1994) (Amended May 1996, May 2009)

ACCESS TO MEDICAL RECORDS

A licensee's policies and practices relating to medical records under his or her control should be designed to benefit the health and welfare of patients, whether current or past, and should facilitate the transfer of clear and reliable information about a patient's care. Such policies and practices should conform to applicable federal and state laws governing health information.

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that notes made by a licensee in the course of diagnosing and treating patients are primarily for the licensee's use and to promote continuity of care. Patients, however, have a substantial right of access to their medical records and a qualified right to amend their records pursuant to the HIPAA privacy regulations.

Medical records are confidential documents and should only be released when permitted by law or with proper written authorization of the patient. Licensees are responsible for safeguarding and protecting the medical record and for providing adequate security measures.

Each licensee has a duty on the request of a patient or the patient's rep-

POSITION STATEMENTS

representative to release a copy of the record in a timely manner to the patient or the patient's representative, unless the licensee believes that such release would endanger the patient's life or cause harm to another person. This includes medical records received from other licensee offices or health care facilities. A summary may be provided in lieu of providing access to or copies of medical records only if the patient agrees in advance to such a summary and to any fees imposed for its production.

Licensees may charge a reasonable fee for the preparation and/or the photocopying of medical and other records. To assist in avoiding misunderstandings, and for a reasonable fee, the licensee should be willing to review the medical records with the patient at the patient's request. Medical records should not be withheld because an account is overdue or a bill is owed (including charges for copies or summaries of medical records).

Should it be the licensee's policy to complete insurance or other forms for established patients, it is the position of the Board that the licensee should complete those forms in a timely manner. If a form is simple, the licensee should perform this task for no fee. If a form is complex, the licensee may charge a reasonable fee.

To prevent misunderstandings, the licensee's policies about providing copies or summaries of medical records and about completing forms should be made available in writing to patients when the licensee-patient relationship begins.

Licensees should not relinquish control over their patients' medical records to third parties unless there is an enforceable agreement that includes adequate provisions to protect patient confidentiality and to ensure access to those records.*

When responding to subpoenas for medical records, unless there is a court or administrative order, licensees should follow the applicable federal regulations. [*] See also Position Statement on Departures from or Closings of Medical Practices.

(Adopted November 1993) (Amended May 1996, September 1997, March 2002, August 2003, September 2010)

RETENTION OF MEDICAL RECORDS

Physicians have both a legal and ethical obligation to retain patient records. The Board, therefore, recognizes the necessity and importance of a licensee's proper maintenance, retention, and disposition of medical records. The following guidelines are offered to assist licensees in meeting their ethical and legal obligations:

- State and federal laws require that records be kept for a minimum length of time including but not limited to:
 1. Medicare and Medicaid Investigations (up to 7 years);
 2. HIPAA (up to 6 years);
 3. Medical Malpractice (varies depending on the case but should be measured from the date of the last professional contact with the patient)—physicians should check with their medical malpractice insurer; North Carolina has no statute relating specifically to the retention of medical records;
 4. Immunization records always must be kept.
- In addition to existing state and federal laws, medical considerations may also provide the basis for deciding how long to retain medical records. Patients should be notified regarding how long the physician will retain medical records.
- In deciding whether to keep certain parts of the record, an appropriate criterion is whether a physician would want the information if he or she were seeing the patient for the first time. The Board, therefore, recognizes that the retention policies of physicians giving one-time, brief episodic care may differ from those of physicians providing continuing care for patients.
- In order to preserve confidentiality when discarding old records, all records should be destroyed, including both paper and electronic medical records.
- Those licensees providing episodic care should attempt to provide a copy of the patient's record to the patient, the patient's primary care provider, or, if applicable, the referring physician.
- If it is feasible, patients should be given an opportunity to claim the records or have them sent to another physician before old records are discarded.
- The physician should respond in a timely manner to requests from patients for copies of their medical records or to access to their medical records.
- Physicians should notify patients of the amount, and under what circumstances, the physician will charge for copies of a patient's medical record, keeping in mind that N.C. Gen. Stat. 90-411 provides limits on the fee a physician can charge for copying of medical records.

Physicians should retain medical records as long as needed not only to serve and protect patients, but also to protect themselves against adverse actions. The times stated may fall below the community standard for retention in their communities and practice settings and for the specific needs. Physicians are encouraged (may want to) seek advice from private counsel and/or their malpractice insurance carrier.

(Adopted May 1998) (Amended May 2009) (Reviewed July 2013)

DEPARTURES FROM OR CLOSINGS OF MEDICAL PRACTICES

Departures from or closings of medical practices are trying times. If mishandled, they can significantly disrupt continuity of care and endanger patients.

Provide Continuity of Care

Practitioners continue to have obligations toward their patients during and after the departure from or closing of a medical practice. Practitioners may not abandon a patient or abruptly withdraw from the care of a patient. Patients should therefore be given reasonable advance notice (at least 30 days) to allow other medical care to be secured. Good continuity of care includes preserving and providing appropriate access to medical records.* Also, good continuity of care may often include making appropriate referrals. The practitioner(s) and other parties that may be involved should ensure that the requirements for continuity of care are effectively addressed.

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that during such times practitioners and other parties that may be involved in such processes must consider how their actions affect patients. In particular, practitioners and other parties that may be involved have the following obligations.

Permit Patient Choice

It is the patient's decision from whom to receive care. Therefore, it is the responsibility of all practitioners and other parties that may be involved to ensure that:

- Patients are notified in a timely fashion of changes in the practice and given the opportunity to seek other medical care, sufficiently far in advance (at least 30 days) to allow other medical care to be secured, which is often done by newspaper advertisement and by letters to patients currently under care;
- Patients clearly understand that they have a choice of health care providers;
- Patients are told how to reach any practitioner(s) remaining in practice, and when specifically requested, are told how to contact departing practitioners; and
- Patients are told how to obtain copies of or transfer their medical records.

No practitioner, group of practitioners, or other parties involved should interfere with the fulfillment of these obligations, nor should practitioners put themselves in a position where they cannot be assured these obligations can be met.

Written Policies

The Board recommends that practitioners and practices prepare written policies regarding the secure storage, transfer and retrieval of patient medical records. Practitioners and practices should notify patients of these policies. At a minimum, the Board recommends that such written policies specify:

- A procedure and timeline that describes how the practitioner or practice will notify each patient when appropriate about (1) a pending practice closure or practitioner departure, (2) how medical records are to be accessed, and (3) how future notices of the location of the practice's medical records will be provided;
- How long medical records will be retained;
- The procedure by which the practitioner or practice will dispose of unclaimed medical records after a specified period of time;
- How the practitioner or practice shall timely respond to requests from patients for copies of their medical records or to access to their medical records; In the event of the practitioner's death or incapacity, how the deceased practitioner's executor, administrator, personal representative or survivor will notify patients of the location of their medical records and how patients can access those records; and
- The procedure by which the deceased or incapacitated practitioner's executor, administrator, personal representative or survivor will dispose of unclaimed medical records after a specified period of time.

The Board further expects that its licensees comply with any applicable state and/or federal law or regulation pertaining to a patient's protected healthcare information.

*NOTE: The Board's Position Statement on the Retention of Medical Records applies, even when practices close permanently due to the retirement or death of the practitioner.

(Adopted January 2000) (Amended August 2003, July 2009)

THE RETIRED PHYSICIAN/LICENSEE

The retirement of a licensee is defined by the North Carolina Medical Board as the total and complete cessation of the practice of medicine and/or surgery by the licensee in any form or setting. According to the Board's definition, the retired licensee is not required to maintain a currently registered license and SHALL NOT:

- provide patient services;

POSITION STATEMENTS

- order tests or therapies;
- prescribe, dispense, or administer drugs;
- perform any other medical and/or surgical acts; or
- receive income from the provision of medical and/or surgical services performed following retirement.

The North Carolina Medical Board is aware that a number of licensees consider themselves “retired,” but still hold a currently registered medical license (full, volunteer, or limited) and provide professional medical and/or surgical services to patients on a regular or occasional basis. Such licensees customarily serve the needs of previous patients, friends, nursing home residents, free clinics, emergency rooms, community health programs, etc. The Board commends those licensees for their willingness to continue service following “retirement,” but it recognizes such service is not the “complete cessation of the practice of medicine” and therefore must be joined with an undiminished awareness of professional responsibility. That responsibility means that such licensees SHOULD:

- practice within their areas of professional competence;
- prepare and keep medical records in accord with good professional practice; and
- meet the Board’s continuing medical education requirement.

The Board also reminds “retired” licensees with currently registered licenses that all federal and state laws and rules relating to the practice of medicine and/or surgery apply to them, that the position statements of the Board are as relevant to them as to licensees in full and regular practice, and that they continue to be subject to the risks of liability for any medical and/or surgical acts they perform.

(Adopted January 1997) (Amended September 2006, July 2012)

ADVANCE DIRECTIVES AND PATIENT AUTONOMY

Licensees must be aware that North Carolina law specifically recognizes the individual’s right to a peaceful and natural death. NC Gen Stat § 90-320 (a) (2007) reads:

The General Assembly recognizes as a matter of public policy that an individual’s rights include the right to a peaceful and natural death and that a patient or the patient’s representative has the fundamental right to control the decisions relating to the rendering of the patient’s own medical care, including the decision to have life-prolonging measures withheld or withdrawn in instances of a terminal condition.

Licensees must also be aware that North Carolina law empowers any adult individual with capacity to make a Health Care Power of Attorney (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 32A-17 (2007)) and stipulates that, when a patient lacks understanding or capacity to make or communicate health care decisions, the instructions of a duly appointed health care agent are to be taken as those of the patient unless evidence to the contrary is available (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 32A-24(b)(2007)).

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that it is in the best interest of the patient and of the licensee/patient relationship to encourage patients to complete or authorize documents that express their wishes for the kind of care they desire at the end of their lives. Licensees should encourage their patients to appoint a health care agent to act through the execution of a Health Care Power of Attorney and to provide documentation of the appointment to the responsible licensee(s). Further, licensees should provide full information to their patients in order to enable those patients to make informed and intelligent decisions preferably prior to a terminal illness. The Board also encourages the use of portable licensee orders to improve the communication of the patient’s wishes for treatment at the end of life from one care setting to another.

It is also the position of the Board that licensees are ethically obligated to follow the wishes of the terminally ill or incurable patient as expressed by and properly documented in a declaration of a desire for a natural death; however, when the wishes of a patient are contrary to what a licensee believes in good conscience to be appropriate care, the licensee may withdraw from the case once continuity of care is assured.

It is also the position of the Board that withholding or withdrawal of life-prolonging measures is in no manner to be construed as permitting diminution of nursing care, relief of pain, or any other care that may provide comfort for the patient.

(Adopted July 1993) (Amended May 1996; March 2008; November 2012)

AVAILABILITY OF LICENSEES TO THEIR PATIENTS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that once a relationship between a licensee and a patient is created, it is the duty of the licensee to provide care whenever it is needed or to assure that proper backup by a healthcare provider is available to take care of the patient during or outside normal office hours.

If the licensee is not going to be available after hours, the licensee must

provide clear instructions to the patient for securing after-hours care. It is the responsibility of the licensee to ensure that the patient has sufficient information regarding how to secure after-hours care.

It should be noted that these duties are applicable to a licensee whether the licensee is practicing telemedicine or practicing medicine through traditional means.

(Adopted July 1993) (Amended May 1996, January 2001, October 2003, July 2006, May 2012)

GUIDELINES FOR AVOIDING MISUNDERSTANDINGS DURING PHYSICAL EXAMINATIONS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that proper care and sensitivity are needed during physical examinations to avoid misunderstandings that could lead to charges of sexual misconduct against licensees. In order to prevent such misunderstandings, the Board offers the following guidelines.

1. Sensitivity to patient dignity should be considered by the licensee when undertaking a physical examination. The patient should be assured of adequate auditory and visual privacy and should never be asked to disrobe in the presence of the licensee. Examining rooms should be safe, clean, and well maintained, and should be equipped with appropriate furniture for examination and treatment. Gowns, sheets and/or other appropriate apparel should be made available to protect patient dignity and decrease embarrassment to the patient while a thorough and professional examination is conducted.
2. Whatever the sex of the patient, a third party, a staff member, should be readily available at all times during a physical examination, and it is strongly advised that a third party be present when the licensee performs an examination of the breast(s), genitalia, or rectum. It is the licensee’s responsibility to have a staff member available at any point during the examination.
3. The licensee should individualize the approach to physical examinations so that each patient’s apprehension, fear, and embarrassment are diminished as much as possible. An explanation of the necessity of a complete physical examination, the components of that examination, and the purpose of disrobing may be necessary in order to minimize the patient’s possible misunderstanding.
4. The licensee and staff should exercise the same degree of professionalism and care when performing diagnostic procedures (eg, electro-cardiograms, electromyograms, endoscopic procedures, and radiological studies, etc), as well as during surgical procedures and postsurgical follow-up examinations when the patient is in varying stages of consciousness.
5. The licensee should be on the alert for suggestive or flirtatious behavior or mannerisms on the part of the patient and should not permit a compromising situation to develop.

(Adopted May 1991) (Amended May 1993, May 1996, January 2001, February 2001, October 2002, July 2010)

SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENTS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that sexual exploitation of a patient is unprofessional conduct and undermines the public trust in the medical profession and harms patients both individually and collectively. This Position Statement is based, in part, upon the Federation of State Medical Board’s guidelines regarding sexual boundaries (“FSMB Guidelines”).

Sexual behavior between a licensee and a patient is never diagnostic or therapeutic. Such behavior may be verbal or physical and may include expressions of thoughts and feelings or gestures that are sexual or that reasonably may be construed by the patient as sexual.

The FSMB Guidelines define and distinguish between two types of professional sexual misconduct: sexual impropriety and sexual violation. Both types of sexual misconduct could constitute a basis for disciplinary action by the Board.

Sexual impropriety may comprise behavior, gestures, or expressions that are seductive, sexually suggestive, disrespectful of patient privacy, or sexually demeaning to a patient, that may include, but are not limited to:

1. Neglecting to employ disrobing or draping practices respecting the patient’s privacy, or deliberately watching a patient dress or undress;
2. Subjecting a patient to an intimate examination in the presence of medical students or other parties without the patient’s informed consent or in the event such informed consent has been withdrawn;
3. Examination or touching of genital mucosal areas without the use of gloves;
4. Inappropriate comments about or to the patient, including but not limited to, making sexual comments about a patient’s body or underclothing, making sexualized or sexually demeaning comments to a patient, criticizing the patient’s sexual orientation, making comments about potential

POSITION STATEMENTS

- sexual performance during an examination;
- Using the physician-patient relationship to solicit a date or romantic relationship;
 - Initiation by the physician of conversation regarding the sexual problems, preferences, or fantasies of the physician;
 - Performing an intimate examination or consultation without clinical justification;
 - Performing an intimate examination or consultation without explaining to the patient the need for such examination or consultation even when the examination or consultation is pertinent to the issue of sexual function or dysfunction; and
 - Requesting details of sexual history or sexual likes or dislikes when not clinically indicated for the type of examination or consultation.
- Sexual violation may include physical sexual contact between a physician and patient, whether or not initiated by the patient, and engaging in any conduct with a patient that is sexual or may be reasonably interpreted as sexual, including but not limited to:
- Sexual intercourse, genital to genital contact;
 - Oral to genital contact;
 - Oral to anal contact and genital to anal contact;
 - Kissing in a romantic or sexual manner;
 - Touching breasts, genitals, or any sexualized body part for any purpose other than appropriate examination or treatment, or where the patient has refused or has withdrawn consent;
 - Encouraging the patient to masturbate in the presence of the physician or masturbation by the physician while the patient is present; and
 - Offering to provide practice-related services, such as drugs, in exchange for sexual favors.

