

## Adverse Actions Report November 2023 – December 2023

The digital edition of the *Forum* presents a two-month report of recent adverse actions. This report does not include non-adverse action such as reentry agreements or relief of consent order obligations. To view all public actions, visit <a href="https://www.ncmedboard.org/BoardActions">www.ncmedboard.org/BoardActions</a>.

Name/license #/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
ANNULMENTS			
NONE			
SUMMARY SUSPENSIONS			
NONE			
REVOCATIONS			
SALEH, Omar Mohammed, MD (202002501) Fort Myers, FL	11/09/2023	MD was convicted of felony Conspiracy to commit Health Care Fraud.	Revocation of NC medical license
SUSPENSIONS			
BREITBART, Eric Adam, MD (201500422) Fayetteville, NC	11/02/2023	From January 2019 to October 2022, MD prescribed controlled substances to persons who included friends, someone with whom he was romantically involved, and an employee. In all cases MD did not perform an examination prior to prescribing these medications and did not maintain proper medical record documentation. MD self-reported inappropriate prescribing to the Board and placed his license on inactive status.	Indefinite Suspension
MEHTA, Hemal Vinod, MD	12/15/2023	MD voluntarily	Indefinite suspension
(200301497) Brentwood, TN		surrendered his DEA registration and has not practiced pain management or prescribed controlled substances since 2020. In July 2023, MD and the Tennessee Board of	stayed with conditions; MD is required to obtain Board permission before applying to reinstate DEA registration



LIMITATIONS/CONDITIONS		Medical Examiners entered into a consent agreement whereby his Tennessee medical license was placed on probation, he was assessed a civil penalty, and required additional Continuing Medical Education, among other conditions.	
STACKHOUSE, Danielle Andrea, MD (200801632)	11/06 /2023	In July 2022, MD was summarily suspended from all clinical privileges pending an investigation due to concerns related to alcohol impairment while on duty. MD immediately enrolled in an impaired provider program, the Substance Use Disorder Clinical Care (SUDCC), and began weekly sessions for sobriety. She submitted to a treatment center in Texas for group and individual therapy. MD successfully completed the program and continues in the SUDCC program. Her privileges were reinstated in November 2022. MD signed a five-year monitoring contract with the North Carolina Professionals Health Program in April 2023.	Suspension; Stayed with conditions
REPRIMANDS BEAMER, Mark Edward, MD	12/07/2023	In December 2022, the	Reprimand; MD shall
(009400718) Belhaven, NC	12/0//2023	In December 2022, the Board received information that MD engaged in a romantic	complete the Professional /Problem- Based Ethics course



	1		
		relationship with one of	and CME
		his patients. A review of	
		the patient's medical	
		records revealed the	
		existence of a physician-	
		patient relationship	
		beginning in 2013 and	
		ending in 2021.	
		Throughout the romantic	
		relationship, MD	
		prescribed both	
		controlled and non-	
		controlled substances to	
		the patient. He only	
		prepared documentation	
		for one of the controlled	
		substances prescribed.	
FULLER, Lance Robert, MD	11/29/2023	In February 2023, MD	Reprimand
(200701083) Raleigh, NC	11/23/2023	unknowingly became	Кергинана
(200701085) Kaleigii, NC		involved in a financial	
		scheme with a woman he	
		met on an internet dating	
		app. She asked MD to act	
		as her power of attorney	
		to help her recover an	
		inheritance. The woman	
		requested that MD open	
		multiple bank accounts	
		and send her the access	
		information. The woman	
		then conspired with	
		another person,	
		purporting to be a	
		"banker," and this	
		"banker" then used MD	
		to deposit fraudulent	
		checks in the bank	
		accounts he opened. MD	
		has fully cooperated with	
		the investigation of these	
		fraudulent transactions.	
MACKAY, Daniel Alexander, MD	11/09/2023	MD was reprimanded by	Reprimand
(202202084) Dallas, TX		the Texas Medical Board	-
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		for improper supervision	
		of two advanced practice	
		providers, substandard	
		providers, substantial d	



