

## Adverse Actions Report January 2022 – February 2022

The digital edition of the *Forum* presents a two-month report of recent adverse actions. This report does not include non-adverse action such as reentry agreements or relief of consent order obligations. To view all public actions, visit <a href="https://www.ncmedboard.org/BoardActions">www.ncmedboard.org/BoardActions</a>.

Name/license #/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
ANNULMENTS			
NONE			
SUMMARY SUSPENSIONS			
NONE			
REVOCATIONS			
LEAK, Byron Christopher, MD (201001423) Charlotte, NC	02/09/2022	Automatic revocation of medical license due to felony conviction for distribution of Oxycodone	Revocation
SUSPENSIONS			
ARTIS, Karlus Cornelius, MD (000034782) Roanoke Rapids, NC	01/31/2022	From December 2015 to June 2020 MD prescribed multiple controlled substances to a patient who is an immediate family member. MD prescribed Schedule II and IV narcotics as well as a Schedule IV amphetamine. MD was able to produce records for five episodes of prescribing to this patient. MD documented patient history, vital signs, physical examinations, diagnosis, and intervention prior to prescribing to the patient. The patient's medical records lacked evidence of urine drug screens, failure to query the North Carolina Controlled Substances Reporting System (NCCSRS), no controlled substance agreement, and no informed consent. The NCCSRS listed at least seven prescriptions for controlled substances to the patient for which MD could produce	Indefinite Suspension immediately stayed except for three months with term and conditions. MD shall successfully complete the ProBE course and may not prescribe, administer, distribute, and/or dispense controlled substances except from his current medical practice. MD shall schedule an appointment with the North Carolina Professionals Health Program (NCPHP) and follow all recommendations including submitting to further assessments.



		no record.	
KONOSWARAN, Suresh Aravinth, MD (201400260) Greensboro, NC	01/06/2022	In February 2021, MD entered into a Consent Order with the Board in which he was reprimanded and required to have a trained female chaperone present while providing in- person medical care to female patients. The	Indefinite suspension
		Consent Order was based on allegations that MD inappropriately touched a patient's breasts. In July 2021, MD, self-reported to the Board that there had been an additional	
		complaint made by a female patient (Patient A) alleging that MD made numerous inappropriate phone calls of a personal nature to Patient A. MD resigned from his employer in July 2021.	
		During the investigation into Patient A's allegations, the Board was informed of two additional patients' (Patient B and Patient C) complaints regarding MD. Patient B	
		alleged that during a virtual visit, MD asked her to remove her top and bra. About an hour after the first visit, MD initiated another virtual visit with Patient B in	
		which he again asked her to lift her shirt. Patient C alleged that MD frequently called her to ask her about her personal life. Further, Patient C stated that during	
AAAAWE G IAA	04/0/0555	a virtual visit, MD asked her to lift her shirt.	
MACKIE, Crystal Monique, MD (202001935) Norfolk, VA	01/3/2022	MD was first issued a limited emergency license to practice medicine by the	Indefinite suspension



Board in May 2020. She practiced family medicine in Charlotte. On March 10, 2021, the Board received a complaint from the Chief Medical Officer of the health clinic where MD began working on March 2, 2021. On March 09, 2021, the clinic received a call from a Complainant voicing concerns that her nineteenyear-old intellectually challenged son was written a prescription for Oxycodone. The Complainant noted her son was not a patient of the clinic and had no idea how he would have gotten to the clinic because he does not drive. The clinic checked and found no record of Complainant's son ever being treated at either of its two locations. The clinic obtained a copy of the prescription from the pharmacy. The prescription was written on one of the prescription notes kept at the clinic. MD's license, DEA number, and cell phone number were handwritten on the prescription. She had no explanation how Complainant's son had obtained a prescription with her identifying information. Complainant's nineteenyear-old son was interviewed. He advised he had walked to a 7-Eleven convenience store near his home and was stopped by a female in a silver SUV. The lady requested assistance in getting a prescription filled