The Board also refers its licensees to the Board's Position Statement entitled "Guidelines for avoiding misunderstandings during physical examinations." (Adopted May 1991) (Amended April 1996, January 2001, September 2006, May 2012)

CONTACT WITH PATIENTS BEFORE PRESCRIBING

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that prescribing drugs to an individual the prescriber has not personally examined is inappropriate except as noted in the paragraphs below. Before prescribing a drug, a licensee should make an informed medical judgment based on the circumstances of the situation and on his or her training and experience. Ordinarily, this will require that the licensee personally perform an appropriate history and physical examination, make a diagnosis, and formulate a therapeutic plan, a part of which might be a prescription. This process must be documented appropriately.

Prescribing for a patient whom the licensee has not personally examined may be suitable under certain circumstances. These may include admission orders for a newly hospitalized patient, prescribing for a patient of another licensee for whom the prescriber is taking call, or continuing medication on a short-term basis for a new patient prior to the patient's first appointment. Established patients may not require a new history and physical examination for each new prescription, depending on good medical practice.

Prescribing for an individual whom the licensee has not met or personally examined may also be suitable when that individual is the partner of a patient whom the licensee is treating for gonorrhea or chlamydia. Partner management of patients with gonorrhea or chlamydia should include the following items:

- Signed prescriptions of oral antibiotics of the appropriate quantity and strength sufficient to provide curative treatment for each partner named by the infected patient. Notation on the prescription should include the statement: "Expedited partner therapy."
- Signed prescriptions to named partners should be accompanied by written material that states that clinical evaluation is desirable; that prescriptions for medication or related compounds to which the partner is allergic should not be accepted; and that lists common medication side effects and the appropriate response to them.
- Prescriptions and accompanying written material should be given to the licensee's patient for distribution to named partners.
- The licensee should keep appropriate documentation of partner management. Documentation should include the names of partners and a copy of the prescriptions issued or an equivalent statement.

It is the position of the Board that prescribing drugs to individuals the licensee has never met based solely on answers to a set of questions, as is common in Internet or toll-free telephone prescribing, is inappropriate and unprofessional. (Adopted November 1999) (Amended February 2001, November 2009) (Reviewed July 2010)

WRITING OF PRESCRIPTIONS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that prescriptions should be written in ink or indelible pencil or typewritten or electronically issued and should be signed by the licensee at time of issuance. Prescriptions that are handwritten should indicate the quantity in both numbers AND words, e.g., 30 (thirty).

Each handwritten prescription for a DEA controlled substance (2, 2N, 3, 3N, 4 and 5) should be written on a separate prescription blank. Each electronic prescription for a DEA controlled substance (2, 2N, 3, 3N, 4 and 5) should be issued separately and comply with DEA regulations. Multiple medications may appear on a single prescription blank only when none are DEA-controlled.

No prescriptions should be issued for a patient in the absence of a documented licensee-patient relationship.

Any prescriptions written by licensees for their personal use should comply with the Board's position statement on "Self Treatment and Treatment of Family Members." As noted in that position statement, it is the Board's position that it is not appropriate for licensees to write prescriptions for controlled substances for themselves or their family members.

The practice of pre-signing prescriptions is unacceptable to the Board. It is the responsibility of those who prescribe controlled substances to fully comply with applicable federal and state laws and regulations. Links to these laws and regulations may be found on the Board's website, www.ncmedboard.org (Adopted May 1991, September 1992) (Amended May 1996; March 2002; July 2002, March 2011, July 2012) (Reviewed March 2005)

SELF-TREATMENT AND TREATMENT OF FAMILY MEMBERS

It is the Board's position that it is not appropriate for licensees to write prescriptions for controlled substances or to perform procedures on themselves or their family members. Rules 32B .1001, 32S .0212, and 32M .0109 prohibit licensees from prescribing controlled substances (including all narcotics) to themselves or immediate family members. In addition, licensees should not treat their own chronic conditions or those of their immediate family members or others with whom the licensee has a significant emotional relationship. In such situations, professional objectivity may be compromised, and the licensee's personal feelings may unduly influence his or her professional judgment, thereby interfering with care.

There are, however, certain limited situations in which it may be appropriate for licensees to treat themselves, their family members, or others with whom the licensee has a significant emotional relationship.

- Emergency Conditions.** In an emergency situation, when no other qualified licensee is available, it is acceptable for licensees to treat themselves or their family members until another licensee becomes available.
- Urgent Situations.** There may be instances when licensees or family members do not have their prescribed medications or easy physician access. It may be appropriate for licensees to provide short term prescriptions.
- Acute Minor Illnesses within Clinical Competence.** While licensees should not serve as primary or regular care providers for themselves or their family members, there are certain situations in which care may be acceptable. Examples would be treatment of antibiotic-induced fungal infections or prescribing ear drops for a family member with external otitis. It is the expectation of the Board that licensees will not treat recurrent acute problems.
- Over the Counter Medication.** This position statement is not intended to prevent licensees from suggesting over the counter medications or other non-prescriptive modalities for themselves or family members, as a lay person might.

Licensees who act in accord with this position statement will be held to the same standard of care applicable to licensees providing treatment for patients who are unrelated to them. Thus, licensees should not treat problems beyond their expertise or training and should be sure to maintain an appropriate medical record documenting any care that is given. It may also be prudent to provide a copy of the medical record to the patient's primary care provider.

Licensees who inappropriately treat themselves, their family members or others with whom they have a significant emotional relationship should be aware that they may be subject to disciplinary action by the Board. (Adopted May 1991) (Amended May 1996; May 2000; March 2002; September 2005, March 2012)

THE TREATMENT OF OBESITY

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that the cornerstones of the treatment of obesity are diet (caloric control) and exercise. Medications and surgery should only be used to treat obesity when the benefits outweigh the risks of the chosen modality.

POSITION STATEMENTS

The treatment of obesity should be based on sound scientific evidence and principles. Adequate medical documentation must be kept so that progress as well as the success or failure of any modality is easily ascertained. (Adopted [as The Use of Anorectics in Treatment of Obesity] October 1987) (Amended March 1996) (Amended and retitled January 2005) (Reviewed November 2010)

PRESCRIBING LEGEND OR CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES FOR OTHER THAN VALIDATED MEDICAL OR THERAPEUTIC PURPOSES, WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO SUBSTANCE OR PREPARATIONS WITH ANABOLIC PROPERTIES

General

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that prescribing any controlled or legend substance for other than a validated medical or therapeutic purpose is unprofessional conduct.

The physician shall complete and maintain a medical record that establishes the diagnosis, the basis for that diagnosis, the purpose and expected response to therapeutic medications, and the plan for the use of medications in treatment of the diagnosis.

The Board is not opposed to the use of innovative, creative therapeutics; however, treatments not having a scientifically validated basis for use should be studied under investigational protocols so as to assist in the establishment of evidence-based, scientific validity for such treatments.

Substances/Preparations with Anabolic Properties

The use of anabolic steroids, testosterone and its analogs, human growth hormone, human chorionic gonadotrophin, other preparations with anabolic properties, or autotransfusion in any form, to enhance athletic performance or muscle development for cosmetic, nontherapeutic reasons, in the absence of an established disease or deficiency state, is not a medically valid use of these medications.

The use of these medications under these conditions will subject the person licensed by the Board to investigation and potential sanctions.

The Board recognizes that most anabolic steroid abuse occurs outside the medical system. It wishes to emphasize the physician's role as educator in providing information to individual patients and the community, and specifically to high school and college athletes, as to the dangers inherent in the use of these medications.

(Adopted May 1998) (Amended July 1998, January 2001) (Reviewed November 2005, September 2011)

POLICY FOR THE USE OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES FOR THE TREATMENT OF PAIN

- Appropriate treatment of chronic pain may include both pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic modalities. The Board realizes that controlled substances, including opioid analgesics, may be an essential part of the treatment regimen.
- All prescribing of controlled substances must comply with applicable state and federal law.
- Guidelines for treatment include: (a) complete patient evaluation, (b) establishment of a treatment plan (contract), (c) informed consent, (d) periodic review, and (e) consultation with specialists in various treatment modalities as appropriate.
- Deviation from these guidelines will be considered on an individual basis for appropriateness.

Section I: Preamble

The North Carolina Medical Board recognizes that principles of quality medical practice dictate that the people of the State of North Carolina have access to appropriate and effective pain relief. The appropriate application of up-to-date knowledge and treatment modalities can serve to improve the quality of life for those patients who suffer from pain as well as reduce the morbidity and costs associated with untreated or inappropriately treated pain. For the purposes of this policy, the inappropriate treatment of pain includes nontreatment, undertreatment, overtreatment, and the continued use of ineffective treatments.

The diagnosis and treatment of pain is integral to the practice of medicine. The Board encourages physicians to view pain management as a part of quality medical practice for all patients with pain, acute or chronic, and it is especially urgent for patients who experience pain as a result of terminal illness. All physicians should become knowledgeable about assessing patients' pain and effective methods of pain treatment, as well as statutory require-

ments for prescribing controlled substances. Accordingly, this policy have been developed to clarify the Board's position on pain control, particularly as related to the use of controlled substances, to alleviate physician uncertainty and to encourage better pain management.

Inappropriate pain treatment may result from physicians' lack of knowledge about pain management. Fears of investigation or sanction by federal, state and local agencies may also result in inappropriate treatment of pain. Appropriate pain management is the treating physician's responsibility. As such, the Board will consider the inappropriate treatment of pain to be a departure from standards of practice and will investigate such allegations, recognizing that some types of pain cannot be completely relieved, and taking into account whether the treatment is appropriate for the diagnosis.

The Board recognizes that controlled substances including opioid analgesics may be essential in the treatment of acute pain due to trauma or surgery and chronic pain, whether due to cancer or non-cancer origins. The Board will refer to current clinical practice guidelines and expert review in approaching cases involving management of pain. The medical management of pain should consider current clinical knowledge and scientific research and the use of pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic modalities according to the judgment of the physician. Pain should be assessed and treated promptly, and the quantity and frequency of doses should be adjusted according to the intensity, duration of the pain, and treatment outcomes. Physicians should recognize that tolerance and physical dependence are normal consequences of sustained use of opioid analgesics and are not the same as addiction.

The North Carolina Medical Board is obligated under the laws of the State of North Carolina to protect the public health and safety. The Board recognizes that the use of opioid analgesics for other than legitimate medical purposes pose a threat to the individual and society and that the inappropriate prescribing of controlled substances, including opioid analgesics, may lead to drug diversion and abuse by individuals who seek them for other than legitimate medical use. Accordingly, the Board expects that physicians incorporate safeguards into their practices to minimize the potential for the abuse and diversion of controlled substances.

Physicians should not fear disciplinary action from the Board for ordering, prescribing, dispensing or administering controlled substances, including opioid analgesics, for a legitimate medical purpose and in the course of professional practice. The Board will consider prescribing, ordering, dispensing or administering controlled substances for pain to be for a legitimate medical purpose if based on sound clinical judgment. All such prescribing must be based on clear documentation of unrelieved pain. To be within the usual course of professional practice, a physician-patient relationship must exist and the prescribing should be based on a diagnosis and documentation of unrelieved pain. Compliance with applicable state or federal law is required.

The Board will judge the validity of the physician's treatment of the patient based on available documentation, rather than solely on the quantity and duration of medication administration. The goal is to control the patient's pain while effectively addressing other aspects of the patient's functioning, including physical, psychological, social and work-related factors.

Allegations of inappropriate pain management will be evaluated on an individual basis. The Board will not take disciplinary action against a physician for deviating from this policy when contemporaneous medical records document reasonable cause for deviation. The physician's conduct will be evaluated to a great extent by the outcome of pain treatment, recognizing that some types of pain cannot be completely relieved, and by taking into account whether the drug used is appropriate for the diagnosis, as well as improvement in patient functioning and/or quality of life.

Section II: Guidelines

The Board has adopted the following criteria when evaluating the physician's treatment of pain, including the use of controlled substances:

Evaluation of the Patient —A medical history and physical examination must be obtained, evaluated, and documented in the medical record. The medical record should document the nature and intensity of the pain, current and past treatments for pain, underlying or coexisting diseases or conditions, the effect of the pain on physical and psychological function, and history of substance abuse. The medical record also should document the presence of one or more recognized medical indications for the use of a controlled substance.

Treatment Plan —The written treatment plan should state objectives that will be used to determine treatment success, such as pain relief and improved physical and psychosocial function, and should indicate if any further diagnostic evaluations or other treatments are planned. After treatment begins, the physician should adjust drug therapy to the individual medical needs of each patient. Other treatment modalities or a rehabilitation program may be necessary depending on the etiology of the pain and the extent to which the

POSITION STATEMENTS

pain is associated with physical and psychosocial impairment.

Informed Consent and Agreement for Treatment —The physician should discuss the risks and benefits of the use of controlled substances with the patient, persons designated by the patient or with the patient's surrogate or guardian if the patient is without medical decision-making capacity. The patient should receive prescriptions from one physician and one pharmacy whenever possible. If the patient is at high risk for medication abuse or has a history of substance abuse, the physician should consider the use of a written agreement between physician and

- patient outlining patient responsibilities, including
- urine/serum medication levels screening when requested;
- number and frequency of all prescription refills; and
- agreements for which drug therapy may be discontinued (e.g., violation of agreement); and
- the North Carolina Controlled Substance Reporting Service can be accessed and its results used to make treatment decisions.

Periodic Review —The physician should periodically review the course of pain treatment and any new information about the etiology of the pain or the patient's state of health. Continuation or modification of controlled substances for pain management therapy depends on the physician's evaluation of progress toward treatment objectives. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Objective evidence of improved or diminished function should be monitored and information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. If the patient's progress is unsatisfactory, the physician should assess the appropriateness of continued use of the current treatment plan and consider the use of other therapeutic modalities. Reviewing the North Carolina Controlled Substance Reporting Service should be considered if inappropriate medication usage is suspected and intermittently on all patients.

Consultation —The physician should be willing to refer the patient as necessary for additional evaluation and treatment in order to achieve treatment objectives. Special attention should be given to those patients with pain who are at risk for medication misuse, abuse or diversion. The management of pain in patients with a history of substance abuse or with a comorbid psychiatric disorder may require extra care, monitoring, documentation and consultation with or referral to an expert in the management of such patients.

Medical Records —The physician should keep accurate and complete records to include

- the medical history and physical examination,
- diagnostic, therapeutic and laboratory results,
- evaluations and consultations,
- treatment objectives,
- discussion of risks and benefits,
- informed consent,
- treatments,
- medications (including date, type, dosage and quantity prescribed),
- instructions and agreements and
- periodic reviews including potential review of the North Carolina Controlled Substance Reporting Service.

Records should remain current and be maintained in an accessible manner and readily available for review.

Compliance With Controlled Substances Laws and Regulations To prescribe, dispense or administer controlled substances, the physician must be licensed in the state and comply with applicable federal and state regulations. Physicians are referred to the Physicians Manual of the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration and any relevant documents issued by the state of North Carolina for specific rules governing controlled substances as well as applicable state regulations.

Section III: Definitions

For the purposes of these guidelines, the following terms are defined as follows:

Acute Pain —Acute pain is the normal, predicted physiological response to a noxious chemical, thermal or mechanical stimulus and typically is associated with invasive procedures, trauma and disease. It is generally time-limited.

Addiction —Addiction is a primary, chronic, neurobiologic disease, with genetic, psychosocial, and environmental factors influencing its development and manifestations. It is characterized by behaviors that include the following: impaired control over drug use, craving, compulsive use, and continued use despite harm. Physical dependence and tolerance are normal physiological consequences of extended opioid therapy for pain and are not the same as addiction.

Chronic Pain —Chronic pain is a state in which pain persists beyond the usual course of an acute disease or healing of an injury, or that may or may not be associated with an acute or chronic pathologic process that causes continuous or intermittent pain over months or years.

Pain —An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage or described in terms of such damage. Physical Dependence —Physical dependence is a state of adaptation that is manifested by drug class-specific signs and symptoms that can be produced by abrupt cessation, rapid dose reduction, decreasing blood level of the drug, and/or administration of an antagonist. Physical dependence, by itself, does not equate with addiction.