		care, non-therapeutic prescribing, and	
		improper withholding of	
		medical records. MD has	
		complied with the terms	
		and conditions of his	
		Texas Board Order, which	
		was terminated in	
		September 2023.	
<b>MERKLE</b> , Peter Frank, MD	12/6/2023	While Patient A, a 19-	Reprimand; Public
(009300236) Pompano Beach, FL		year-old female, was	Letter of Concern; MD
		anesthetized during	shall complete the
		operation for a broken	Professional/Problem
		arm and clavicle, MD	Based Ethics Course
		engaged with a surgical	(ProBE) and continuing
		technician in	medical education in
		inappropriate banter as	surgical hardware
		to whether Patient A's	selection
		breasts were natural or	
		implants. MD adjusted	
		the blanket that covered	
		the bottom quarter of	
		Patient A's right breast,	
		exposing the juncture of	
		the chest wall and her	
		right breast before	
		commenting that he saw	
		no surgical scars, so her	
		breasts were natural. In	
		addition, MD operated	
		on Patient B's legs	
		following a motor vehicle	
		collision. MD used "Talon	
		Distal Fix" nails for the	
		fixation of Patient B's	
		comminuted fractures. A	
		Board expert reviewer	
		opined that this	
		hardware was	
		contraindicated for the	
		type of fractures	
		sustained by Patient B.	
		MD denies that his care	
		fell below acceptable	
		standards of care.	
		However, MD admits	



	1	L.,, 5	
		that the Board's expert	
		opinion, if proven, would	
		provide grounds for the	
		Board to conclude that	
		the care departed from	
DENIALS OF LISENSE (ADDROVAL		acceptable standards.	
DENIALS OF LICENSE/APPROVAL			
NONE			
SURRENDERS			
NONE CONCERN			
PUBLIC LETTERS OF CONCERN	12/14/2023	The Board is concerned	Public Letter of
BARBER, Matthew Don, MD (009800461) Durham, NC	12/14/2023		Concern
(009800461) Durnam, NC		that MD's care of a	Concern
		patient may have failed	
		to conform to accepted	
		medical standards. MD	
		was the attending	
		surgeon when a patient	
		underwent a	
		sacrospinous ligament	
		suspension and other	
		procedures. Three	
		•	
		sutures were placed on	
		the right sacrospinous	
		ligament.	
		Postoperatively, the	
		Patient complained of	
		right buttock pain with	
		radiation down the back	
		of her legs, tingling and	
		numbness on the plantar	
		aspect of the right foot,	
		and foot drop.	
		Approximately one hour	
		after surgery, MD	
		removed one	
		sacrospinous ligament	
		suture because palpation	
		of that suture	
		reproduced the pain.	
		Patient experienced	



		roliof of radiating asia	
		relief of radiating pain	
		down her right leg;	
		however, she continued	
		to have numbness and	
		tingling in her right foot	
		and foot drop. The	
		remaining two sutures	
		were removed two days	
		after the initial surgery.	
		The standard of practice	
		would have been to	
		remove all three sutures	
		immediately in the post-	
		operative setting when	
		right foot drop was	
		identified.	
BECKENSTEIN, Charles Robert,	12/11/2023	The Board is concerned	Public Letter of
MD (201700342) Tampa, FL		that MD's postoperative	Concern
		care of a patient may	
		have failed to conform	
		accepted standards. MD	
		was the attending	
		anesthesiologist during	
		back surgery on a 55-	
		year-old male with a	
		history of obesity and	
		obstructive sleep apnea	
		(OSA) requiring a	
		continuous positive	
		airway pressure (CPAP)	
		machine. Upon arriving	
		at the post-anesthesia	
		care unit (PACU), the	
		patient remained very	
		sleepy. Over the course	
		of almost an hour, the	
		patient became less	
		responsive. The PACU	
		nurse alerted MD of the	
		nurse alerted MD of the	