		for her and offered to pay	
		him for his help. After he	
		agreed, the lady wrote his	
		name and address	
		information on the	
		prescription, drove him to	
		his residence to get his ID,	
		and took him to a pharmacy	
		where the prescription was	
		filled. He returned to the	
		SUV and gave the lady the	
		prescription medication. He	
		was then taken back to his	
		residence. MD was assessed	
		by the North Carolina	
		Professionals Health	
		Program (NCPHP) in March	
		2021. Following the	
		assessment, MD attended	
		and successfully completed	
		an in-patient treatment	
		program for alcohol use	
		disorder. In August 2021 MD	
		signed a five-year contract	
		with NCPHP and was	
		deemed safe to practice by	
		NCPHP.	
NIETO, Rafael, MD	01/13/2022	The Board received a	Indefinite suspension;
(201301102) Charlotte, NC		complaint regarding MD	immediately stayed
		from Patient A in September	with conditions
		2020. MD owns and	
		operates IZAR Wellness	
		Institute (IZAR), a medical	
		spa in Charlotte. Patient A	
		was first contacted by MD	
		through the IZAR Facebook	
		page with an offer of free	
		medical spa services. In	
		exchange for the free	
		services, MD would be	
		provided with two tickets to	
		the Mrs. North Carolina	
		Pageant and listed as a	
		sponsor for Patient A. On	
		Patient A's request, MD also	
		agreed to provide medical	
		agreed to provide medicar	l l
		spa services to Patient A's friend, Patient B. On July 18,	



		2020, MD performed several medical spa services on Patients A and B during an after-hours visit to IZAR. Patients A and B arrived at IZAR at approximately 5:30 p.m. and did not leave until after midnight. MD provided dinner and sparkling wine to Patients A and B. MD performed dermal filler injection procedures on Patients A and B. MD numbed the lips of Patients A and B and then allowed Patients A and B to assist with each other's procedures by holding and then removing the needle under his instructions. MD performed colonic hydrotherapy treatment on Patient A. Patient A undressed and put on a gown for this procedure. Although Patient B was in the room during this procedure, no other	
		Patients A and B to assist with each other's procedures by holding and then removing the needle under his instructions. MD performed colonic hydrotherapy treatment on Patient A. Patient A undressed and put on a gown for this procedure. Although Patient B was in the room during this procedure, no other chaperone was present. MD offered Patients A and B a condominium in Charlotte where they could spend the night rather than drive home. MD asserts that he offered Patients A and B a place to spend the night due to the late hour. MD did not prepare notes or medical	
LIMITATIONS (CONDITIONS		records regarding the services performed on Patients A and B.	
LIMITATIONS/CONDITIONS  MULLIAMS Dwight Marrison MD	01/07/2022	In Documber 2010, the	Concept Order: Within
WILLIAMS, Dwight Morrison, MD (200001370) Asheboro, NC	01/07/2022	In December 2019, the Board received a complaint from a pharmacist questioning MD's prescribing practices. The During the course of its	Consent Order; Within 30 days MD to engage CPEP to develop an Educational Intervention plan



		investigation, the Board obtained medical records for three patients which were sent for review by an independent medical expert who felt that many aspects of MDs' care were within the acceptable standard of care. However, many aspects of MD' care were below the minimum acceptable standard of care. These criticisms focused on MD' medical record keeping and treatment of patients with pain who were receiving controlled substance medications. MD obtained and completed a comprehensive competency assessment examination by the Center for Personalized Education for Professionals (CPEP). CPEP's assessment report indicated that MD demonstrated several deficiencies and educational needs related to medical knowledge, clinical judgment, and medical record documentation. CPEP is willing to develop an Educational Intervention	
		plan for MD.	
REPRIMANDS			
FINLEY, Molly Ann, DO (202004314) San Tan Valley, AZ	01/03/2022	DO was first issued a Limited License for Disasters or Emergencies by the Board in October 2020. She practiced telemedicine. In June 2020, DO submitted an application for a license to practice osteopathy in Kentucky. In March 2021, the Commonwealth of Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure (Kentucky Board) denied DO's Application for	Reprimand