Pseudoaddiction —The iatrogenic syndrome resulting from the misinterpretation of relief seeking behaviors as though they are drug-seeking behaviors that are commonly seen with addiction. The relief seeking behaviors resolve upon institution of effective analgesic therapy.

Substance Abuse —Substance abuse is the use of any substance(s) for non-therapeutic purposes or use of medication for purposes other than those for which it is prescribed.

Tolerance —Tolerance is a physiologic state resulting from regular use of a drug in which an increased dosage is needed to produce a specific effect, or a reduced effect is observed with a constant dose over time. Tolerance may or may not be evident during opioid treatment and does not equate with addiction.

(Adopted September 1996 as "Management of Chronic Non-Malignant Pain.") (Redone July 2005 based on the Federation of State Medical Board's "Model Policy for the Use of Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain," as amended by the FSMB in 2004.) (Amended September 2008)

END-OF-LIFE RESPONSIBILITIES AND PALLIATIVE CARE

Assuring Patients

When appropriate processes have determined that the use of life prolonging measures or invasive interventions will only prolong the dying process, it is incumbent on licensees to accept death "not as a failure, but the natural culmination of our lives."^{**}

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that patients and their families should be assured of competent, comprehensive palliative care at the end of their lives. Licensees should be knowledgeable regarding effective and compassionate pain relief, and patients and their families should be assured such relief will be provided.

Palliative Care

Palliative care is specialized medical care for people with serious illnesses. It is focused on providing patients with relief from the symptoms, pain, and stress of a serious illness—whatever the diagnosis. The goal is to improve quality of life for both the patient and the family.

Palliative care is provided by healthcare providers who work together with a patient's other caregivers to provide an extra layer of support. It is appropriate at any age and at any stage in a serious illness and can be provided along with curative treatment.^{**}

Palliative care

- provides relief from pain and other distressing symptoms;
- affirms life and regards dying as a normal process;
- intends neither to hasten nor postpone death;
- integrates the psychological and spiritual aspects of patient care;
- offers a support system to help patients live as actively as possible until death;
- offers a support system to help the family cope during the patient's illness and in their own bereavement;
- uses a team approach to address the needs of patients and their families, including bereavement counseling, if indicated;
- will enhance quality of life, and may also positively influence the course of illness;
- [may be] applicable early in the course of illness, in conjunction with other therapies that are intended to prolong life, such as chemotherapy or radiation therapy, and includes those investigations needed to better understand and manage distressing clinical complications.^{***}

Opioid Use

The Board will assume opioid use in such patients is appropriate if the responsible licensee is familiar with and abides by acceptable medical guidelines regarding such use, is knowledgeable about effective and compassionate pain relief, and maintains an appropriate medical record that details a pain management plan. (See the Board's position statement on the Policy for the Use of Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain for an outline of what the Board expects of licensees in the management of pain.) Because the Board is aware of the inherent risks associated with effective symptom relief in such situations, it will not interpret their occurrence as subject to discipline by the Board.

^{*}Steven A. Schroeder, MD, President, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

POSITION STATEMENTS

** Taken from the Center to Advance Palliative Care (2012) www.capc.org/building-a-hospital-based-palliative-care-program/case/definingpc

*** Taken from the World Health Organization definition of Palliative Care (2002) www.who.int/cancer/palliative/definition/en

JOINT STATEMENT ON PAIN MANAGEMENT IN END-OF-LIFE CARE

(Adopted by the North Carolina Medical, Nursing, and Pharmacy Boards)

Through dialogue with members of the healthcare community and consumers, a number of perceived regulatory barriers to adequate pain management in end-of-life care have been expressed to the Boards of Medicine, Nursing, and Pharmacy. The following statement attempts to address these misperceptions by outlining practice expectations for physicians and other health care professionals authorized to prescribe medications, as well as nurses and pharmacists involved in this aspect of end-of-life care. The statement is based on:

- the legal scope of practice for each of these licensed health professionals;
- professional collaboration and communication among health professionals providing palliative care; and
- a standard of care that assures on-going pain assessment, a therapeutic plan for pain management interventions; and evidence of adequate symptom management for the dying patient.

It is the position of all three Boards that patients and their families should be assured of competent, comprehensive palliative care at the end of their lives. Physicians, nurses and pharmacists should be knowledgeable regarding effective and compassionate pain relief, and patients and their families should be assured such relief will be provided.

Because of the overwhelming concern of patients about pain relief, the physician needs to give special attention to the effective assessment of pain. It is particularly important that the physician frankly but sensitively discuss with the patient and the family their concerns and choices at the end of life. As part of this discussion, the physician should make clear that, in some end of life care situations, there are inherent risks associated with effective pain relief. The Medical Board will assume opioid use in such patients is appropriate if the responsible physician is familiar with and abides by acceptable medical guidelines regarding such use, is knowledgeable about effective and compassionate pain relief, and maintains an appropriate medical record that details a pain management plan. Because the Board is aware of the inherent risks associated with effective pain relief in such situations, it will not interpret their occurrence as subject to discipline by the Board.

With regard to pharmacy practice, North Carolina has no quantity restrictions on dispensing controlled substances including those in Schedule II. This is significant when utilizing the federal rule that allows the partial filling of Schedule II prescriptions for up to 60 days. In these situations it would minimize expenses and unnecessary waste of drugs if the prescriber would note on the prescription that the patient is terminally ill and specify the largest anticipated quantity that could be needed for the next two months. The pharmacist could then dispense smaller quantities of the prescription to meet the patient's needs up to the total quantity authorized. Government-approved labeling for dosage level and frequency can be useful as guidance for patient care. Health professionals may, on occasion, determine that higher levels are justified in specific cases. However, these occasions would be exceptions to general practice and would need to be properly documented to establish informed consent of the patient and family.

Federal and state rules also allow the fax transmittal of an original prescription for Schedule II drugs for hospice patients. If the prescriber notes the hospice status of the patient on the faxed document, it serves as the original. Pharmacy rules also allow the emergency refilling of prescriptions in Schedules III, IV, and V. While this does not apply to Schedule II drugs, it can be useful in situations where the patient is using drugs such as Vicodin for pain or Xanax for anxiety.

The nurse is often the health professional most involved in on-going pain assessment, implementing the prescribed pain management plan, evaluating the patient's response to such interventions and adjusting medication levels based on patient status. In order to achieve adequate pain management, the prescription must provide dosage ranges and frequency parameters within which the nurse may adjust (titrate) medication in order to achieve adequate pain control. Consistent with the licensee's scope of practice, the RN or LPN is accountable for implementing the pain management plan utilizing his/her knowledge base and documented assessment of the patient's needs. The nurse has the authority to adjust medication levels within the dosage and frequency ranges stipulated by the prescriber and according to the agency's established protocols. However, the nurse does not have the authority to change the medical pain management plan. When adequate

pain management is not achieved under the currently prescribed treatment plan, the nurse is responsible for reporting such findings to the prescriber and documenting this communication. Only the physician or other health professional with authority to prescribe may change the medical pain management plan.

Communication and collaboration between members of the healthcare team, and the patient and family are essential in achieving adequate pain management in end-of-life care. Within this interdisciplinary framework for end of life care, effective pain management should include:

- thorough documentation of all aspects of the patient's assessment and care;
- a working diagnosis and therapeutic treatment plan including pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic interventions;
- regular and documented evaluation of response to the interventions and, as appropriate, revisions to the treatment plan;
- evidence of communication among care providers;
- education of the patient and family; and
- a clear understanding by the patient, the family and healthcare team of the treatment goals.

It is important to remind health professionals that licensing boards hold each licensee accountable for providing safe, effective care. Exercising this standard of care requires the application of knowledge, skills, as well as ethical principles focused on optimum patient care while taking all appropriate measures to relieve suffering. The healthcare team should give primary importance to the expressed desires of the patient tempered by the judgment and legal responsibilities of each licensed health professional as to what is in the patient's best interest.

(Adopted October 1999) (Amended January 2011)

OFFICE-BASED PROCEDURES

Preface

This Position Statement on Office-Based Procedures is an interpretive statement that attempts to identify and explain the standards of practice for Office-Based Procedures in North Carolina. The Board's intention is to articulate existing professional standards and not to promulgate a new standard.

This Position Statement is in the form of guidelines designed to assure patient safety and identify the criteria by which the Board will assess the conduct of its licensees in considering disciplinary action arising out of the performance of office-based procedures. Thus, it is expected that the licensee who follows the guidelines set forth below will avoid disciplinary action by the Board. However, this Position Statement is not intended to be comprehensive or to set out exhaustively every standard that might apply in every circumstance. The silence of the Position Statement on any particular matter should not be construed as the lack of an enforceable standard.

General Guidelines

The Physician's Professional and Legal Obligation

The North Carolina Medical Board has adopted the guidelines contained in this Position Statement in order to assure patients have access to safe, high quality office-based surgical and special procedures. The guidelines further assure that a licensed physician with appropriate qualifications takes responsibility for the supervision of all aspects of the perioperative surgical, procedural and anesthesia care delivered in the office setting, including compliance with all aspects of these guidelines.

These obligations are to be understood (as explained in the Preface) as existing standards identified by the Board in an effort to assure patient safety and provide licensees guidance to avoid practicing below the standards of practice in such a manner that the licensee would be exposed to possible disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct as contemplated in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-14(a)(6).

Exemptions

These guidelines do not apply to Level I procedures.

Written Policies and Procedures

Written policies and procedures should be maintained to assist office-based practices in providing safe and quality surgical or special procedure care, assure consistent personnel performance, and promote an awareness and understanding of the inherent rights of patients.

Emergency Procedure and Transfer Protocol

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should assure that a transfer protocol is in place, preferably with a hospital that is licensed in the jurisdiction in which it is located and that is within reasonable proximity of the office where the procedure is performed.

POSITION STATEMENTS

All office personnel should be familiar with and capable of carrying out written emergency instructions. The instructions should be followed in the event of an emergency, any untoward anesthetic, medical or surgical complications, or other conditions making hospitalization of a patient necessary. The instructions should include arrangements for immediate contact of emergency medical services when indicated and when advanced cardiac life support is needed. When emergency medical services are not indicated, the instructions should include procedures for timely escort of the patient to the hospital or to an appropriate practitioner.

Infection Control

The practice should comply with state and federal regulations regarding infection control. For all surgical and special procedures, the level of sterilization should meet applicable industry and occupational safety requirements. There should be a procedure and schedule for cleaning, disinfecting and sterilizing equipment and patient care items. Personnel should be trained in infection control practices, implementation of universal precautions, and disposal of hazardous waste products. Protective clothing and equipment should be readily available.

Performance Improvement

A performance improvement program should be implemented to provide a mechanism to review yearly the current practice activities and quality of care provided to patients.

Performance improvement activities should include, but are not limited to, review of mortalities; the appropriateness and necessity of procedures performed; emergency transfers; reportable complications, and resultant outcomes (including all postoperative infections); analysis of patient satisfaction surveys and complaints; and identification of undesirable trends (such as diagnostic errors, unacceptable results, follow-up of abnormal test results, medication errors, and system problems). Findings of the performance improvement program should be incorporated into the practice's educational activity.

Medical Records and Informed Consent

The practice should have a procedure for initiating and maintaining a health record for every patient evaluated or treated. The record should include a procedure code or suitable narrative description of the procedure and should have sufficient information to identify the patient, support the diagnosis, justify the treatment, and document the outcome and required follow-up care.

Medical history, physical examination, lab studies obtained within 30 days of the scheduled procedure, and pre-anesthesia examination and evaluation information and data should be adequately documented in the medical record.

The medical records also should contain documentation of the intraoperative and postoperative monitoring required by these guidelines.

Written documentation of informed consent should be included in the medical record.

Credentialing of Physicians

A physician who performs surgical or special procedures in an office requiring the administration of anesthesia services should be credentialed to perform that surgical or special procedure by a hospital, an ambulatory surgical facility, or substantially comply with criteria established by the Board.

Criteria to be considered by the Board in assessing a physician's competence to perform a surgical or special procedure include, without limitation:

1. state licensure;
2. procedure specific education, training, experience and successful evaluation appropriate for the patient population being treated (i.e., pediatrics);
3. for physicians, board certification, board eligibility or completion of a training program in a field of specialization recognized by the ACGME or by a national medical specialty board that is recognized by the ABMS for expertise and proficiency in that field. For purposes of this requirement, board eligibility or certification is relevant only if the board in question is recognized by the ABMS, AOA, or equivalent board certification as determined by the Board;
4. professional misconduct and malpractice history;
5. participation in peer and quality review;
6. participation in continuing education consistent with the statutory requirements and requirements of the physician's professional organization;
7. to the extent such coverage is reasonably available in North Carolina, malpractice insurance coverage for the surgical or special procedures being performed in the office;
8. procedure-specific competence (and competence in the use of new procedures and technology), which should encompass education, training,

experience and evaluation, and which may include the following:

- a. adherence to professional society standards;
- b. credentials approved by a nationally recognized accrediting or credentialing entity; or
- c. didactic course complemented by hands-on, observed experience; training is to be followed by a specified number of cases supervised by a practitioner already competent in the respective procedure, in accordance with professional society standards.

If the physician administers the anesthetic as part of a surgical or special procedure (Level II only), he or she also should have documented competence to deliver the level of anesthesia administered.

Accreditation

After one year of operation following the adoption of these guidelines, any physician who performs Level II or Level III procedures in an office should be able to demonstrate, upon request by the Board, substantial compliance with these guidelines, or should obtain accreditation of the office setting by an approved accreditation agency or organization. The approved accreditation agency or organization should submit, upon request by the Board, a summary report for the office accredited by that agency.

All expenses related to accreditation or compliance with these guidelines shall be paid by the physician who performs the surgical or special procedures.

Patient Selection

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should evaluate the condition of the patient and the potential risks associated with the proposed treatment plan. The physician also is responsible for determining that the patient has an adequate support system to provide for necessary follow-up care. Patients with pre-existing medical problems or other conditions, who are at undue risk for complications, should be referred to an appropriate specialist for preoperative consultation.

ASA Physical Status Classifications

Patients that are considered high risk or are ASA physical status classification III, IV, or V and require a general anesthetic for the surgical procedure, should not have the surgical or special procedure performed in a physician office setting.

Candidates for Level II Procedures

Patients with an ASA physical status classification I, II, or III may be acceptable candidates for office-based surgical or special procedures requiring conscious sedation/analgesia. ASA physical status classification III patients should be specifically addressed in the operating manual for the office. They may be acceptable candidates if deemed so by a physician qualified to assess the specific disability and its impact on anesthesia and surgical or procedural risks.

Candidates for Level III Procedures

Only patients with an ASA physical status classification I or II, who have no airway abnormality, and possess an unremarkable anesthetic history are acceptable candidates for Level III procedures.

Surgical or Special Procedure Guidelines

Patient Preparation

A medical history and physical examination to evaluate the risk of anesthesia and of the proposed surgical or special procedure, should be performed by a physician qualified to assess the impact of co-existing disease processes on surgery and anesthesia. Appropriate laboratory studies should be obtained within 30 days of the planned surgical procedure.

A pre-procedure examination and evaluation should be conducted prior to the surgical or special procedure by the physician. The information and data obtained during the course of this evaluation should be documented in the medical record.

The physician performing the surgical or special procedure also should:

1. ensure that an appropriate pre-anesthetic examination and evaluation is performed proximate to the procedure;
2. prescribe the anesthetic, unless the anesthesia is administered by an anesthesiologist in which case the anesthesiologist may prescribe the anesthetic;
3. ensure that qualified health care professionals participate;
4. remain physically present during the intraoperative period and be immediately available for diagnosis, treatment, and management of anesthesia-related complications or emergencies; and
5. ensure the provision of indicated post-anesthesia care.

Discharge Criteria

Criteria for discharge for all patients who have received anesthesia should

POSITION STATEMENTS

include the following:

1. confirmation of stable vital signs;
2. stable oxygen saturation levels;
3. return to pre-procedure mental status;
4. adequate pain control;
5. minimal bleeding, nausea and vomiting;
6. resolving neural blockade, resolution of the neuraxial blockade; and
7. eligible to be discharged in the company of a competent adult.