patient's state and requested an assessment. An oral airway was in place as well as a non-rebreathing mask. MD ordered a beta blocker to treat the patient's blood pressure and heart rate, as well as noninvasive ventilation. The results of an arterial blood gas (ABG) test took close to an hour to come back, during which time the patient's condition continued to deteriorate. Once the ABG test results came back, the patient was emergently intubated. However, he went into cardiac arrest during which he suffered an anoxic brain injury. Pursuant to the family's wishes, the patient was placed on comfort care and subsequently died. The Board's independent reviewing expert criticized MD's failure to follow-up on the patient in either the operating room or the PACU during the time he was emerging from anesthesia. MD should have followed-up on the delayed ABG test results, as the patient was in obvious acute respiratory



		failure. While MD's support staff caused numerous delays in the care of the patient, the responsibility is ultimately on the anesthesiologist.	
BELAYEV, Andrey, MD (201601370) Raleigh, NC	12/11/2023	The Board is concerned about MD's care of a patient, who presented with what turned out to be a previously-ruptured arteriovenous malformation (AVM). The care was reviewed by an independent medical expert. While treating the patient, MD performed a procedure using an infusion microcatheter and a separate embolization agent. During the embolization procedure, the microcatheter tube ruptured and inadvertently delivered copolymer into the normal vasculature and proximal to the target AVM. The independent reviewer felt that MD should have considered using a different microcatheter and embolic material combination that were more compatible. The Board also notes that MD's own independent medical expert who practices and teaches in this area of medicine opined that the	Public Letter of Concern



		T	Г
		complication was in no	
		way related to the choice	
		of microcatheter and	
		embolic material.	
CADET, Edwin Richard	12/14/2023	In February 2022, a	Public Letter of
(201300934) Raleigh, NC		patient presented to MD	Concern
, , , , , , , ,		with a mass near her	
		elbow, and complaints of	
		tingling and numbness in	
		her left ring and pinky	
		fingers. MD ordered an	
		_	
		MRI with and without	
		contrast to gather data.	
		The interpreting	
		musculoskeletal	
		radiologist did not	
		include a potential	
		malignancy in his	
		differential diagnosis,	
		and MD similarly saw no	
		indication that the mass	
		was malignant. Based on	
		the radiologist's	
		conclusions and MD's	
		evaluation of the	
		patient's history, physical	
		examination, and	
		imaging, MD agreed with	
		the radiologist that the	
		patient likely had a fluid-	
		filled lump under the skin	
		-	
		(ganglion cyst). In June,	
		MD performed surgery to	
		remove the mass and	
		performed a cubital	
		release to treat the	
		symptoms of cubital	
		tunnel syndrome. The	
		pathology report of the	
		mass revealed it was	
		cancerous. The patient	
		was referred to an	
		oncologist, and in July	
		2022, underwent a	
		second surgery for	
		additional resection of	
<u>i</u>		additional resettion of	



	1		
		the tumor to establish	
		normal tissue borders	
		followed by radiation	
		therapy. The Board's	
		reviewing expert believes	
		that MD's care of the	
		patient may have fallen	
		below the standard of	
		care in NC. Specifically,	
		the reviewing expert	
		noted that a ganglion	
		cyst at the elbow is	
		extremely rare, and that	
		the surgical treatment	
		MD performed would	
		have been typical for	
		treatment of a wrist	
		ganglion. Further, the	
		reviewing expert	
		criticized MD's failure to	
		follow the principles of	
		tumor surgery.	
<b>DUAN-PORTER,</b> Wei, MD	12/28/2023	The Board is concerned	Public Letter of
(201301203) Minneapolis, MN	12, 23, 2323	that MD failed to	Concern
(201301203)		recognize potential	001100111
		indicators of a	
		gastrointestinal (GI)	
		bleed in a patient,	
		including laboratory	
		findings on admission	
		indicating an abnormally	
		low hemoglobin along	
		with a low platelet count.	
		The patient's lab work	
		also indicated an	
		abnormally high blood	
		urea nitrogen level. These lab results were	
		strongly indicative of a GI	
		bleed. Although there is	
		no documentation of	
		consideration of a GI	
		consult, MD states that	
		she discussed a GI	
		consult with the patient,	
		but he declined. MD's	