Licensure. The Kentucky Board's denial was based on DO providing an incorrect response when completing her license application. Specifically, she failed to respond accurately to the following question: Have you ever been disciplined by any licensed hospital (including postgraduate training) or the medical staff of any licensed hospital, including removal, suspension, probation, limitation of hospital privileges or any other disciplinary action if the action was based upon what the hospital or medical staff found to be unprofessional conduct, professional incompetence, malpractice, or a violation of a provision(s) of a Medical Practice Act? DO responded in the negative. However, the Kentucky Board found that, in 2017, DO's medical staff membership at the Community Board of St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center in Phoenix, Arizona was revoked based on her failure to disclose two misdemeanor Driving Under the Influence convictions in her application for Medical Staff Membership. According to DO, the omission in the Kentucky application was an isolated and unintentional oversight. DO did fully disclose all requested information during her application process with North Carolina.



CALITHEID Michael Edward MAD	01/21/2022	Action based on the action	Donrimand
GAUTHEIR, Michael Edward, MD	01/21/2022	Action based on the action	Reprimand
(202002211) Virginia Beach, VA		of another state medical	
		board. MD practiced pain	
		management in Virginia	
		Beach, Virginia. In March	
		2021, the Virginia Board of	
		Medicine executed an Order	
		in which MD's license to	
		practice was REPRIMANDED	
		for allowing an employee	
		who is not a licensed	
		healthcare practitioner to	
		assist him in performing	
		fluoroscopic guided	
		injection therapy	
		procedures.	
SALIM, Jawad Ahmed, MD		MD applied for a license to	License issued with
(202200162) Charlotte, NC		practice medicine in North	reprimand
		Carolina. In November 2019,	
		MD entered a guilty plea	
		related to criminal charges	
		that he facilitated the	
		submission of unlawful	
		medical claims to Medicare.	
		MD was sentenced to one	
		day of imprisonment, twelve	
		months of supervised	
		release and was further	
		ordered to pay restitution in	
		the amount of \$500,000.00.	
		MD's Georgia medical	
		license was reprimanded,	
		assessed a \$5,000.00 fine	
		and he was required to	
		complete continuing	
		medical education in ethics.	
DENIALS OF LICENSE / ADDROVAL		medical education in ethics.	
DENIALS OF LICENSE/APPROVAL			
SURRENDERS			
SOUNDENS			
DUBLIC LETTERS OF CONCERN			
PUBLIC LETTERS OF CONCERN  PROTZMAN Wrong Shoulars DA C	01/14/2022	DA hogan to treat nationts	Public Letter of
BROTZMAN, Wrenn Shoulars, PA-C	01/14/2022	PA began to treat patients	
(001010553) Goldsboro, NC		seeking cosmetic and	Concern
		aesthetic procedures	
		through her practice,	
		Succulent Aesthetics, PLLC	



(the Practice), which was operated out of a dedicated space within her primary residence. The Board is concerned that PA began treating patients through the Practice without first filing an Intent to Practice with the Board and without documenting that she had obtained a supervising physician. This later conduct violates Board rules. The Board understands that PA had a verbal agreement with the supervising physician at the time she began providing services through the Practice and that she entered into a written supervision agreement with the supervising physician upon becoming aware of the Board's requirement. The Board is concerned that the supervising physician, although a board-certified emergency medicine physician, lacked specific experience and training in performing cosmetic procedures. Also, although the supervising physician was continuously available to PA for consultation related to the Practice, the Board is concerned that he never physically visited or inspected PA's home office location other than by a "virtual tour." For these reasons, the Board is concerned that the supervising physician was not properly trained to provide the required oversight of PA's practice as envisioned by the Board's



