



FROM THE PRESIDENT

Is (NCMB) justice blind?

Board processes designed to remove bias

In the five-plus years I've served on the North Carolina Medical Board, the agency has taken remarkable steps to improve both the quality and quantity of information provided to the public and the profession.

Over the past few years, the Board revamped its website, introduced an email version of this newsletter and established a presence on Facebook. To allow the public to follow Board business more easily, the Board posts meeting agendas—including committee agendas—on the Web. The Board also provides notices, disciplinary reports and numerous other items via automated subscriptions, as well as on the Board's website. Significantly, the Board now routinely includes non-Board member stakeholders on work groups and task forces to help shape Board policy. The Board has never been more transparent or engaging.

Yet despite all of the progress and emphasis on transparency, many licensees still believe that the Board is "out to get" licensees or use its disciplinary authority to unfairly bully and boss. For example, the Board recently fielded a public information request that wondered whether the Medical Board might take public action against African American licensees at a greater rate than white licensees.

Race, ethnicity and/or sex never enter into our deliberations, so we'd never reviewed the numbers in quite that way, but we were more than willing to take a look. Analysis of Board actions found that in 2011 about 11 percent of complaints made against African Americans resulted in public action, while 10 percent of complaints against whites resulted in public action. Our analysis, which included five years of data, found that those rates have been relatively constant over time. Based on these findings, we found no evidence of racial bias in Board decisions regarding public actions.

Most licensees will never be the subject of a Medical Board investigation, let alone receive disciplinary action, so it's not surprising there are so many misconceptions about the disciplinary process. Put simply, I believe the Board has taken all reasonable measures to ensure that the review process is colorblind and resistant to most forms of bias. Very little identifying information is provided to Board members and staff who review cases. Each case summary is labeled with the licensee's name, location (city, state), date of birth, date of licensure and specialty. Aside from what can be inferred from a licensee's name, there is no opportunity for the reviewer to know the licensee's race or ethnicity. In relatively rare situations, the licensee under investigation is a personal or profes-



NCMB President Dr. William A. Walker, says "I believe the Board has taken all reasonable measures to ensure that the review process is colorblind and resistant to most forms of bias."

IN THIS ISSUE

20	Thank you to all expert reviewers	22	NCMB welcomes four new members
21	Prescribe Suboxone?	24	Quarterly Board actions report
21	Serve on the NCMB	28	Corporations/PLLCs manage corporate files online

FROM THE PRESIDENT

sional acquaintance of a Board member (and therefore his or her race is known). In those situations, the ethical rules we follow require the Board member to decide whether he or she can remain objective while participating in discussions and decisions regarding that licensee. If not, the Board member must recuse him- or herself.

Some regulatory Boards have chosen to make the entire case review process blind. This is done by using case numbers to identify the licensee, not a name. However, a completely blind review system makes identifying potential conflicts of interest difficult and creates a huge administrative burden to redact medical records and other documents. In the absence of any evidence that using a licensee name results in unfair treatment, the NCMB has seen no reason to proceed with such a system.

So how does the Board decide when to pursue public action against a licensee? First of all, many issues that come before the Board do not rise to the level of public action. In fact, more than 60 percent of disciplinary cases opened by the Board in a given year are closed with no formal action. To determine if public action might be appropriate, each member of the Board and staff who takes part in the multi-step case review process forms his or her best recommendation based on the evidence available. Patient statements, medical records, licensee statements, outside peer reviews and other investigative findings comprise the evidence. After all evidence is reviewed and any additional information is gathered to resolve questions that arise, the senior staff of the Board makes a recommendation to the Board's Disciplinary Committee. The case is then completely and thoroughly reviewed by a Board member who serves on this committee and this Board member determines whether the case should be discussed by the entire committee or if the recommendation of the senior staff is acceptable. Any other member of the Disciplinary Committee may also put the case into discussion. The Committee then makes a recommendation to the entire Board. Additional discussion may occur at the full Board level and then a final Board action is taken to pursue, *or not*, a public action against the licensee.

I'm well aware that a public action embarrasses a licensee and may have other professional ramifications. Believe me, the Board derives no pleasure from taking these actions. Nonetheless, there are dozens of situations each year in which the Board determines that public action is necessary and appropriate to protect the public and preserve the integrity of the profession. Should the Board fail to meet these obligations, the profession may lose its privilege of self-regulation. Every Board member understands the grave responsibility we have to ensure both protection for the people of North Carolina and fairness to our licensees.