		plan was to treat the patient's ulcer. MD did not obtain further workup or serial hemoglobin, or platelet counts during the hospitalization until the patient experienced a syncopal episode five days after admission. The patient became unresponsive, requiring intubation, resuscitation for hypotension, and transfer to the intensive care unit for further management. The patient then coded, was placed on comfort care, and died shortly after. The presumptive diagnosis as cause of death was a massive GI	
ELFEKY, Hamed Abdelfatah, MD (202203208) Orlando, FL	11/08/2023	The Board is concerned that MD entered a Consent Order with the Virginia Board and was reprimanded in July 2023. The reprimand was based on concerns related to two patients that MD treated as a pathologist in 2019. In one case MD's report indicated a finding that no cancer was present in Patient A's breast tissue. A re-evaluation ordered by the treating physician confirmed the presence of cancer. Similarly, while in surgery, Patient B's treating physician sent a sample for analysis by MD requesting the	Public Letter of Concern



	1		
		results before the surgery was concluded.	
		MD spoke with Patient	
		B's treating physician on	
		this date and told her	
		that the lymph node was	
		negative for cancer. MD	
		did not mention at that	
		time that he had not	
		completely examined all	
		of the sections. Once he	
		finished his review of all	
		the sections, he found	
		carcinoma in Patient B's	
		lymph node section and	
		contacted Patient B's	
		treating physician, who had to reopen a closed	
		-	
		incision site and begin an	
		axillary node dissection	
COODMIN Anika Caran	12/05/2022	procedure. The Board is concerned	Public Letter of
GOODWIN, Anika Saron	12/05/2023	that MD failed to	
(201400564) Boca Raton, FL			Concern
		properly identify and excise the correct lesion	
		resulting in a patient	
		having to undergo an	
		additional surgery. In	
		November 2016, a 93-	
		year-old male, was	
		referred to MD by a dermatologist for surgical	
		management of a lesion	
		•	
		on the right lower ear due to concerns of basal	
		cell carcinoma. In January	
		2017, MD excised a	
		lesion on Patient's right	
		upper ear instead. In	
		February 2017, Patient	
		underwent another	
		surgery by another	
		provider in which the	
	i .	DIOVIDEI III WIIICII LIIE	
		•	
		correct lesion was	
		•	



	1		
		basal cell carcinoma. The Board notes that the Department of Veterans Affairs Review Panel acknowledged that systemic deficiencies related to communication between the Dermatology and Plastic Surgery Services in the referral of this patient contributed to this error.	
KILLMER, Scott Matthew, MD (201700889) Daniels, WV	12/28/2023	MD performed an unremarkable laparoscopic appendectomy on a patient who came to the emergency department with right lower abdominal pain and 102.8-degree fever. A kidney, ureter, and bladder x-ray showed no evidence of acute intra-abdominal infection or free air. The pathology report of the appendix demonstrated no active or acute inflammation. The patient went into cardiac arrest, was resuscitated and taken back to surgery. The exploratory surgery revealed restricted blood flow to the patient's right colon (ischemic colitis), abscess, and fecal contamination. The right portion of the patient's colon was removed and drains were placed. However, the patient's condition continued to deteriorate, and he died.	Public Letter of Concern



		The Board's reviewing expert noted that the patient's presenting history and physical exam were likely more consistent with ischemic colitis. Further, if MD had performed a CT scan earlier postoperatively, it would likely have revealed the ischemic colitis and fecal contamination with abscess formation, thereby allowing for earlier intervention. The	
<b>NETH,</b> Zaroh Lu, MD (202303438) Sunnyvale, CA	12/13/2023	Board is concerned that MD's care may have failed to conform to accepted standards.  The Board is concerned that MD was	License issued with Public Letter of
Sumiyvale, CA		reprimanded by the Virginia Board in September 2020 because of poor prescribing of controlled substances to a patient who was undergoing buprenorphine treatment for opioid use disorder. Despite being advised by the patient's addiction treatment provider to taper clonazepam, MD failed to do so. Rather, MD, increased the dosage in direct contradiction to the advice of the	Concern
		addiction treatment provider. In addition, MD prescribed opioid pain medication despite her awareness of the patient's recent	