	1	T	I
		rules. Lastly, the Board	
		notes that PA treated an	
		immediate family member	
		without making a medical	
		record of that treatment, in	
		violation of Board rules and	
		standards of acceptable and	
		prevailing medical practice.	
DHARIWAL, Manoj Kumar, MD	01/14/2022	Action based on the action	Public Letter of
(201801463) Port Charlotte, FL		of another state medical	Concern
		board. In May 2021, MD	
		entered into a Consent	
		Order with the Connecticut	
		Board in which he was	
		assessed a \$5,000 civil	
		penalty. The Connecticut	
		Board Order was based on	
		concerns regarding MD's	
		prescriptions of various	
		medications through a	
		telehealth platform.	
		Specifically, the Connecticut	
		Department of Public Health	
		alleged that, on several	
		occasions, MD's	
		prescriptions to Connecticut	
		residents failed to meet the	
		standard of care because he	
		either had no direct or	
		indirect contact with	
		patients, made diagnoses	
		and/or prescribed	
		medications without an	
		adequate history and/or	
		examination, inadequately	
		documented care, or	
		prescribed one or more	
		medication without	
		required patient counseling	
		and/or monitoring the	
		effects of the drug.	
DIGRY Populd Wyman MD	01/28/2022	The Board is concerned that	Public Letter of
DIGBY, Ronald Wyman, MD	01/20/2022		
(000021642) Gastonia, NC		in August 2020 MD	Concern
		consumed a small amount	
		of alcohol and presented to	
		work. No patient harm	
		occurred. He was referred	
		to the North Carolina	



GORDON, Anthony Kent, MD	01/14/2022	Professionals Health Program (NCPHP) for an assessment in September 2020, signed a monitoring agreement with NCPHP and entered inpatient treatment. MD completed inpatient treatment in May 2021 and began working with NCPHP on a return-to- work plan, which included abstinence from alcohol. However, MD consumed alcohol in June 2021, and inactivated his North Carolina medical license in July 2021. The Board is concerned that	Public Letter of
(201802696) Houston, TX		while MD lived in California, an ultrasound technician he previously employed asked him to interpret breast ultrasounds taken at a Georgia plastic surgery center, where the ultrasound technician worked, via teleradiology. In 2016, MD interpreted breast ultrasounds for Patients A through D and authored reports relating to his interpretations based upon the information provided by the referring physician. The Board expressed some concerns with regard to MD's documentation and follow-up recommendations set forth in the records for these Patients. The Board also expressed concern that some of the ultrasounds provided to MD lacked information and that he should have considered recommending that further studies be done based on such. The Board	Concern



		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
		understands that MD's	
		documentation on these	
		Patients was appropriate	
		and that any follow-up	
		recommendations were	
		within the purview of the	
		referring physician. The	
		Board notes that MD is no	
		longer working in this type	
		of framework or for the	
		Georgia plastic surgery	
		center.	
KELLY, Thomas Andrew, MD	01/04/2022	The Board is concerned that	Public Letter of
(000027216) Greensboro, NC	01/04/2022	MD's care of a 65-year-old	Concern
(00002/210) Greensburg, NC		•	CONCENT
		male patient may have	
		failed to conform to the	
		standards of acceptable and	
		prevailing medical practice	
		in North Carolina. The	
		patient presented to MD, in	
		October 2018, for a	
		cardiology consultation after	
		having an echocardiogram	
		at a separate location.	
		Although MD did not have	
		the actual images from that	
		study, the echo report	
		raised concern for	
		significant aortic stenosis;	
		however, the report	
		included discordant data	
		with only a moderately	
		elevated gradient with	
		reported normal left	
		•	
		ventricular function, but the	
		valve area suggested more	
		severe aortic stenosis. Based	
		on MD's recommendation	
		and with the patient's	
		informed consent, MD	
		proceeded with an elective	
		procedure to assess	
		patient's level of cardiac	
		function (cardiac	
		catherization). The right	
		cardiac catherization, the	
		imaging of the heart's blood	
		vessels (coronary	
	l	. 233213 (331 Orial y	I