Information to the Patient

The patient should receive verbal instruction understandable to the patient or guardian, confirmed by written post-operative instructions and emergency contact numbers. The instructions should include:

1. the procedure performed;
2. information about potential complications;
3. telephone numbers to be used by the patient to discuss complications or should questions arise;
4. instructions for medications prescribed and pain management;
5. information regarding the follow-up visit date, time and location; and
6. designated treatment hospital in the event of emergency.

Reportable Complications

Physicians performing surgical or special procedures in the office should maintain timely records, which should be provided to the Board within three business days of receipt of a Board inquiry. Records of reportable complications should be in writing and should include:

1. physician's name and license number;
2. date and time of the occurrence;
3. office where the occurrence took place;
4. name and address of the patient;
5. surgical or special procedure involved;
6. type and dosage of sedation or anesthesia utilized in the procedure; and
7. circumstances involved in the occurrence.

Equipment Maintenance

All anesthesia-related equipment and monitors should be maintained to current operating room standards. All devices should have regular service/maintenance checks at least annually or per manufacturer recommendations. Service/maintenance checks should be performed by appropriately qualified biomedical personnel. Prior to the administration of anesthesia, all equipment/monitors should be checked using the current FDA recommendations as a guideline. Records of equipment checks should be maintained in a separate, dedicated log which must be made available to the Board upon request. Documentation of any criteria deemed to be substandard should include a clear description of the problem and the intervention. If equipment is utilized despite the problem, documentation should clearly indicate that patient safety is not in jeopardy.

The emergency supplies should be maintained and inspected by qualified personnel for presence and function of all appropriate equipment and drugs at intervals established by protocol to ensure that equipment is functional and present, drugs are not expired, and office personnel are familiar with equipment and supplies. Records of emergency supply checks should be maintained in a separate, dedicated log and made available to the Board upon request.

A physician should not permit anyone to tamper with a safety system or any monitoring device or disconnect an alarm system.

Compliance with Relevant Health Laws

Federal and state laws and regulations that affect the practice should be identified and procedures developed to comply with those requirements.

Nothing in this position statement affects the scope of activities subject to or exempted from the North Carolina health care facility licensure laws.

Patient Rights

Office personnel should be informed about the basic rights of patients and understand the importance of maintaining patients' rights. A patients' rights document should be readily available upon request.

Enforcement

In that the Board believes that these guidelines constitute the accepted and prevailing standards of practice for office-based procedures in North Carolina, failure to substantially comply with these guidelines creates the risk of disciplinary action by the Board.

Level II Guidelines

Personnel

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure or a health care professional who is present during the intraoperative and postoperative periods should be ACLS certified, and at least one other health care professional should be BCLS certified. In an office where anesthesia services are provided to infants and children, personnel should be appropriately trained to handle pediatric emergencies (i.e., APLS or PALS certified).

Recovery should be monitored by a registered nurse or other health care professional practicing within the scope of his or her license or certification who is BCLS certified and has the capability of administering medications as required for analgesia, nausea/vomiting, or other indications.

Surgical or Special Procedure Guidelines

Intraoperative Care and Monitoring

The physician who performs Level II procedures that require conscious sedation in an office should ensure that monitoring is provided by a separate health care professional not otherwise involved in the surgical or special procedure. Monitoring should include, when clinically indicated for the patient:

- direct observation of the patient and, to the extent practicable, observation of the patient's responses to verbal commands;
- pulse oximetry should be performed continuously (an alternative method of measuring oxygen saturation may be substituted for pulse oximetry if the method has been demonstrated to have at least equivalent clinical effectiveness);
- an electrocardiogram monitor should be used continuously on the patient;
- the patient's blood pressure, pulse rate, and respirations should be measured and recorded at least every five minutes; and
- the body temperature of a pediatric patient should be measured continuously.

Clinically relevant findings during intraoperative monitoring should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Postoperative Care and Monitoring

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should evaluate the patient immediately upon completion of the surgery or special procedure and the anesthesia.

Care of the patient may then be transferred to the care of a qualified health care professional in the recovery area. A registered nurse or other health care professional practicing within the scope of his or her license or certification and who is BCLS certified and has the capability of administering medications as required for analgesia, nausea/vomiting, or other indications should monitor the patient postoperatively.

At least one health care professional who is ACLS certified should be immediately available until all patients have met discharge criteria. Prior to leaving the operating room or recovery area, each patient should meet discharge criteria.

Monitoring in the recovery area should include pulse oximetry and non-invasive blood pressure measurement. The patient should be assessed periodically for level of consciousness, pain relief, or any untoward complication. Clinically relevant findings during post-operative monitoring should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Equipment and Supplies

Unless another availability standard is clearly stated, the following equipment and supplies should be present in all offices where Level II procedures are performed:

1. Full and current crash cart at the location where the anesthetizing is being carried out. (the crash cart inventory should include appropriate resuscitative equipment and medications for surgical, procedural or anesthetic complications);
2. age-appropriate sized monitors, resuscitative equipment, supplies, and medication in accordance with the scope of the surgical or special procedures and the anesthesia services provided;
3. emergency power source able to produce adequate power to run required equipment for a minimum of two (2) hours;
4. electrocardiographic monitor;
5. noninvasive blood pressure monitor;
6. pulse oximeter;
7. continuous suction device;
8. endotracheal tubes, laryngoscopes;
9. positive pressure ventilation device (e.g., Ambu);
10. reliable source of oxygen;
11. emergency intubation equipment;
12. adequate operating room lighting;
13. appropriate sterilization equipment; and
14. IV solution and IV equipment.

POSITION STATEMENTS

Level III Guidelines

Personnel

Anesthesia should be administered by an anesthesiologist or a CRNA supervised by a physician. The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should not administer the anesthesia. The anesthesia provider should not be otherwise involved in the surgical or special procedure.

The physician or the anesthesia provider should be ACLS certified, and at least one other health care professional should be BCLS certified. In an office where anesthesia services are provided to infants and children, personnel should be appropriately trained to handle pediatric emergencies (i.e., APLS or PALS certified).

Surgical or Special Procedure Guidelines

Intraoperative Monitoring

The physician who performs procedures in an office that require major conduction blockade, deep sedation/analgesia, or general anesthesia should ensure that monitoring is provided as follows when clinically indicated for the patient:

- direct observation of the patient and, to the extent practicable, observation of the patient's responses to verbal commands;
 - pulse oximetry should be performed continuously. Any alternative method of measuring oxygen saturation may be substituted for pulse oximetry if the method has been demonstrated to have at least equivalent clinical effectiveness;
 - an electrocardiogram monitor should be used continuously on the patient;
 - the patient's blood pressure, pulse rate, and respirations should be measured and recorded at least every five minutes;
 - monitoring should be provided by a separate health care professional not otherwise involved in the surgical or special procedure;
 - end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring should be performed on the patient continuously during endotracheal anesthesia;
 - an in-circuit oxygen analyzer should be used to monitor the oxygen concentration within the breathing circuit, displaying the oxygen percent of the total inspiratory mixture;
 - a respirometer (volumeter) should be used to measure exhaled tidal volume whenever the breathing circuit of a patient allows;
 - the body temperature of each patient should be measured continuously; and
 - an esophageal or precordial stethoscope should be utilized on the patient.
- Clinically relevant findings during intraoperative monitoring should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Postoperative Care and Monitoring

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should evaluate the patient immediately upon completion of the surgery or special procedure and the anesthesia.

Care of the patient may then be transferred to the care of a qualified health care professional in the recovery area. Qualified health care professionals capable of administering medications as required for analgesia, nausea/vomiting, or other indications should monitor the patient postoperatively.

Recovery from a Level III procedure should be monitored by an ACLS certified (PALS or APLS certified when appropriate) health care professional using appropriate criteria for the level of anesthesia. At least one health care professional who is ACLS certified should be immediately available during postoperative monitoring and until the patient meets discharge criteria. Each patient should meet discharge criteria prior to leaving the operating or recovery area.

Monitoring in the recovery area should include pulse oximetry and non-invasive blood pressure measurement. The patient should be assessed periodically for level of consciousness, pain relief, or any untoward complication. Clinically relevant findings during postoperative monitoring should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Equipment and Supplies

Unless another availability standard is clearly stated, the following equipment and supplies should be present in all offices where Level III procedures are performed:

1. full and current crash cart at the location where the anesthetizing is being carried out (the crash cart inventory should include appropriate resuscitative equipment and medications for surgical, procedural or anesthetic complications);
2. age-appropriate sized monitors, resuscitative equipment, supplies, and medication in accordance with the scope of the surgical or special procedures and the anesthesia services provided;
3. emergency power source able to produce adequate power to run required equipment for a minimum of two (2) hours;

4. electrocardiographic monitor;
5. noninvasive blood pressure monitor;
6. pulse oximeter;
7. continuous suction device;
8. endotracheal tubes, and laryngoscopes;
9. positive pressure ventilation device (e.g., Ambu);
10. reliable source of oxygen;
11. emergency intubation equipment;
12. adequate operating room lighting;
13. appropriate sterilization equipment;
14. IV solution and IV equipment;
15. sufficient ampules of dantrolene sodium should be emergently available;
16. esophageal or precordial stethoscope;
17. emergency resuscitation equipment;
18. temperature monitoring device;
19. end tidal CO₂ monitor (for endotracheal anesthesia); and
20. appropriate operating or procedure table.

Definitions

AAAASF – the American Association for the Accreditation of Ambulatory Surgery Facilities.

AAAHHC – the Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care

ABMS – the American Board of Medical Specialties

ACGME – the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education

ACLS certified – a person who holds a current "ACLS Provider" credential certifying that they have successfully completed the national cognitive and skills evaluations in accordance with the curriculum of the American Heart Association for the Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support Program.

Advanced cardiac life support certified – a licensee that has successfully completed and recertified periodically an advanced cardiac life support course offered by a recognized accrediting organization appropriate to the licensee's field of practice. For example, for those licensees treating adult patients, training in ACLS is appropriate; for those treating children, training in PALS or APLS is appropriate.

Ambulatory surgical facility – a facility licensed under Article 6, Part D of Chapter 131E of the North Carolina General Statutes or if the facility is located outside North Carolina, under that jurisdiction's relevant facility licensure laws.

Anesthesia provider – an anesthesiologist or CRNA.

Anesthesiologist – a physician who has successfully completed a residency program in anesthesiology approved by the ACGME or AOA, or who is currently a diplomate of either the American Board of Anesthesiology or the American Osteopathic Board of Anesthesiology, or who was made a Fellow of the American College of Anesthesiology before 1982.

AOA – the American Osteopathic Association

APLS certified – a person who holds a current certification in advanced pediatric life support from a program approved by the American Heart Association.

Approved accrediting agency or organization – a nationally recognized accrediting agency (e.g., AAAASF; AAAHC, JCAHO, and HFAP) including any agency approved by the Board.

ASA – the American Society of Anesthesiologists

BCLS certified – a person who holds a current certification in basic cardiac life support from a program approved by the American Heart Association.

Board – the North Carolina Medical Board.

Conscious sedation – the administration of a drug or drugs in order to induce that state of consciousness in a patient which allows the patient to tolerate unpleasant medical procedures without losing defensive reflexes, adequate cardio-respiratory function and the ability to respond purposefully to verbal command or to tactile stimulation if verbal response is not possible as, for example, in the case of a small child or deaf person. Conscious sedation does not include an oral dose of pain medication or minimal pre-procedure tranquilization such as the administration of a pre-procedure oral dose of a benzodiazepine designed to calm the patient. "Conscious sedation" should be synonymous with the term "sedation/analgesia" as used by the American Society of Anesthesiologists.

Credentialed – a physician that has been granted, and continues to maintain, the privilege by a hospital or ambulatory surgical facility licensed in the jurisdiction in which it is located to provide specified services, such as surgical or special procedures or the administration of one or more types of anesthetic agents or procedures, or can show documentation of adequate training and experience.

CRNA – a registered nurse who is authorized by the North Carolina Board of Nursing to perform nurse anesthesia activities.

Deep sedation/analgesia – the administration of a drug or drugs which produces depression of consciousness during which patients cannot be easily aroused but can respond purposefully following repeated or painful stimulation. The ability to independently maintain ventilatory function may be impaired. Patients may require assistance in maintaining a patent airway, and spontaneous ventilation may be inadequate. Cardiovascular function is usually maintained.

FDA – the Food and Drug Administration.

General anesthesia – a drug-induced loss of consciousness during which patients are not arousable, even by painful stimulation. The ability to independently

POSITION STATEMENTS

maintain ventilatory function is often impaired. Patients often require assistance in maintaining a patent airway, and positive pressure ventilation may be required because of depressed spontaneous ventilation or drug-induced depression of neuromuscular function. Cardiovascular function may be impaired.

Health care professional – any office staff member who is licensed or certified by a recognized professional or health care organization.

HFAP – the Health Facilities Accreditation Program, a division of the AOA.

Hospital – a facility licensed under Article 5, Part A of Chapter 131E of the North Carolina General Statutes or if the facility is located outside North Carolina, under that jurisdiction's relevant facility licensure laws.

Immediately available – within the office.

JCAHO – the Joint Commission for the Accreditation of Health Organizations

Level I procedures – any surgical or special procedures:

- that do not involve drug-induced alteration of consciousness;
- where preoperative medications are not required or used other than minimal preoperative tranquilization of the patient (anxiolysis of the patient);
- where the anesthesia required or used is local, topical, digital block, or none; and
- where the probability of complications requiring hospitalization is remote.

Level II procedures – any surgical or special procedures:

- that require the administration of local or peripheral nerve block, minor conduction blockade, Bier block, minimal sedation, or conscious sedation; and
- where there is only a moderate risk of surgical and/or anesthetic complications and the need for hospitalization as a result of these complications is unlikely.

Level III procedures – any surgical or special procedures:

- that require, or reasonably should require, the use of major conduction blockade, deep sedation/analgesia, or general anesthesia; and
- where there is only a moderate risk of surgical and/or anesthetic complications and the need for hospitalization as a result of these complications is unlikely.

Local anesthesia – the administration of an agent which produces a transient and reversible loss of sensation in a circumscribed portion of the body.

Major conduction blockade – the injection of local anesthesia to stop or prevent a painful sensation in a region of the body. Major conduction blocks include, but are not limited to, axillary, interscalene, and supraclavicular block of the brachial plexus; spinal (subarachnoid), epidural and caudal blocks.

Minimal sedation (anxiolysis) – the administration of a drug or drugs which produces a state of consciousness that allows the patient to tolerate unpleasant medical procedures while responding normally to verbal commands. Cardiovascular or respiratory function should remain unaffected and defensive airway reflexes should remain intact.

Minor conduction blockade – the injection of local anesthesia to stop or prevent a painful sensation in a circumscribed area of the body (i.e., infiltration or local nerve block), or the block of a nerve by direct pressure and refrigeration. Minor conduction blocks include, but are not limited to, intercostal, retrobulbar, paravertebral, peribulbar, pudendal, sciatic nerve, and ankle blocks.

Monitoring – continuous, visual observation of a patient and regular observation of the patient as deemed appropriate by the level of sedation or recovery using instruments to measure, display, and record physiologic values such as heart rate, blood pressure, respiration and oxygen saturation.

Office – a location at which incidental, limited ambulatory surgical procedures are performed and which is not a licensed ambulatory surgical facility pursuant to Article 6, Part D of Chapter 131E of the North Carolina General Statutes.

Operating room – that location in the office dedicated to the performance of surgery or special procedures.

OSHA – the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

PALS certified – a person who holds a current certification in pediatric advanced life support from a program approved by the American Heart Association.

Physical status classification – a description of a patient used in determining if an office surgery or procedure is appropriate. For purposes of these guidelines, ASA classifications will be used. The ASA enumerates classification: I-normal, healthy patient; II-a patient with mild systemic disease; III-a patient with severe systemic disease limiting activity but not incapacitating; IV-a patient with incapacitating systemic disease that is a constant threat to life; and V-moribund, patients not expected to live 24 hours with or without operation.