		beenitelineties: fes	
		hospitalizations for	
		opioid use disorder,	
		overdose, anxiety,	
		benzodiazepine use	
		disorder, alcohol use	
		disorder, and	
		detoxification from	
		benzodiazepines. Finally,	
		MD prescribed	
		buprenorphine for the	
		patient even though MD	
		did not hold a waiver	
		from the Drug	
		Enforcement Agency, as	
		required at the time of	
		treatment. As a result of	
		the substandard	
		prescribing practices	
		described above, MD	
		entered into a Consent	
		Order with the Virginia	
		Board. This required her	
		to complete fifteen hours	
		of continuing medical	
		education in proper	
		prescribing. The Board	
		acknowledges that MD	
		has satisfied the terms of	
		the Virigina Board's	
		-	
DANDVA Avei Bonsi AAD	12/14/2022	Consent Order.	Dublic Lottor of
PANDYA, Avni Bansi, MD	12/14/2023	The Board is concerned	Public Letter of
(201501598) Addison, TX		about MD's care of a 43-	Concern
		year-old, morbidly obese	
		male who called MD at a	
		telehealth service with	
		complaints of stabbing	
		pain in his upper body.	
		During the call, MD took	
		a brief history of the	
		pain, including onset,	
		location, pain quality,	
		aggravating factors, and	
		alleviating factors. MD	
		inquired as to whether	
		the patient was having	
		symptoms of trouble	



breathing, cough, cold, congestion, or coughing up blood. MD did not verify any past medical history, family history, or tobacco or drug use and did not ask any specific cardiac-related questions. The patient reported that the stabbing pain was from the sternum to the top of his shoulders and was worse when he lay on his side and/or took a deep breath, coughed, or sneezed. MD diagnosed the patient with costochondritis and prescribed a steroid dose pack and ibuprofen. MD advised the patient that if his symptoms got acutely worse, to go to an emergency room or urgent care and encouraged him to follow-up with an urgent care the next day to rule out other causes. The patient did not seek follow up care and subsequently died two days later of a heart attack due to a blood clot inside a blood vessel of the heart. The Board's independent reviewing expert believed MD should have recognized several red flags related to the patient's chest pain and directed him to have an in-person evaluation. Although MD directed the patient to