Human nature being what it is, there will always be skepticism about the Board's motives and actions. Perhaps the presentation of additional details about the public outcomes of the Board's disciplinary work will help illuminate the process. On the facing page, you'll find a selection of statistics gleaned from an analysis of five years' worth of Board actions data. Demographics do not relate to licensee behavior or performance, so we have no intention to use this information in any official capacity. For now, I hope you'll think there's some value in having the Board open yet another window into how it does business.

Comment to forum@ncmedboard.org

The infographic on page three is a graphic representation of data collected by the Board, including demographic data for total physician and physician assistant population as of January 2013, and disciplinary data for physicians and PAs with public Board actions in the past five years (2007-2011). Keep in mind, about 3 percent of the active licensee population has one or more public actions.

William A. Walker, MD

Facts about your new Board president

City: Charlotte, NC

Appointed: Nov. 1, 2007 | **Term ends:** Oct. 31, 2013

Specialty: Colon and Rectal Surgery

Certification: American Board of Colon & Rectal Surgery;
American Board of Surgery

Personal: Wife, Lynn; two adult children

North Carolina Medical Board Forum Credits

Volume XV | Winter 2013

Board officers

President

William A. Walker, MD | Charlotte

President Elect

Paul S. Camnitz, MD | Greenville

Secretary/Treasurer

Cheryl Walker-McGill, MD | Gastonia

Immediate Past President

Ralph C. Loomis, MD | Asheville

Board members

Michael J. Arnold, MBA | Wake Forest

Eleanor E. Greene, MD | High Point

Subhash C. Gumber, MD, PhD | Cary

Thomas R. Hill, MD | Hickory

Janice E. Huff, MD | Charlotte

Thelma Lennon | Raleigh

John B. Lewis, Jr, LLB | Farmville

Helen Diane Meelheim, F-NP | Beaufort

Forum staff

Publisher

NC Medical Board

Editor

Jean Fisher Brinkley

Associate Editor

Dena M. Konkel

Editor Emeritus

Dale G Breaden

Contact Us

Street Address

1203 Front Street
Raleigh, NC 27609

Mailing Address

PO Box 20007
Raleigh, NC 27619

Telephone / Fax

(800) 253-9653
Fax (919) 326-0036

Web Site:

www.ncmedboard.org

E-Mail:

info@ncmedboard.org


Have something for the editor?

forum@ncmedboard.org


The *Forum* of the North Carolina Medical Board is published four times a year. Articles appearing in the *Forum*, including letters and reviews, represent the opinions of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the North Carolina Medical Board, its members or staff, or the institutions or organizations with which the authors are affiliated. Official statements, policies, positions, or reports of the Board are clearly identified.

We welcome letters to the editor addressing topics covered in the *Forum*. They will be published in edited form depending on available space. A letter should include the writer's full name, address, and telephone number.


TOTAL PHYSICIAN AND PA POP.



PHYSICIANS AND PAs WITH PUBLIC ACTION: SEX



WITH PUBLIC BOARD ACTIONS




TOTAL BY RACE

American Indian/Alaskan Native = 160 | Asian-American/Pacific Islander = 3,082 | Black/Non-Hispanic = 2,696 | Hispanic = 948 | White/Non-Hispanic = 29,572 | Other = 1,572 | Not Reported = 2,734

PHYSICIANS AND PAs WITH PUBLIC ACTION: RACE

American Indian/Alaskan Native (Red), Asian-American/Pacific Islander (Orange), Black/Non-Hispanic (Green), Hispanic (Purple), White/Non-Hispanic (Blue), Other (Grey)



Figures do not equal 100% because some licensees have not reported their race.

RATE OF ACTIONS PER 1,000 LICENSEES: SPECIALTY*

- OB/GYN = 8 actions/1,000 licensees
- Family Medicine = 7 actions/1,000 licensees
- Psychiatry = 7 actions/1,000 licensees
- Emergency Medicine = 6 actions/1,000 licensees
- Internal Medicine = 5 actions/1,000 licensees
- Orthopedic Surgery = 5 actions/1,000 licensees
- General Surgery = 4 actions/1,000 licensees
- Anesthesiology = 3 actions/1,000 licensees

*Rates reflect the total number of actions averaged over five years (2007-2011) divided by licensee population as of January 2013.