Physician – an individual holding an MD or DO degree licensed pursuant to the NC Medical Practice Act and who performs surgical or special procedures covered by these guidelines.

Recovery area – a room or limited access area of an office dedicated to providing medical services to patients recovering from surgical or special procedures or anesthesia.

Reportable complications – untoward events occurring at any time within forty-eight (48) hours of any surgical or special procedure or the administration of anesthesia in an office setting including, but not limited to, any of the following: paralysis, nerve injury, malignant hyperthermia, seizures, myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, renal failure, significant cardiac events, respiratory arrest, aspiration of gastric contents, cerebral vascular accident, transfusion reaction, pneumothorax, allergic reaction to anesthesia, unintended hospitalization for more than twenty-four (24) hours, or death.

Special procedure – patient care that requires entering the body with instruments in a potentially painful manner, or that requires the patient to be immobile,

for a diagnostic or therapeutic procedure requiring anesthesia services; for example, diagnostic or therapeutic endoscopy; invasive radiologic procedures, pediatric magnetic resonance imaging; manipulation under anesthesia or endoscopic examination with the use of general anesthesia.

Surgical procedure – the revision, destruction, incision, or structural alteration of human tissue performed using a variety of methods and instruments and includes the operative and non-operative care of individuals in need of such intervention, and demands pre-operative assessment, judgment, technical skill, post-operative management, and follow-up.

Topical anesthesia – an anesthetic agent applied directly or by spray to the skin or mucous membranes, intended to produce a transient and reversible loss of sensation to a circumscribed area.

[A Position Statement on Office-Based Surgery was adopted by the Board on September 2000. The statement above (Adopted January 2003) replaces that statement.]

(Adopted September 2011) (Amended January 2003, May 2011)

LASER SURGERY

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that the revision, destruction, incision, or other structural alteration of human tissue using laser technology is surgery.* Laser surgery should be performed only by a physician or by a licensed health care practitioner working within his or her professional scope of practice and with appropriate medical training functioning under the supervision, preferably on-site, of a physician or by those categories of practitioners currently licensed by this state to perform surgical services.

Licensees should use only devices approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration unless functioning under protocols approved by institutional review boards. As with all new procedures, it is the licensee's responsibility to obtain adequate training and to make documentation of this training available to the North Carolina Medical Board on request.

Laser Hair Removal

Lasers are employed in certain hair-removal procedures, as are various devices that (1) manipulate and/or pulse light causing it to penetrate human tissue and (2) are classified as "prescription" by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Hair-removal procedures using such technologies should be performed only by a physician or by an individual designated as having adequate training and experience by a physician who bears full responsibility for the procedure. The physician who provides medical supervision is expected to provide adequate oversight of licensed and non-licensed personnel both before and after the procedure is performed. The Board believes that the guidelines set forth in this Position Statement are applicable to every licensee of the Board involved in laser hair removal, whether as an owner, medical director, consultant or otherwise.

It is the position of the Board that good medical practice requires that each patient be examined by a physician, physician assistant or nurse practitioner licensed or approved by this Board prior to receiving the first laser hair removal treatment and at other times as medically indicated. The examination should include a history and a focused physical examination. Where prescription medication such as topical anesthetics are used, the Board expects physicians to follow the guidelines set forth in the Board's Position Statement titled "Contact with Patients Before Prescribing." When medication is prescribed or dispensed in connection with laser hair removal, the supervising physician shall assure the patient receives thorough instructions on the safe use or application of said medication.

The responsible supervising physician should be on site or readily available to the person actually performing the procedure. What constitutes "readily available" will depend on a variety of factors. Those factors include the specific types of procedures and equipment used; the level of training of the persons performing the procedure; the level and type of licensure, if any, of the persons performing the procedure; the use of topical anesthetics; the quality of written protocols for the performance of the procedure; the frequency, quality and type of ongoing education of those performing the procedures; and any other quality assurance measures in place. In all cases, the Board expects the physician to be able to respond quickly to patient emergencies and questions by those performing the procedures.

*Definition of surgery as adopted by the NCMB, November 1998:

Surgery, which involves the revision, destruction, incision, or structural alteration of human tissue performed using a variety of methods and instruments, is a discipline that includes the operative and non-operative care of individuals in need of such intervention, and demands pre-operative assessment, judgment, technical skills, post-operative management, and follow up. (Adopted July 1999) (Amended January 2000; March 2002; August 2002; July 2005) (Reviewed March 2011)

POSITION STATEMENTS

CARE OF PATIENT UNDERGOING SURGERY OR OTHER INVASIVE PROCEDURE*

The evaluation, diagnosis, and care of the surgical patient is primarily the responsibility of the surgeon. The surgeon bears responsibility for ensuring the patient undergoes a preoperative assessment appropriate to the procedure. The assessment shall include a review of the patient's data relevant to the procedure. The operating surgeon shall have a detailed discussion with each patient regarding the diagnosis and the nature of the surgery, advising the patient fully of the risks involved. It is also the responsibility of the operating surgeon to reevaluate the patient immediately prior to the procedure.

It is the responsibility of the operating surgeon to assure safe and readily available postoperative care for each patient on whom he or she performs surgery. It is not improper to involve other licensed health care practitioners in postoperative care so long as the operating surgeon maintains responsibility for such care. The postoperative note must reflect the findings encountered in the individual patient and the procedure performed.

When identical procedures are done on a number of patients, individual notes should be done for each patient that reflect the specific findings and procedures of that operation.

(Invasive procedure includes, but is not limited to, endoscopies, cardiac catheterizations, interventional radiology procedures, etc. Surgeon refers to the provider performing the procedure)*This position statement was formerly titled, "Care of the Surgical Patient."

(Adopted September 1991) (Amended March 2001, September 2006, July 2012)

HIV/HVB INFECTED HEALTH CARE WORKERS

The North Carolina Medical Board supports and adopts the following rules of the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services regarding infection control in health care settings and HIV/HBV infected health care workers.

10A NCAC 41A .0206: INFECTION CONTROL—HEALTH CARE SETTINGS

(a) The following definitions shall apply throughout this Rule:

(1) "Health care organization" means hospital; clinic; physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, or chiropractic office; home health agency; nursing home; local health department; community health center; mental health agency; hospice; ambulatory surgical center; urgent care center; emergency room; or any other health care provider that provides clinical care.

(2) "Invasive procedure" means entry into tissues, cavities, or organs or repair of traumatic injuries. The term includes the use of needles to puncture skin, vaginal and cesarean deliveries, surgery, and dental procedures during which bleeding occurs or the potential for bleeding exists.

(b) Health care workers, emergency responders, and funeral service personnel shall follow blood and body fluid precautions with all patients.

(c) Health care workers who have exudative lesions or weeping dermatitis shall refrain from handling patient care equipment and devices used in performing invasive procedures and from all direct patient care that involves the potential for contact of the patient, equipment, or devices with the lesion or dermatitis until the condition resolves.

(d) All equipment used to puncture skin, mucous membranes, or other tissues in medical, dental, or other settings must be disposed of in accordance with 10A NCAC 36B after use or sterilized prior to reuse.

(e) In order to prevent transmission of HIV and hepatitis B from health care workers to patients, each health care organization that performs invasive procedures shall implement a written infection control policy. The health care organization shall ensure that health care workers in its employ or who have staff privileges are trained in the principles of infection control and the practices required by the policy; require and monitor compliance with the policy; and update the policy as needed to prevent transmission of HIV and hepatitis B from health care workers to patients. The health care organization shall designate a staff member to direct these activities. The designated staff member in each health care organization shall complete a course in infection control approved by the Department. The course shall address:

- (1) Epidemiologic principles of infectious disease;
- (2) Principles and practice of asepsis;
- (3) Sterilization, disinfection, and sanitation;
- (4) Universal blood and body fluid precautions;
- (5) Engineering controls to reduce the risk of sharp injuries;
- (6) Disposal of sharps; and
- (7) Techniques that reduce the risk of sharp injuries to health care workers.

(f) The infection control policy required by this Rule shall address the following components that are necessary to prevent transmission of HIV and hepatitis B from infected health care workers to patients:

- (1) Sterilization and disinfection, including a schedule for maintenance and microbiologic monitoring of equipment; the policy shall require documentation

of maintenance and monitoring;

- (2) Sanitation of rooms and equipment, including cleaning procedures, agents, and schedules;
- (3) Accessibility of infection control devices and supplies;
- (4) Procedures to be followed in implementing 10A NCAC 41A .0202(4) and .0203(b)(4) when a health care provider or a patient has an exposure to blood or other body fluids of another person in a manner that poses a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B.

History Note: Authority G.S. 130A 144; 130A 145; Eff. October 1, 1992; Amended Eff. December 1, 2003; July 1, 1994; January 4, 1994.

10A NCAC 41A .0207: HIV AND HEPATITIS B INFECTED HEALTH CARE WORKERS

(a) The following definitions shall apply throughout this Rule:

(1) "Surgical or obstetrical procedures" means vaginal deliveries or surgical entry into tissues, cavities, or organs. The term does not include phlebotomy; administration of intramuscular, intradermal, or subcutaneous injections; needle biopsies; needle aspirations; lumbar punctures; angiographic procedures; endoscopic and bronchoscopic procedures; or placing or maintaining peripheral or central intravascular lines.

(2) "Dental procedure" means any dental procedure involving manipulation, cutting, or removal of oral or perioral tissues, including tooth structure during which bleeding occurs or the potential for bleeding exists. The term does not include the brushing of teeth.

(b) All health care workers who perform surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures and who know themselves to be infected with HIV or hepatitis B shall notify the State Health Director. Health care workers who assist in these procedures in a manner that may result in exposure of patients to their blood and who know themselves to be infected with HIV or hepatitis B shall also notify the State Health Director. The notification shall be made in writing to the Chief, Communicable Disease Control Branch, 1902 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1902..

(c) The State Health Director shall investigate the practice of any infected health care worker and the risk of transmission to patients. The investigation may include review of medical and work records and consultation with health care professionals who may have information necessary to evaluate the clinical condition or practice of the infected health care worker. The attending physician of the infected health care worker shall be consulted. The State Health Director shall protect the confidentiality of the infected health care worker and may disclose the worker's infection status only when essential to the conduct of the investigation or periodic reviews pursuant to Paragraph (h) of this Rule. When the health care worker's infection status is disclosed, the State Health Director shall give instructions regarding the requirement for protecting confidentiality.

(d) If the State Health Director determines that there may be a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to patients, the State Health Director shall appoint an expert panel to evaluate the risk of transmission to patients, and review the practice, skills, and clinical condition of the infected health care worker, as well as the nature of the surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures performed and operative and infection control techniques used. Each expert panel shall include an infectious disease specialist, an infection control expert, a person who practices the same occupational specialty as the infected health care worker and, if the health care worker is a licensed professional, a representative of the appropriate licensure board. The panel may include other experts. The State Health Director shall consider for appointment recommendations from health care organizations and local societies of health care professionals.

(e) The expert panel shall review information collected by the State Health Director and may request that the State Health Director obtain additional information as needed. The State Health Director shall not reveal to the panel the identity of the infected health care worker. The infected health care worker and the health care worker's attending physician shall be given an opportunity to present information to the panel. The panel shall make recommendations to the State Health Director that address the following:

- (1) Restrictions that are necessary to prevent transmission from the infected health care worker to patients;
- (2) Identification of patients that have been exposed to a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B; and
- (3) Periodic review of the clinical condition and practice of the infected health care worker.

(f) If, prior to receipt of the recommendations of the expert panel, the State Health Director determines that immediate practice restrictions are necessary to prevent an imminent threat to the public health, the State Health Director shall issue an isolation order pursuant to G.S. 130A 145. The isolation order shall require cessation or modification of some or all surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures to the extent necessary to prevent an imminent threat to the public health. This isolation order shall remain in effect until an isolation order is issued pursuant to Paragraph (g) of this Rule or until the State Health Director determines the imminent threat to the public health no longer exists.

(g) After consideration of the recommendations of the expert panel, the State Health Director shall issue an isolation order pursuant to G.S. 130A 145. The isola-

POSITION STATEMENTS

tion order shall require any health care worker who is allowed to continue performing surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures to, within a time period specified by the State Health Director, successfully complete a course in infection control procedures approved by the Department of Health and Human Services, General Communicable Disease Control Branch, in accordance with 10A NCAC 41A .0206(e). The isolation order shall require practice restrictions, such as cessation or modification of some or all surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures, to the extent necessary to prevent a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to patients. The isolation order shall prohibit the performance of procedures that cannot be modified to avoid a significant risk of transmission. If the State Health Director determines that there has been a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to a patient, the State Health Director shall notify the patient or assist the health care worker to notify the patient.

(h) The State Health Director shall request the assistance of one or more health care professionals to obtain information needed to periodically review the clinical condition and practice of the infected health care worker who performs or assists in surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures.

(i) An infected health care worker who has been evaluated by the State Health Director shall notify the State Health Director prior to a change in practice involving surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures. The infected health care worker shall not make the proposed change without approval from the State Health Director. If the State Health Director makes a determination in accordance with Paragraph (c) of this Rule that there is a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to patients, the State Health Director shall appoint an expert panel in accordance with Paragraph (d) of this Rule. Otherwise, the State Health Director shall notify the health care worker that he or she may make the proposed change in practice.

(j) If practice restrictions are imposed on a licensed health care worker, a copy of the isolation order shall be provided to the appropriate licensure board. The State Health Director shall report violations of the isolation order to the appropriate licensure board. The licensure board shall report to the State Health Director any information about the infected health care worker that may be relevant to the risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to patients.

History Note: Authority G.S. 130A 144; 130A 145; Eff. October 1, 1992; Amended Eff. April 1, 2003.

(Adopted November 1992) (Amended May 1996; January 2005) (Reviewed January 2011)

PROFESSIONAL OBLIGATIONS PERTAINING TO INCOMPETENCE, IMPAIRMENT OR UNETHICAL CONDUCT OF LICENSEES

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that its licensees have a professional obligation to act when confronted with an impaired or incompetent colleague or one who has engaged in unethical conduct.

When appropriate, an offer of personal assistance to the colleague may be the most compassionate and effective intervention. When this would not be appropriate or sufficient to address the problem, licensees have a duty to report the matter to the institution best positioned to deal with the problem. For example, impaired licensees should be reported to the North Carolina Physicians Health program. Incompetent licensees should be reported to the clinical authority empowered to take appropriate action. Licensees also may report to the North Carolina Medical Board, and when there is no other institution reasonably likely to be able to deal with the problem, this will be the only way of discharging the duty to report.

This duty is subordinate to the duty to maintain patient confidences. In other words, when the colleague is a patient or when matters concerning a colleague are brought to the licensee's attention by a patient, the licensee must give appropriate consideration to preserving the patient's confidences in deciding whether to report the colleague.

(Adopted November 1998) (Amended May 2010) (Reviewed Sept. 2013)

ADVERTISING AND PUBLICITY*

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that advertising or publicity that is deceptive, false, or misleading constitutes unprofessional conduct under the Medical Practice Act.*

The term "advertising" includes oral, written and other types of communication disseminated by or at the direction of a licensee for the purpose of encouraging or soliciting the use of the licensee's services. At issue is whether a member of the general public would be confused or deceived by the advertising in question. The following general principles are intended to assist licensees in meeting the Board's expectations: (1) advertisements should not contain false claims or misrepresentations of fact, either expressly or by implication; (2) advertisements should not omit material facts; and (3) licensees should be prepared to substantiate claims made in advertisements.

Licensees should avoid advertising and publicity that creates unjustified medical expectations, that are accompanied by deceptive claims, or that imply exclusive or unique skills or remedies. Similarly, a statement that a

licensee has cured or successfully treated a large number of patients suffering a particular ailment is deceptive if it implies a certainty of results and/or creates unjustified or misleading expectations. When using patient photographs, they should be of the licensee's own patients and demonstrate realistic outcomes. Likewise, when a change of circumstances renders advertising inaccurate or misleading, the licensee is expected to make reasonable efforts to correct the advertising within a reasonable time frame.