he "acutely" worsened, MD failed to explain what that meant or to expressly mention that his symptoms could be those of a heart attack.  SICA, Roger Sebastian, DO (201001567) Supply, NC  11/29/2023  Patient was seen by a PA at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma		1	-	
MD failed to explain what that meant or to expressly mention that his symptoms could be those of a heart attack.  SICA, Roger Sebastian, DO (201001567) Supply, NC 11/29/2023 Patient was seen by a PA at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			seek medical attention if	
what that meant or to expressly mention that his symptoms could be those of a heart attack.  SICA, Roger Sebastian, DO (201001567) Supply, NC  11/29/2023  11/29/2023  Patient was seen by a PA at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on the right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			he "acutely" worsened,	
expressly mention that his symptoms could be those of a heart attack.  SICA, Roger Sebastian, DO (201001567) Supply, NC  11/29/2023  Patient was seen by a PA at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			MD failed to explain	
SICA, Roger Sebastian, DO (201001567) Supply, NC  11/29/2023  Patient was seen by a PA at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			what that meant or to	
those of a heart attack.  SICA, Roger Sebastian, DO (201001567) Supply, NC  11/29/2023  Patient was seen by a PA at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well- differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			expressly mention that	
those of a heart attack.  SICA, Roger Sebastian, DO (201001567) Supply, NC  11/29/2023  Patient was seen by a PA at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well- differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			his symptoms could be	
SICA, Roger Sebastian, DO (201001567) Supply, NC  11/29/2023  Patient was seen by a PA at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operade was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
at DO's practice in May 2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma	SICA Roger Sehastian DO	11/29/2023		Public Letter of
2021 for evaluation of a scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma		11/23/2023	_	
scaling lesion on her right lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma	(201001307) 30ppry, NC		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Concern
lateral cheek. Following a biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
biopsy, the patient was diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
diagnosed with an invasive well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			_	
invasive well- differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
differentiated squamous cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			_	
cell carcinoma. The patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			invasive well-	
patient did not schedule the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			differentiated squamous	
the Moh's procedure until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			cell carcinoma. The	
until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			patient did not schedule	
until October of 2021. DO performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			the Moh's procedure	
performed the procedure after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			·	
after having the patient confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
confirm the treatment site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
site. Postoperative photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
photographs revealed the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
the area where DO operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			_	
operated was several centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
centimeters away from the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
the location of the patient's biopsy from almost five months prior.  MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site.  After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			· ·	
patient's biopsy from almost five months prior. MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			centimeters away from	
almost five months prior.  MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			the location of the	
MD proceeded with surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site.  After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			patient's biopsy from	
surgery at that site, based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			almost five months prior.	
based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			MD proceeded with	
based upon his observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			surgery at that site,	
observations of the patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site.  After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			,	
patient's cheek that day, his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			•	
his review of two prior photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
photographs taken in his office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			•	
office, and the patient's confirmation of the site. After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			-	
confirmation of the site.  After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
After the procedure was performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
performed and the abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
abnormality that MD believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma				
believed to be the squamous cell carcinoma			•	
squamous cell carcinoma			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
was removed. a			squamous cell carcinoma	
······································			was removed, a	



		hypopigmented scar developed at the site of the prior biopsy. This should have been identifiable before surgery. When the patient returned to see DO six days after the Moh's procedure, she	
		expressed concerns that the site of the surgery	
		was wrong. The Board	
		notes that DO has	
		amended his protocols to	
		better prevent wrong site	
SCHMITZ, David Brian, DO (201902628) Bolivia, NC	11/14/2023	surgeries.  In December 2021, DO referred a 42-year-old-male to an arthritis clinic for evaluation of joint pain. The laboratory workup revealed a low hemoglobin of 8.7. Results were provided to DO's office with recommendation to start oral iron therapy. DO was on vacation when the laboratory results arrived at his office. A medical assistant mistakenly placed results in an incorrect place. As a result, DO did not review them until January 2022. DO recommended continuing with oral iron therapy and follow up in 3 to 4 weeks. The patient did not follow up as requested and thereafter switched to a different primary care physician who	Public Letter of Concern; DO shall complete eight hours continuing medical education
		recommended an urgent endoscopy due to the	



a proficient physical exam. The Board's independent medical expert thought that DO should have considered working the patient up in January 2021 and
ordered a colonoscopy, repeat laboratory work and possible liver ultrasound.  MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS  EMERY, Henry Ronald, Jr., MD (200300594) Waxhaw, NC  12/28/2023 In September 2023, a federal grand jury for the United States District Court for the Western District of NC indicted MD for one count of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances Outside the Bounds of Professional Medical Practice. The grand jury also indicted MD for ten



	1		
		suspended. In August	other terms and
		2022, MD's NC license	conditions of the
		was reinstated with	August 2022 Consent
		terms and conditions. In	Order remain in effect.
		September 2023, MD	
		requested relief from the	
		terms and conditions of	
		the August 2022 Consent	
		Order, specifically	
		Paragraphs 1a and 1b,	
		which required practice	
		site approval and	
		prohibited the	
		prescribing of Schedule	
		II/IIN (2/2N) and III/IIIN	
		(3/3N) controlled	
		substances.	
TEMPORARY/DATED LICENSES:			
ISSUED, EXTENDED, EXPIRED, OR			
REPLACED BY FULL LICENSES			
NONE			
COURT APPEALS/STAYS			
NONE			
DISMISSALS			
NONE			