angiography), and the assessment of the aortic root were all properly performed without complications. However, crossing the aortic valve was difficult and it appears the catheter was close to or adherent to the innermost layer of tissue that lines the chambers of the heart (endocardium). MD performed an imaging test to determine the heart function in patient's left ventricle (ventriculogram) with a high-pressure injector. Because of the position of the catheter within a small ventricular cavity, a vigorous left ventricular contraction resulted in some contrast leaking into the left ventricular wall. The patient's heart compressed due to the fluid collecting in the sac surrounding the heart (cardiac tamponade), and he went into cardiac arrest. Appropriate resuscitation efforts were performed, and the patient survived to undergo emergent surgery with Aortic Valve Replacement and relief of tamponade. However, the patient suffered severe anoxic brain injury and subsequently died. The Board had MD's treatment of the patient reviewed by an independent medical expert. The reviewing expert opined that MD's care of the patient may have fallen below the standard of care



	in North Carolina.	
	Specifically, while the	
	reviewing expert opined	
	that MD's overall approach	
	and management of this	
	patient met the standard of	
	care, the expert criticized	
	MD's failure to recognize	
	possible catheter	
	entrapment within a small	
	ventricle which is ultimately	
	what led to the poor	
	outcome. Further, the	
	reviewing expert opined	
	that the ventriculogram may	
	not have been necessary	
	because the left ventricular	
	function was already known,	
	and therefore, added little	
	clinical value. The Board is	
	aware that MD has been	
	licensed since 1983. The	
	Board also notes MD has	
	indicated that he has	
	extensive experience in	
	performing cardiac	
	catheterizations and	
	currently does not routinely	
	perform ventriculograms,	
	but when he does, he only	
	uses hand injection of	
	contrast after confirming	
	proper position of the	
	catheter in the ventricle.	
LANDA, Israel, MD	The Board is concerned that	Public Letter of
(201300437) Goldsboro, NC	MD began serving as the	Concern
(======================================	supervising physician for a	
	physician assistant's	
	cosmetic practice (Practice)	
	without first filing an Intent	
	to Practice with the Board	
	and without documenting	
	the supervising physician	
	relationship. MD did not	
	document the supervisory	
	arrangement with the	
	physician assistant in writing until several months after	
1		



		the physician assistant	
		began performing	
		procedures. In addition, and	
		despite expertise in the field	
		of emergency medicine and	
		experience in performing	
		the types of injections and	
		procedures that are	
		generally used in the	
		cosmetic medicine field, the	
		Board remains concerned	
		that MD lacked specific	
		experience and training in	
		the cosmetic procedures	
		performed by the physician	
		assistant. The Board is also	
		concerned that MD did not	
		physically visit or inspect the	
		Practice other than through	
		a virtual tour. Lastly, the	
		Board notes the physician	
		assistant treated an	
		immediate family member	
		and did not make a medical	
		record of that treatment, in	
		violation of Board rules and	
		standards of acceptable and	
		prevailing medical practice.	
MEYER, Albert Augustine, MD	01/31/2022	The Board is concerned that	Public Letter of
(000021588) Wilmington, NC	01/31/2022	MD's care of a patient may	Concern
(000021300) William grott, We		have failed to conform to	Concern
		the standards of	
		acceptable and prevailing	
		medical practice in North	
		Carolina. The patient, a	
		twenty-four-year-old	
		female, thirty-nine weeks	
		pregnant, presented to the	
		hospital in July 2013	
		complaining of leakage of	
		fluid and was found to be in	
		active labor. During the	
		second stage of labor, MD	
		attempted a vacuum	
		assisted vaginal delivery	
		despite nonreassuring fetal	
		heart tones. The decision	
		was made for the patient to	
I .	1	was made for the patient to	