The advent of the Internet and the proliferation of websites purporting to "rate" healthcare providers mean that licensees cannot always control information about themselves in the public domain. However, a licensee is expected to exercise reasonable efforts to bring about the correction or elimination of false or misleading information when he or she becomes aware of it.

Physicians Advertising Board Certification

The term "board certified" is publicly regarded as evidence of the skill and training of a physician carrying this designation. Accordingly, in order to avoid misleading or deceptive advertising concerning board certification, physicians are expected to meet the following guidelines.

No physician should advertise or otherwise hold himself or herself out to the public as being "board certified" without proof of current certification by a specialty board approved by the (1) American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS); (2) the Bureau of Osteopathic Specialists of the American Osteopathic Association (AOA-BOS); (3) the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC); or (4) a board that meets the following requirements:

- i. the organization requires satisfactory completion of a training program with training, documentation and clinical requirements similar in scope and complexity to ACGME- or AOA-approved programs, in the specialty or subspecialty field of medicine in which the physician seeks certification. Solely experiential or on-the-job training is not sufficient;
- ii. the organization requires all physicians seeking certification to successfully pass a written or oral examination or both, which tests the applicant's knowledge and skill in the specialty or subspecialty area of medicine. All examinations require a psychometric evaluation for validation;
- iii. the organization requires diplomates to recertify every ten years or less, and the recertification requires, at a minimum, passage of a written examination;
- iv. the organization prohibits all certification and recertification candidates from attempting more than three times in three years to pass the examination;
- v. the organization has written by-laws and a code of ethics to guide the practice of its members and an internal review and control process including budgetary practices to ensure effective utilization of resources;
- vi. the organization has written proof of a determination by the Internal Revenue Service that the certifying organization is tax-exempt under Section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code; and
- vii. the organization has a permanent headquarters and staff sufficient to respond to consumer and regulatory inquiries.

The Board expects any physician advertising or otherwise holding himself or herself out to the public as "board certified" to disclose in the advertisement the specialty board by which the physician was certified. A physician is expected to maintain and provide to the Board upon request evidence of current board certification. In the case of physicians who have been certified by non-ABMS, non-AOA and non-RCPSC boards, the physician is expected to maintain and provide to the Board upon request evidence that the certifying board meets the criteria listed above.

The above limitations are only intended to apply to physicians who advertise or otherwise hold themselves out to the public as being "board certified." The above criteria are not applicable in other instances, such as employment determinations, privileging or credentialing decisions, membership on insurance panels, or setting reimbursement rates.

*Business letterheads, envelopes, cards, and similar materials are understood to be forms of advertising and publicity for the purpose of this Position Statement.

(Adopted November 1999) (Amended March 2001) (Reviewed September 2005) (Amended November 2010, March 2012)

SALE OF GOODS FROM PHYSICIAN OFFICES

Inherent in the in-office sale of products is a perceived conflict of interest. On this issue, it is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that the following instructions should guide the conduct of physicians or licensees.

Sale of practice-related items such as ointments, creams and lotions by Dermatologists, splints and appliances by Orthopedists, spectacles by Ophthalmologists, etc., may be acceptable only after the patient has been told

POSITION STATEMENTS

those or similar items can be obtained locally from other sources. Any charge made should be reasonable.

Due to the potential for patient exploitation, the Medical Board opposes licensees participating in exclusive distributorships and/or personal branding, or persuading patients to become dealers or distributors of profit making goods or services.

Licensees should not sell any non health-related goods from their offices or other treatment settings. (This does not preclude selling of such low cost items on an occasional basis for the benefit of charitable or community organizations, provided the licensee receives no share of the proceeds, and patients are not pressured to purchase.)

All decisions regarding sales of items by the physician or his/her staff from the physician's office or other place where health care services are provided, must always be guided by what is in the patient's best interest. (Adopted March 2001) (Amended March 2006) (Reviewed May 2011)

REFERRAL FEES AND FEE SPLITTING

Payment by or to a licensee solely for the referral of a patient is unethical. A licensee may not accept payment of any kind, in any form, from any source, such as a pharmaceutical company or pharmacist, an optical company, or the manufacturer of medical appliances and devices, for prescribing or referring a patient to said source. In each case, the payment violates the requirement to deal honestly with patients and colleagues. The patient relies upon the advice of the licensee on matters of referral. All referrals and prescriptions must be based on the skill and quality of the licensee to whom the patient has been referred or the quality and efficacy of the drug or product prescribed.

It is unethical for licensees to offer financial incentives or other valuable considerations to patients in exchange for recruitment of other patients. Such incentives can distort the information that patients provide to potential patients, thus distorting the expectations of potential patients and compromising the trust that is the foundation of the patient-physician licensee relationship. Furthermore, referral fees are prohibited by state law pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. Section 90-401. Violation of this law may result in disciplinary action by the Board.

Except in instances permitted by law (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 55B-14(c)), it is the position of the Board that a licensee cannot share revenue on a percentage basis with a non-licensee. To do so is fee splitting and is grounds for disciplinary action.

Voucher Advertising It is the Board's position that, so long as certain conditions are followed, advertising involving the utilization of vouchers does not constitute unethical fee-splitting or a prohibited solicitation or referral fee under North Carolina law. Those conditions include: (1) ensuring that the negotiated fee between the voucher advertising company and the licensee represents reasonable compensation for the cost of advertising; and (2) incorporating the following terms and conditions in a clear and conspicuous manner in all advertisements:

(a) A description of the discounted price in comparison to the actual cost of services;

(b) A disclosure that all patients may not be eligible for the advertised medical service and that decisions about medical care should not be made in haste. Determinations regarding the medical indications for individual patients will be made on an individual basis by applying accepted and prevailing standards of medical practice; and

(c) A disclosure to prospective patients that, if it is later decided that the patient is not a candidate for the previously purchased medical service, the patient's purchase price will be refunded in its entirety. If the patient does not claim the service, then the patient's purchase price must still be refunded in its entirety. In the event that the voucher advertising company does not refund the purchase price in its entirety, it will be the sole obligation of the licensee to refund the entire purchase price. (Adopted November 1993) (Amended May 1996, July 2006, January 2013)

UNETHICAL AGREEMENTS IN COMPLAINT SETTLEMENTS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that it is unethical for a licensee to settle any complaint if the settlement contains an agreement by a patient not to complain or provide information to the Board. (Adopted November 1993) (Amended May 1996, March 2010)

THE MEDICAL SUPERVISOR-TRAINEE RELATIONSHIP

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that the relationship between medical supervisors and their trainees in medical schools and other medical training programs is one of the most valuable aspects of medical education. We note, however, that this relationship involves inherent inequalities in status and power that, if abused, may adversely affect the

educational experience and, ultimately, patient care. Abusive behavior in the medical supervisor-trainee relationship, whether physical or verbal, is a form of unprofessional conduct. However, criticism and/or negative feedback that is offered with the aim of improving the educational experience and patient care should not be construed as abusive behavior. (Adopted April 2004) (Reviewed November 2010)

COMPETENCE AND RE-ENTRY TO THE ACTIVE AND RE-ENTRY TO THE ACTIVE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE

The ability to practice medicine results from a complex interaction of knowledge, physical skills, judgment, and character tempered by experience leading to competence. Maintenance of competence requires a commitment to lifelong learning and the continuous practice of medicine, in whatever field one has chosen. Absence from the active practice of medicine leads to the attenuation of the ability to practice competently.

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board, in accord with GS 90-6(a), that practitioners seeking licensure, or reactivation of a North Carolina medical license, who have had an interruption, for whatever reason, in the continuous practice of medicine greater than two (2) years must reestablish, to the Board's satisfaction, their competence to practice medicine safely.

Any such applicant must meet all the requirements for and completion of a regular license application. In addition, full-scale assessments, engagement in formal training programs, supervised practice arrangements, formal testing, or other proofs of competence may be required.

The Board will cooperate with appropriate entities in the development of programs and resources that can be used to fulfill the above requirements, including the issuance, when necessary and appropriate, of a time or location limited and/or restricted license (e.g., residency training license).

It shall be the responsibility of the applicant to develop a reentry program subject to the approval of the Board. (Adopted July 2006)

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT

In *North Carolina Dept. Correction v. North Carolina Medical Board*, the North Carolina Supreme Court ruled that while the North Carolina Medical Board does "retain disciplinary power over a licensed medical doctor who participates in an execution," the Board "may not discipline or threaten discipline against its licensees solely for participating in the execution alone." Consistent with the Supreme Court's ruling, the Board will not take any disciplinary action against a physician for participation in an execution.

The North Carolina Medical Board does, however, continue to take the position that physician participation in capital punishment is a departure from the ethics of the medical profession. The North Carolina Medical Board cites the provisions of AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.06 (printed below) as an accurate statement of the professional ethics of physician participation in executions.

Relevant Provisions of AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.06

An individual's opinion on capital punishment is the personal moral decision of the individual. A physician, as a member of a profession dedicated to preserving life when there is hope of doing so, should not be a participant in a legally authorized execution. Physician participation in execution is defined generally as actions which would fall into one or more of the following categories: (1) an action which would directly cause the death of the condemned; (2) an action which would assist, supervise or contribute to the ability of another individual to directly cause the death of the condemned; (3) an action which could automatically cause an execution to be carried out on a condemned prisoner.

Physician participation in an execution includes, but is not limited to, the following actions: prescribing or administering tranquilizers and other psychotropic agents and medications that are part of the execution procedure; monitoring vital signs on site or remotely (including monitoring electrocardiograms); attending or observing an execution as a physician; and rendering of technical advice regarding execution.

In the case where the method of execution is lethal injection, the following actions by the physician would also constitute physician participation in execution: selecting injection sites; starting intravenous lines as a port for a lethal injection device; prescribing, preparing, administering, or supervising injection drugs or their doses or types; inspecting, testing, or maintaining lethal injection devices; and consulting with or supervising lethal injection personnel.

The following actions do not constitute physician participation in execution: (1) testifying as to medical history and diagnoses or mental state as they relate to competence to stand trial, testifying as to relevant medical evidence during trial, testifying as to medical aspects of aggravating or mitigating

POSITION STATEMENTS

circumstances during the penalty phase of a capital case, or testifying as to medical diagnoses as they relate to the legal assessment of competence for execution; (2) certifying death, provided that the condemned has been declared dead by another person; (3) witnessing an execution in a totally nonprofessional capacity; (4) witnessing an execution at the specific voluntary request of the condemned person, provided that the physician observes the execution in a nonprofessional capacity; and (5) relieving the acute suffering of a condemned person while awaiting execution, including providing tranquilizers at the specific voluntary request of the condemned person to help relieve pain or anxiety in anticipation of the execution.

(Created Jan 2007) (Amended July 2009) (July 2013)

PHYSICIAN SUPERVISION OF OTHER LICENSED HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS

The physician who provides medical supervision of other licensed health-care practitioners is expected to provide adequate oversight. The physician must always maintain the ultimate responsibility to assure that high quality care is provided to every patient. In discharging that responsibility, the physician should exercise the appropriate amount of supervision over a licensed healthcare practitioner which will ensure the maintenance of quality medical care and patient safety in accord with existing state and federal law and the rules and regulations of the North Carolina Medical Board. What constitutes an “appropriate amount of supervision” will depend on a variety of factors. Those factors include, but are not limited to:

- The number of supervisees under a physician’s supervision
 - The geographical distance between the supervising physician and the supervisee
 - The supervisee’s practice setting
 - The medical specialty of the supervising physician and the supervisee
 - The level of training of the supervisee
 - The experience of the supervisee
 - The frequency, quality, and type of ongoing education of the supervisee
 - The amount of time the supervising physician and the supervisee have worked together
 - The quality of the written collaborative practice agreement, supervisory arrangement, protocol or other written guidelines intended for the guidance of the supervisee
 - The supervisee’s scope of practice consistent with the supervisee’s education, national certification and/or collaborative practice agreement
- (Adopted July 2007) (Reviewed September 2012)

DRUG OVERDOSE PREVENTION

The Board is concerned about the rise in overdose deaths over the past decade in the State of North Carolina as a result of both prescription and non-prescription drugs. The Board is encouraged by programs that are attempting to reduce the number of drug overdoses by making available or prescribing an opioid antagonist such as naloxone to someone in a position to assist a person at risk of an opiate-related overdose.

The prevention of drug overdoses is consistent with the Board’s statutory mission to protect the people of North Carolina. The Board therefore encourages its licensees to cooperate with programs in their efforts to make opioid antagonists available to persons at risk of suffering an opiate-related overdose.

* Naloxone is the antidote used in emergency medical settings to reverse respiratory depression due to opioid toxicity.

(Adopted September 2008, Revised March 2013)

MEDICAL TESTIMONY

The Board recognizes that medical testimony is vital to the administration of justice in both judicial and administrative proceedings. In order to provide further guidance to those licensees called upon to testify, the Board adopts and endorses the AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 9.07 entitled “Medical Testimony.” In addition to AMA Ethics Opinion 9.07, the Board provides the following guidelines to those licensees testifying as medical experts:

- Licensee expert witnesses are expected to be impartial and should not adopt a position as an advocate or partisan in the legal proceedings.
- The licensee expert witness should review all the relevant medical information in the case and testify to its content fairly, honestly, and in a balanced manner. In addition, the licensee expert witness may be called upon to draw an inference or an opinion based on evidence presented in the case. In doing so, the licensee expert witness should apply the same standards of fairness and honesty.
- The licensee expert witness is ethically and legally obligated to tell the truth. The licensee expert witness should be aware that failure to provide truthful

testimony constitutes unprofessional conduct and may expose the licensee expert witness to disciplinary action by the Board pursuant to N.C. Gen Stat. § 90-14(a)(6).

The language of AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 9.07 provides:

In various legal and administrative proceedings, medical evidence is critical. As citizens and as professionals with specialized knowledge and experience, physicians have an obligation to assist in the administration of justice.

When a legal claim pertains to a patient the physician has treated, the physician must hold the patient’s medical interests paramount, including the confidentiality of the patient’s health information, unless the physician is authorized or legally compelled to disclose the information.

Physicians who serve as fact witnesses must deliver honest testimony. This requires that they engage in continuous self-examination to ensure that their testimony represents the facts of the case. When treating physicians are called upon to testify in matters that could adversely impact their patients’ medical interests, they should decline to testify unless the patient consents or unless ordered to do so by legally constituted authority. If, as a result of legal proceedings, the patient and the physician are placed in adversarial positions it may be appropriate for a treating physician to transfer the care of the patient to another physician.

When physicians choose to provide expert testimony, they should have recent and substantive experience or knowledge in the area in which they testify, and be committed to evaluating cases objectively and to providing an independent opinion. Their testimony should reflect current scientific thought and standards of care that have gained acceptance among peers in the relevant field. If a medical witness knowingly provides testimony based on a theory not widely accepted in the profession, the witness should characterize the theory as such. Also, testimony pertinent to a standard of care must consider standards that prevailed at the time the event under review occurred.

All physicians must accurately represent their qualifications and must testify honestly. Physician testimony must not be influenced by financial compensation; for example, it is unethical for a physician to accept compensation that is contingent upon the outcome of litigation.

Organized medicine, including state and specialty societies, and medical licensing boards can help maintain high standards for medical witnesses by assessing claims of false or misleading testimony and issuing disciplinary sanctions as appropriate. (II, IV, V, VII) Issued December 2004 based on the report “Medical Testimony,” adopted June 2004. (Adopted March 2008) (Amended September 2012)

COLLABORATIVE CARE WITHIN THE HEALTHCARE TEAM

The North Carolina Medical Board (“the Board”) recognizes that the manner in which its licensees interact with others can significantly impact patient care.

The Board strongly urges its licensees to fulfill their obligations to maximize the safety of patient care by behaving in a manner that promotes both professional practice and a work environment that ensures high standards of care. The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education highlights the importance of interpersonal/communication skills and professionalism as two of the six core competencies required for graduation from residency. Licensees should consider it their ethical duty to foster respect among all health care professionals as a means of ensuring good patient care.

Disruptive behavior is a style of interaction with physicians, hospital personnel, patients, family members, or others that interferes with patient care. Behaviors such as foul language; rude, loud or offensive comments; and intimidation of staff, patients and family members are commonly recognized as detrimental to patient care. Furthermore, it has become apparent that disruptive behavior is often a marker for concerns that can range from a lack of interpersonal skills to deeper problems, such as depression or substance abuse. As a result, disruptive behavior may reach a threshold such that it constitutes grounds for further inquiry by the Board into the potential underlying causes of such behavior. Behavior by a licensee that is disruptive could be grounds for Board discipline.

The Board distinguishes disruptive behavior from constructive criticism that is offered in a professional manner with the aim of improving patient care. The Board also reminds its licensees of their responsibility not only to patients, but also to themselves. Symptoms of stress, such as exhaustion and depression, can negatively affect a licensee’s health and performance. Licensees suffering such symptoms are encouraged to seek the support needed to help them regain their equilibrium.

Finally, licensees, in their role as patient and peer advocates, are obligated to take appropriate action when observing disruptive behavior on the part of other licensees. The Board urges its licensees to support their hospital, practice, or other healthcare organization in their efforts to identify and manage

POSITION STATEMENTS

disruptive behavior, by taking a role in this process when appropriate. (January 2010)

TELEMEDICINE

“Telemedicine” is the practice of medicine using electronic communication, information technology or other means between a licensee in one location and a patient in another location with or without an intervening health care provider.

The Board recognizes that technological advances have made it possible for licensees to provide medical care to patients who are separated by some geographical distance. As a result, telemedicine is a potentially useful tool that, if employed appropriately, can provide important benefits to patients, including: increased access to health care, expanded utilization of specialty expertise, rapid availability of patient records, and the reduced cost of patient care.

The Board cautions, however, that licensees practicing via telemedicine will be held to the same standard of care as licensees employing more traditional in-person medical care. A failure to conform to the appropriate standard of care, whether that care is rendered in-person or via telemedicine, may subject the licensee to potential discipline by this Board.

The Board provides the following considerations to its licensees as guidance in providing medical services via telemedicine:

Training of Staff -- Staff involved in the telemedicine visit should be trained in the use of the telemedicine equipment and competent in its operation.

Examinations -- Licensees using telemedicine technologies to provide care to patients located in North Carolina must provide an appropriate examination prior to diagnosing and/or treating the patient. However, this examination need not be in-person if the technology is sufficient to provide the same information to the licensee as if the exam had been performed face-to-face. Other examinations may also be considered appropriate if the licensee is at a distance from the patient, but a licensed health care professional is able to provide various physical findings that the licensee needs to complete an adequate assessment. On the other hand, a simple questionnaire without an appropriate examination may be a violation of law and/or subject the licensee to discipline by the Board.

Licensee-Patient Relationship -- The licensee using telemedicine should have some means of verifying that the person seeking treatment is in fact who he or she claims to be. A diagnosis should be established through the use of accepted medical practices, i.e., a patient history, mental status examination, physical examination and appropriate diagnostic and laboratory testing. Licensees using telemedicine should also ensure the availability for appropriate follow-up care and maintain a complete medical record that is available to the patient and other treating health care providers.

Medical Records -- The licensee treating a patient via telemedicine must maintain a complete record of the telemedicine patient's care according to prevailing medical record standards. The medical record serves to document the analysis and plan of an episode of care for future reference. It must reflect an appropriate evaluation of the patient's presenting symptoms, and relevant components of the electronic professional interaction must be documented as with any other encounter.

The licensee must maintain the record's confidentiality and disclose the records to the patient consistent with state and federal law. If the patient has a primary care provider and a telemedicine provider for the same ailment, then the primary care provider's medical record and the telemedicine provider's record constitute one complete patient record.

Licensee -- The practice of medicine is deemed to occur in the state in which the patient is located. Therefore, any licensee using telemedicine to regularly provide medical services to patients located in North Carolina should be licensed to practice medicine in North Carolina. Licensees need not reside in North Carolina, as long as they have a valid, current North Carolina license.

North Carolina licensees intending to practice medicine via telemedicine technology to treat or diagnose patients outside of North Carolina should check with other state licensing boards. Most states require physicians to be licensed, and some have enacted limitations to telemedicine practice or require or offer a special registration. A directory of all U.S. medical boards may be accessed at the Federation of State Medical Boards Web site: http://www.fsmb.org/directory_smb.html.

(Adopted July 2010)

¹ See also the Board's Position Statement entitled "Contact with Patients before Prescribing."
² N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-18(c)(11) exempts from the requirement for licensure: "The practice of medicine or surgery by any nonregistered reputable physician or surgeon who comes into this State, either in person or by use of any electronic or other mediums, on an irregular basis, to consult with a resident registered physician or to consult with personnel at a medical school about educational or medical training. This proviso shall not apply to physicians resident in a neighboring state and regularly practicing in this State."

The Board also notes that the North Carolina General Statutes define the practice of medicine as including, "The performance of any act, within or without this State, de-

scribed in this subdivision by use of any electronic or other means, including the Internet or telephone." N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-1.1(5)j.

PHYSICIAN SCOPE OF PRACTICE

This Position Statement is intended to guide physicians who undertake to perform new procedures, use new technologies, or migrate into areas of practice for which they have not received formal graduate medical education. The Board recognizes that medicine is a dynamic field that, along with individual practices, continues to evolve. Economic pressures, business opportunities, lifestyle considerations, and access to care are all reasons that physicians move into new areas of practice. However, patient harm can occur when physicians practicing outside areas in which they were trained are unable to meet accepted and prevailing standards of care in the new practice area.

The informed, prudent care of patients begins with adequate training and the selection of appropriate patients. Follow up care and the ability to address complications is paramount. Physicians intending to expand their practice to an area outside of their graduate medical education should ensure that they have acquired the appropriate level of education and training.

It is the Board's position that all physicians, irrespective of their training, will be held to the standard of acceptable and prevailing medical practice as set forth in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-14(a)(6).^{*} It also may be prudent for physicians to confirm that their liability insurance provides coverage for the procedures they intend to perform.

^{*}In some instances, the Board may have provided relevant guidance to particular practice areas. See for example the Board's position statements on Laser Surgery, Office-Based Procedures, Care of the Patient Undergoing Surgery or Other Invasive Procedure, and Advertising and Publicity. (Adopted March 2011)

PROFESSIONAL USE OF SOCIAL MEDIA

The Board recognizes that social media has increasing relevance to professionals and supports its responsible use. However, health care practitioners are held to a higher standard than others with respect to social media because health care professionals, unlike members of the lay public, are bound by ethical and professional obligations that extend beyond the exam room.

The informality of social media sites may obscure the serious implications and long term consequences of certain types of postings. The Board encourages its licensees to consider the implications of their online activities including, but not limited to, the following:

- Licensees must understand that the code of conduct that governs their face to face encounters with patients also extends to online activity. As such, licensees interacting with patients online must maintain appropriate boundaries in accordance with professional ethical guidelines, just as they would in any other context.
- Licensees have an absolute obligation to maintain patient privacy and must refrain from posting identifiable patient information online. A licensee's publicly available online content directly reflects on his or her professionalism. It is advisable that licensees separate their professional and personal identities online (maintain separate email accounts for personal and professional use; establish a social media presence for professional purposes and one for personal use, etc.).
- Because privacy is never absolute, considerations of professionalism should also extend to a licensee's personal accounts. Posting of material that demonstrates, or appears to demonstrate, behavior that might be considered unprofessional, inappropriate or unethical should be avoided.
- The online use of profanity, disparaging or discriminatory remarks about individual patients or types of patients is unacceptable.
- Licensees should routinely monitor their own online presence to ensure that the personal and professional information on their own sites is accurate and appropriate.

The Board also endorses the Model Policy Guidelines for the Appropriate Use of Social Media and Social Networking in Medical Practice adopted by the Federation of State Medical Boards which can be accessed at <http://www.fsmb.org/pdf/pub-social-media-guidelines.pdf> Furt.her discussion of this issue by the Board's Medical Director can be found at http://www.ncmed-board.org/articles/detail/practicing_medicine_in_the_facebook_age_maintaining_professionalism_online.

(Adopted March 2013)

2013 physician assistant site visits find most in compliance, but serious violations persist

An unprecedented 86 percent of physician assistants selected for compliance review visits in 2013 were in full compliance with state law and related Board rules. The site visits resulted in no public actions being issued against PAs for the first time since 2005, when the Board began conducting random site visits of PA practice locations. The Board commends these licensees.

The Board did issue private letters of concern to some PAs who were found to be out of compliance. Areas of non-compliance included: no evidence of Quality Improvement Meetings being held and/or documented; no periodic review of written instructions for prescribing drugs; no Scope of Practice document; no back-up supervising physician list; failure to timely file the required Intent to Practice with the Board; and supervising physician's name and phone number not documented on the practice's prescription blank.

The Board has already selected PAs for site visits to be conducted in 2014. PAs will be contacted by a Board field investigator, who will schedule the site visit. During the visit, PAs will be asked to produce certain documents that are required to be kept on file at each of the PA's practice locations. Highlights of information PAs will be expected to produce include:

- **Written Supervisory Arrangement Statement:** Rule NCAC 32S .0213 (c) requires a signed written Supervisory Arrangement statement to be kept on file at all practice sites and be available upon request by the Board.
- **Intent to Practice Form:** Rule NCAC 32S .0203 mandates that a notification of intent to practice form be submitted to the Board prior to the performance of any medical acts, tasks, or functions under the supervision of a primary supervising physician. Such form is located on the Board's website. The rule further reads that the physician assistant shall not commence practice until he/she receives acknowledgment from the Board that the Board has received and processed the Intent to Practice Form.
- **Quality Improvement Meetings:** Rule NCAC 32S .0213 (d) requires the primary supervising physician and physician assistant in a new practice arrangement to meet monthly for the first six months to discuss practice relevant clinical issues and quality improvement measures and thereafter, meet at least once every six months. A written record of these meetings shall be signed and dated by both the supervising physician and physician assistant and shall be available for inspection upon request by the Board agent.
- **Prescriptive authority:** Rule NCAC 32S .0212 requires

that each prescription issued by the physician assistant contains the physician assistant's name, practice address, and telephone number; the physician assistant's license number and, if applicable, the physician assistant's DEA number; and the responsible supervising physician's (primary or back-up) name and telephone number. Be aware that when using an electronic prescription, this same information is required to be on that prescription format as well.

- **Instructions for Prescribing, Ordering, and Administering Drugs and Medical Devices and a Policy for Periodic Review by the Physician of These Instructions and Policy:** Rule NCAC 32S 0212 (2) requires each supervising physician and physician assistant team to incorporate within his or her written supervisory arrangements instructions for prescribing, ordering, and administering drugs and medical devices and a policy for periodic review by the physician of these instructions/policy. The periodic review should occur at least annually between the physician and physician assistant.
- **Back-up Supervising Physicians:** Each physician assistant needs to maintain an ongoing list of back-up supervising physicians, if any are utilized. This document must be signed and dated by all involved and retained as part of the Supervisory Arrangement. At a minimum, it should be updated yearly; more often if a new physician agrees to serve as the physician assistant's back-up.

Are you in compliance?

Don't wait to be selected for a site review to make sure you are in full compliance with supervisory rules. Review the PA rules and regulations (accessible via the NCMB's website). A complete description of the information PAs should expect to provide during a compliance review is available on the PA Site Visit Checklist, which is available in the Professional Resources section of the Board's website under "Physician Assistant Forms". The Board publishes this information on its website in an effort to encourage compliance.

PA site visits: How they work

PAs selected for review are notified in advance by a Board investigator, who schedules a face-to-face meeting. The PA is asked to produce certain documents that must be kept on file at the PA's practice location. The Board investigator also asks the PA a series of questions regarding his or her practice arrangement, such as how frequently he or she has one-on-one direct contact with the supervising physician.

Meet the newest additions to the NCMB

The NCMB welcomed three new physician Board members and one new public member in November. They are: Debra A. Bolick, MD, of Hickory, Timothy E. Lietz of Charlotte, Barbara E. Walker, DO, of Kure Beach and A. Wayne Holloman of Greenville. Each member is appointed to serve for a three year term ending October 31, 2016.

Debra A. Bolick, MD

Dr. Bolick, of Hickory, currently practices adult general psychiatry. She is Acting Section Chief of Outpatient Mental Health for the Hickory and Winston Salem Community-Based Outpatient Clinics (CBOCS) and is on the active medical staff of the Veterans Affairs Medical Center in Salisbury. She was in private practice for 17 years prior to joining the VA.

Dr. Bolick earned her medical degree from the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center in Denver. She completed an internship and residency training in psychiatry at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill and served as chief resident of UNC's Psychiatry Outpatient Department.



Dr. Bolick

Dr. Bolick is certified in general psychiatry by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology, completing recertification in 2005. In addition she is certified in geriatric psychiatry, completing recertification in 2006.

Dr. Bolick is a Distinguished Fellow of the American Psychiatric Association and represents North Carolina on the Assembly of the APA. Dr. Bolick is also an active member of the North Carolina Psychiatric Association (NCPA), serving on its Executive Council. She is a member of numerous other professional organizations, including the North Carolina Medical Society and the Catawba County Medical Society.

Timothy E. Lietz, MD

Dr. Lietz currently practices with Mid-Atlantic Emergency Medical Associates in Charlotte and is a member of the practice's Board of Directors. He is Chairman of the Department of Emergency Medicine for the Southern Piedmont Region of Novant Health. In addition, he is Chairman of the Department of Emergency Medicine for Novant's Matthews Medical Center Emergency Department, where he is also Medical Director.

Dr. Lietz earned his medical degree from the Ohio State University School of Medicine in Columbus, Ohio. He

completed an internship with the Eastern Virginia Medical School Department of Internal Medicine and residency training with the same institution's Department of Emergency Medicine. He served one year as chief resident.

Dr. Lietz is certified by the American Board of Emergency Medicine. He is a member of the North Carolina Medical Board and of the NC Academy of Emergency Physicians (ACEP).



Dr. Lietz

Barbara E. Walker, DO

Dr. Walker is a contracted Family Physician with Southeast Area Health Education Center (SEAHEC). Prior to that, she spent more than 14 years teaching medical students and performing various administrative roles at the Family Medicine Residency Program at New Hanover Regional Medical Center in Wilmington, ultimately serving as Program Director of the Osteopathic Family Medicine Residency. Dr. Walker has also held numerous other academic appointments, including an associate professorship in family medicine at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and an associate professorship in family medicine at the Edward Via College of Osteopathic Medicine—Virginia Campus in Blacksburg, Va.

Dr. Walker has had a distinguished military career spanning more than 20 years in the U.S. Army. She served active duty in Operation Desert Shield/Desert Storm, Saudi Arabia. She was attached to the 307th Medical Battalion, 82nd Airborne Division and has the distinction of being the first female physician ever to deploy with the 82nd Airborne (and the only grandmother physician with the 82nd Airborne in Desert Shield/Desert Storm). Dr. Walker retired from the U.S. Army in 2006 with the rank of Colonel.

Dr. Walker completed undergraduate studies at Santa Ana College in Santa Ana, Ca., and the University of California at Irvine, where she earned an associate's degree of Arts/Sciences and a bachelor's degree in Biological Science, respectively. She earned her Doctor of Osteopathy (DO) at the College of Osteopathic Medicine of the Pacific in Pomona, California. She had a United States Army Health

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Profession Scholarship, and began active duty at graduation entering an internship at William Beaumont Army Medical Center in El Paso, Texas. She completed a residency in Family Practice at Womack Army Community Hospital at



Dr. Walker

Fort Bragg and did a fellowship in Academic Family Medicine at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Dr. Walker is certified by the American Board of Family Medicine and the American Osteopathic Board of Family Physicians, completing AO-BFP recertification in 2013.