		undergo an emergency cesarean section, performed by another physician, who delivered a male infant. Due to his condition, the infant was transferred to Duke University. The Board is concerned that MD failed to properly assess the patient in a timely fashion, failed to recognize the seriousness of the fetal heart tracing, and failed to seek assistance from the on-call obstetrician in a timely fashion.  Additionally, MD failed to apply a fetal scalp electrode to monitor fetal well-being, even when asked by an assisting nurse. The Board also notes that MD did not specifically discuss the risks of a vacuum assisted vaginal delivery with the patient or document the position of the fetus prior to application	
		of the vacuum.	
MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS			
BUDZYN, Brian James, MD (201600018) Huntersville, NC	02/03/2022	MD has a history of alcohol use disorder. In December 2020, MD self-referred to the North Carolina Professionals Health Program (NCPHP) and began treatment for alcohol use disorder. In February 2021, MD requested that his North Carolina medical license be made inactive retroactively with an inactive date of December 30, 2020. MD has not practiced medicine since that time. In March 2021, MD signed a five-year	License reinstated with conditions via Consent Order. MD shall maintain current agreement with NCPHP and refrain from the use or possession of alcohol and all other mind- or mood-altering substances and all controlled substances



		monitoring agreement with the NCPHP. In August 2021, MD and the Board entered into a Consent Order in which his license was indefinitely suspended. MD later submitted his reinstatement application to the Board for a license to practice medicine. MD is in compliance with his NCPHP agreement and NCPHP supports the reinstatement of his medical license.	
O'CONNOR, Brian Joseph, PA-C (000102119) Charlotte, NC	02/10/2022	In August 2020, the Board executed a public letter of concern because PA called in prescriptions to a pharmacy for Plaquenil® (hydroxychloroquine) for himself and members of his immediate family. In March 2021, PA entered into a Consent Order with the Board, wherein PA's physician assistant license was indefinitely suspended for, among other things, prescribing controlled substances to a person with whom he had a significant personal and emotional relationship. PA has not practiced as a physician assistant since April 2021.	License reinstated; PA required to successfully complete ProBE course
CONSENT ORDERS AMENDED	2.15-1-		
<b>WON,</b> John Sunghoon, MD (200601944) Elizabeth City, NC	01/06/2022	MD practiced maxillofacial surgery in Raleigh and Elizabeth City, North Carolina. In July 2021, MD	Amended Consent Order; MD may perform oral surgery; Restrictions placed on



entered into a Consent Order with the Board in which his license to practice medicine was suspended for twelve months; however, the suspension was immediately stayed upon the condition that he does not perform oral surgery. The Consent Order was based on MD's failure to comply with the provisions of his May 2020 Consent Order by performing oral surgery on six patients between July 2020 and September 2020. MD submitted for a comprehensive assessment by the Center for Personalized Education for Professionals (CPEP) in August 2020. CPEP identified some areas of educational need and made the following recommendations: (1) establish a relationship with an experienced educational Preceptor in oral maxillofacial surgery; (2) review and commit to memory Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support (ACLS) protocols and algorithms and enrollment in an electrocardiogram (ECG) interpretation course; and (3) take steps to improve medical record documentation In September 2021, MD requested that the Board relieve him of the condition of his 2020 and 2021 Consent Orders that restricted him from

performing oral surgery. At

medical license



		the November 2021 Board meeting, the Board reviewed MD's request and agreed to allow him to practice oral surgery with certain restrictions.	
TEMPORARY/DATED LICENSES:			
ISSUED, EXTENDED, EXPIRED, OR			
REPLACED BY FULL LICENSES			
NONE			
COURT APPEALS/STAYS			
NONE			
DISMISSALS			
NONE	·		