Over the course of her career, she has been a vocal advocate for the osteopathic medical profession. She has been an

active member of the American Osteopathic Association, of which she is currently a trustee, as well as the American Academy of Osteopathy and American College of Osteopathic Family Physicians, since 1980.

A Wayne Holloman

Mr. Holloman currently owns and manages Holloman Properties and Investments in Greenville. Formerly, he owned and operated Holloman Apparel, a business that marketed ladies apparel, dresses and sportswear across the southeastern United States.

Mr. Holloman earned a bachelor's degree in psychology from East Carolina University. He currently serves on the board of the East Carolina University Foundation, where he serves on the Real Estate and Audit committees. In addition, he is chairman of the Pitt-Greenville Airport Authority, guiding the authority's recent \$10 million expansion.



Mr. Holloman

Mr. Holloman is married to Sherry McKee. They are the proud parents of seven children: three ECU graduates, two UNC graduates, one NC State University graduate and one Pitt County Community College graduate.

NCMB bids farewell to former long-serving Board Member; Col. Hector Henry II, MD



Col. Hector H. Henry II, MD

Col. Hector Himel Henry II, MD, a Concord urologist and former member of the Board, died on November 28 from complications from a form of blood cancer, myelodysplastic syndromes. He was 75.

Dr. Henry practiced adult and pediatric urology for more than 40 years. He was a urology professor at the Duke University School of Medicine for

33 years and at Wake Forest University for seven.

Dr. Henry served for more than 40 years in the U.S. Army Reserves Medical Corps, reaching the rank of Colonel. His combat deployments included Vietnam, Operation Desert Storm, the Second Gulf War and Operation

Enduring Freedom.

A dedicated public servant, Dr. Henry served in various appointed and elected positions. At the time of his death, he was in his 17th year on the Concord City Council. He was first appointed to the North Carolina Medical Board in 1987, serving two years. He was reappointed to the Board in 1994 and served continuously until rotating off the NCMB in 2000. With nine years of service, Dr. Henry is the Board's longest serving member. Dr. Henry remained in contact with the Board's administrative staff and often remarked that serving on the Medical Board was one of the highlights of his professional career.

Dr. Henry earned his Bachelor of Science in Chemistry and a Master of Public Health from UNC Chapel Hill. He earned his medical degree with honors from Tulane University. He completed his surgical internship at Charity Hospital, surgical and urological residencies at Ochsner Foundation Hospital and Clinic and Fellowship in Pediatric Urology at the Pediatric Urological Institute and Great Ormond Street Hospital in London, England.

Dr. Henry is survived by his wife, Marjorie Benbow, three sons and three grandchildren.

North Carolina Medical Board

Quarterly Board Actions Report | August - October 2013

The Board actions listed below are published in an abbreviated format. The report does not include non-prejudicial actions such as reentry agreements and non-disciplinary consent orders. Recent Board actions are also available at www.ncmedboard.org. Go to “Professional Resources” to view current disciplinary data or to sign up to receive notification when new actions are posted via the RSS Feed subscription service.

Name/license#/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
ANNULMENTS			
NONE			
SUMMARY SUSPENSIONS			
LONG, Mareen Ann, MD (200300059) Greenville, NC	10/11/2013	MD is unable to practice with reasonable skill and safety due to illness.	Summary Suspension
REVOICATIONS			
NONE			
SUSPENSIONS			
ANDERSON, Travis Lamar, MD (009901371) Mount Airy, NC	10/15/2013	History of alcohol abuse	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license
DANFORTH, Wendell Calvin, MD (009801628) Grand Forks, ND	10/28/2013	Inappropriate prescribing of controlled substances; History of alcohol abuse	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license; may not reapply for licensure for six months from the date of the order
FANN, Benjamin Bradley, MD (000033034) Boone, NC	09/18/2013	MD prescribed controlled substances in violation of his 2010 Consent Order with the Board; prior history of prescribing issues.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license
IGDAL, Henry, MD (000035141) Hickory, NC	10/17/2013	Quality of care, including improper prescribing of controlled substances, failure to document care	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license
MCKNIGHT, Kevin Michael, MD (000038137) New Bern, NC	10/1/2013	History of alcohol and substance abuse, providing false information on annual license renewal	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license
RICE, Philip Scott, MD (000031367) Morehead City, NC	08/13/2013	History of alcohol dependence; MD was assessed and recommended to have residential treatment in January 2013	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license
ROBINSON, Gary James, MD (009401319) Fayetteville, NC	10/17/2013	History of sexual boundary violations: MD has completed treatment and has entered into a monitoring contract with NCPHP.	Suspension (24 months), stayed except for a period from 7/2/13 and 10/16/13, during which MD shall serve an active suspension.
ROGERS, Rayna Larain (200801709) Fayetteville, NC	08/29/2013	MD wrote prescriptions to herself for controlled substances and subsequently filled those prescriptions. An assessment in May 2012 indicated that MD had a diagnosis of major depressive disorder versus bipolar affective disorder, type II, depressed and personality disorder, not otherwise specified.	Suspension
PROBATIONS			
NONE			
REPRIMANDS			
BAKER, John Harrison, MD (000019308) Durham, NC	10/30/2013	Clinic operated by MD was cited by the NC Department of Health and Human Services for failure to comply with certain rule. For example, DHHS cited MD for not following manufacturer instructions for Rh blood tests to ensure quality and accuracy. MD also acknowledged altering two patient records to indicate that a registered nurse was present when MD performed abortions on each patient, when in fact no RN was present.	Reprimand, \$3,500 fine

BOARD ACTIONS REPORT

Name/license#/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
BREWBAKER, Stephen Lewis, MD (000026202) Wilmington, NC	08/23/2013	MD's care of a patient who experienced complications following a laparoscopic procedure to remove a pelvic cystic mass failed to meet accepted standards.	Reprimand
CARLEY, Jeffrey Peter, DO (200501504) Kings Mountain, NC	10/04/2013	DO engaged in inappropriate communications with a former patient.	Reprimand
CHAO, Tomas Jorge, PA (001002956) Marietta, GA	08/01/2013	PA entered into a consent order with the Georgia Composite Medical Board based on findings that PA represented that he was a physician in the course of responding to online market surveys. The GA board found that PA believed he had the authority to make this representation and did not make any such representation while providing medical care.	Reprimand
LEO, Sherwood Phillip, MD (200200136) Welch, WV	10/08/2013	Between 2007 and 2012, MD prescribed controlled substances to close family members	Reprimand, \$4,000 fine
THOMPSON, Lee Adam, PA (0010-01193) Mount Airy, NC	08/29/2013	PA entered into a consent order with the Virginia Board of Medicine based on allegations that he pre-signed prescription blanks. On at least one occasions, a presigned blank was used by a member of the nursing staff to order controlled substances for a patient.	Reprimand
<u>DENIALS OF LICENSE/APPROVAL</u>			
NONE			
<u>SURRENDERS</u>			
BRABHAM, Felicia Browne, MD (000031248) Hendersonville, NC	10/18/2013		Voluntary surrender of NC physician license
FAKHRI, Mouhamed Iyad, MD (200301225) Clinton, NC	08/29/2013		Voluntary surrender of NC physician license
GREGOIRE, James David, MD (200401218) Salisbury, NC	08/01/2013		Voluntary surrender of NC physician license
LAMBERSON, Faith Nicole, PA (001002315) Williamsburg, VA	08/24/2013		Voluntary surrender of NC physician assistant license
LARSON, Michael Joseph, MD (000028661) Raleigh, NC	10/11/2013		Voluntary surrender of NC physician license
POLYZOS, Panagiotis, PA (000101403) Monroe, NC	08/14/2013		Voluntary surrender of NC physician assistant license
WEBB, Bruce Jonathan, MD (200201326), Portsmouth, VA	08/29/2013		Voluntary surrender of NC physician license
WESTBROOK, Brent Ashley, PA (001000569) Newton Grove, NC	10/01/2013		Voluntary surrender of NC physician assistant license
<u>PUBLIC LETTERS OF CONCERN</u>			
BRAUN, Jeffrey William, MD (200700846) Hickory, NC	08/21/2013	MD's care of a patient who presented to the ER with complaints of mid-back and right lateral back pain after a fall failed to meet accepted standards. MD failed to provide a follow-up neurologic exam, which resulted in a delay in diagnosing a neurosurgical emergency. The patient underwent neurosurgery but remained irreversibly paraplegic with bowel dysfunction.	Public letter of concern
BROWN, Deborah Jean, PA (001004580) Salisbury, NC	10/24/2013	PA failed to appropriately disclose on her NC license application two 1991 misdemeanor charges of passing worthless checks. The Board is further concerned that PA was disciplined by the West Virginia Board of Medicine related to PA writing prescriptions in W.V. prior to receiving approval of prescriptive authority by the W.V. Board.	Public letter of concern

BOARD ACTIONS REPORT

Name/license#/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
EWY, Marvin Franklin, MD (200701839) New Bern, NC	08/07/13	MD's care of a patient upon who MD performed laparoscopic cholecystectomy may have failed to conform to accepted standards. The patient sustained an injury to her iliac artery and became hypotensive. MD was not appropriately attentive to the possibility that the patient's hypotension was a result of the injury. The patient subsequently suffered multi-organ failure and died.	Public letter of concern
FAGAN, Paul Emanuel, PA-C (001003452) Charlotte, NC	10/08/2013	PA accessed private and protected medical information without a legitimate medical purpose for a person who was not his patient.	Public letter of concern
MCCOLLOUGH, Brian Anthony, MD (201100695) Concord, NC	10/07/2013	MD failed to initiate a timely Cesarean section in a patient admitted to the hospital for induction at 40 weeks gestation, after fetal monitoring repeatedly showed signs of fetal distress. The patient's baby was born without signs of life and died.	Public letter of concern
MORGAN, Ruffin Smith, PA (000102401) Lexington, NC	08/12/13	PA's care of a patient who PA treated on seven occasions for costochondritis may have been substandard. PA treated the patient with two five-day oral prescriptions of Ketorolac in a seven day period without considering alternative causes of her persistent symptoms and without involving PA's supervising physician. The patient was subsequently diagnosed with a duodenal ulcer and later died from complications of an intra-abdominal abscess.	Public letter of concern
OVERS, Shannon Nicole, MD (201301727) Charlotte, NC	08/06/2013	MD failed to respond accurately to the question on the license application. MD disclosed that she had been arrested and charged with DUI and Failure to Display Lamps When Requested but failed to disclose that she was also charged with possession of marijuana and possession of drug paraphernalia. MD was convicted of DWI on 6/22/07. In addition, MD failed to disclose that the Oregon Board issued a private letter of concern when MD failed to provide with adequate information about the circumstances and criminal charges surrounding the 2007 arrest and conviction.	Public letter of concern; \$2,000 administrative fine.
PACE, S. Diann, PA (00102222) Smithfield, NC	10/29/2013	PA practiced at multiple locations without the required written documents, including supervisory agreements and supervisor lists, in place.	Public letter of concern
REYES, Rodolfo Constantino, MD (009500701) Lillington, NC	10/30/2013	MD contracted with a locum tenens company to hire a PA, and allowed PA to practice without first ensuring that PA had filed an appropriate Intent to Practice form with the Board. MD did not adequately supervise the PA and failed to conduct all required quality assurance meetings and meet certain other requirements of adequate supervision.	Public letter of concern
RODRIGUEZ, Eric Joseph, MD (201101519) Dallas, TX	10/23/2013	MD conducted telemedicine encounters with two patients with symptoms of sinus infection and did not perform an appropriate medical exam before treating and/or prescribing for them. It is the Board's position that care provided via telemedicine must meet accepted and prevailing standards of care.	Public letter of concern
SHEPARD, Robert Charles, MD (200700282) Raleigh, NC	09/17/2013	MD provided incomplete and/or inaccurate answers on his application for reinstatement of his NC medical license.	Public letter of concern

BOARD ACTIONS REPORT

Name/license#/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS			
NONE			
CONSENT ORDERS AMENDED			
NONE			
TEMPORARY/DATED LICENSES: ISSUED, EXTENDED, EXPIRED, OR REPLACED BY FULL LICENSES			
KINNEY, Karen Anne, MD (000034648) Greenville, NC	10/09/2013	History of alcohol and substance abuse; MD has completed inpatient treatment and is in recovery.	Temporary medical license issued; expires April 9, 2014; must maintain NCPHP contract
NEAL, Gabrielle Logan, PA (001001444) Lumberton, NC	08/02/2013	Prior history of substance abuse; PA successfully completed a residential treatment program for substance abuse in March 2012	PA is issued a temporary license to expire on 02/03/2014; PA must maintain NCPHP contract
THOMPSON, David Stuart, MD (0009801531) Gatesville, NC	08/15/2013	Between 2002 and 2011, MD, a pediatrician, had inappropriate relationships with three parents of pediatric patients. When MD's employer learned of these relationships, MD was asked to seek assistance from NCPHP.	Temporary medical license issued; Expires 09/30/2014. MD must maintain NCPHP contract.

FINES

The NCMB issues non-disciplinary administrative fines in certain cases where incorrect and/or incomplete information on a medical licensing application causes Board staff to spend an inordinate amount of time resolving the issue(s).

Date	Reason	Amount
9/20/2013	Inappropriate supervision of a physician extender.	\$5,000
9/20/2013	Practicing medicine with out a NC physician assistant license.	\$1,000
10/2/2013	Failure to timely respond to Board requests for information regarding a malpractice payment.	\$1,000
10/8/2013	Treatment of family members and prescribing without proper documentation.	\$4,000
10/25/2013	Error/omission on license application or annual renewal.	\$500
10/30/2013	Unprofessional conduct.	\$3,500

DID YOU KNOW ?

Licensees are expected to provide the Board with a current home and practice (if applicable) address. The Board also requests a current email address, if you have one. Maintaining current contact information with the Board is essential to ensure that you receive important notices and information. And rest assured, email and home address are never published. Update your contact information online by logging in to the NCMB's Licensee Information portal. Go to www.ncmedboard.org and select "Update Licensee Info Page" from the green Quick Links box.

North Carolina Medical Board

1203 Front Street
Raleigh, NC 27609

PRESORTED
STANDARD MAIL
US POSTAGE
PAID
RALEIGH NC
PERMIT NO. 2172



EXAMINATIONS

Residents Please Note USMLE Information

United States Medical Licensing Examination

Computer-based testing for Step 3 is available on a daily basis. Applications are available on the Federation of State Medical Board's Web site at www.fsmb.org.

Special Purpose Examination (SPEX)

The Special Purpose Examination (or SPEX) of the Federation of State Medical Boards of the United States is available year-round. For additional information, contact the Federation of State Medical Boards at PO Box 619850, Dallas, TX 75261-9850, or telephone (817) 868-4000.

BOARD MEETING DATES

March 19-21, 2014 (Full Board)

April 10-11, 2014 (Hearings)

May 14-16, 2014 (Full Board)

June 19-20, 2014 (Hearings)

Meeting agendas, minutes and a full list of meeting dates can be found on the Board's Web site

ncmedboard.org

Visit the Board's website at www.ncmedboard.org to change your address online. The Board requests all licensees maintain a current address on file with the Board office. Changes of address should be submitted to the Board within 30 days of a move.

Save the date and tell your patients: Drug take-back day set for April 26

The U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration has scheduled the eighth DEA National Prescription Drug Take-Back Day for Saturday, April 26. On this day, thousands of authorized collection sites across the country will accept unneeded and expired prescription medications, including controlled substances, for safe and legal disposal. Since 2010, DEA's Take-Back Day initiative has collected 3.4 million pounds of prescription medications, helping to prevent diversion, misuse and abuse of these drugs.

DEA is in the process of arranging collection sites for the April 26 Take-Back Day now. As the day of the event draws closer, the DEA website will offer an online tool that lets visitors find an authorized drug collection location near them.

Patients often wonder what to do with unused, unneeded and expired medications. Encourage them to get rid of them the right way by dropping them off for proper disposal on April 26.