RATE OF ACTIONS PER 1,000 LICENSEES: RACE*


American Indian/Alaskan Native = 19/1,000 | Asian-American/Pacific Islander = 3/1,000 | Black/Non-Hispanic = 9/1,000 | Hispanic = 6/1,000 | White/Non-Hispanic = 5/1,000 | Other = 4/1,000

*Rates calculated using average number of actions: 2007-2011

TOTAL PHYSICIAN AND PA POP: AGE



WITH PUBLIC BOARD ACTIONS



NC Medical Board Position Statements

A guide to the Board’s Position Statements as of 12/31/2012

Each year, the NCMB publishes its complete position statements as a guide for all licensees. The statements are also available on the Board’s website at www.ncmedboard.org

The Board’s Policy Committee reviews the content of the statements regularly, making necessary revisions to address changes in medical practice, new, innovative methods and procedures or matters of policy. In 2012, the Board amended 11 position statements and reviewed one statement, making no changes. In an effort to make this guide more user friendly, we have employed a color-coding system to identify position statements that were adopted, reviewed or revised in 2012. New statements are identified in green, statements that were revised are coded purple; statements that were reviewed with no changes are marked in blue.

The principles of professionalism and performance expressed in the position statements of the North Carolina Medical Board apply to all persons licensed and/or approved by the Board to render medical care at any level.

Disclaimer

The North Carolina Medical Board makes the information in this publication available as a public service. We attempt to update this printed material as often as possible and to ensure its accuracy. However, because the Board’s position statements may be revised at any time and because errors can occur, the information presented here should not be considered an official or complete record. Under no circumstances shall the Board, its members, officers, agents, or employees be liable for any actions taken or omissions made in reliance on information in this publication or for any consequences of such reliance. A more current version of the Board’s position statements will be found on the Board’s website: www.ncmedboard.org, which is usually updated shortly after revisions are made. In no case, however, should this publication or the material found on the Board’s website substitute for the official records of the Board.

WHAT ARE THE POSITION STATEMENTS OF THE BOARD AND TO WHOM DO THEY APPLY?

The North Carolina Medical Board’s Position Statements are interpretive statements that attempt to define or explain the meaning of laws or rules that govern the practice of physicians,* physician assistants, and nurse practitioners in North Carolina, usually those relating to discipline. They also set forth criteria or guidelines used by the Board’s staff in investigations and in the prosecution or settlement of cases.

When considering the Board’s Position Statements, the following four points should be kept in mind.

- 1) In its Position Statements, the Board attempts to articulate some of the standards it believes applicable to the medical profession and to the other health care professions it regulates. However, a Position Statement should not be seen as the promulgation of a new standard as of the date of issuance or amendment. Some Position Statements are reminders of traditional, even millennia old, professional standards, or show how the Board might apply such standards today.
- 2) The Position Statements are not intended to be comprehensive or to set out exhaustively every standard that might apply in every circumstance. Therefore, the absence of a Position Statement or a Position Statement’s silence on certain matters should not be construed as the lack of an enforceable standard.
- 3) The existence of a Position Statement should not necessarily be taken as an indication of the Board’s enforcement priorities.
- 4) A lack of disciplinary actions to enforce a particular standard mentioned in a Position Statement should not be taken as an abandonment of the principles set forth therein.

The Board will continue to decide each case before it on all the facts and circumstances presented in the hearing, whether or not the issues have been the subject of a Position Statement. The Board intends that the Position Statements will reflect its philosophy on certain subjects and give licensees some guidance for avoiding Board scrutiny. The principles of professionalism and performance expressed in the Position Statements apply to all persons licensed and/or approved by the Board to render medical care at any level.

*The words “physician” and “doctor” as used in the Position Statements refer to persons who are MDs or DOs licensed by the Board to practice medicine and surgery in North Carolina. (Adopted November 1999) (Reviewed May 2010)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Physician-Patient Relationship.....	5	Office-Based Procedures.....	11
Medical Record Documentation.....	5	Laser Surgery.....	15
Access to Medical Records.....	5	Care of the Patient Undergoing Surgery or Other Invasive Procedure	16
Retention of Medical Records.....	6	HIV/HBV Infected Health Care Workers.....	16
Departures From or Closings of Medical Practices.....	6	Professional Obligation to Report Incompetence, Impairment, and Unethical Conduct.....	17
The Retired Physician/Licensee.....	6	Advertising and Publicity.....	17
Advance Directives and Patient Autonomy.....	7	Sales of Goods from Physicians Offices.....	18
Availability of Licensees to Their Patients.....	7	Referral Fees and Fee Splitting.....	18
Guidelines for Avoiding Misunderstandings During Physical Examinations.....	7	Unethical Agreements in Complaint Settlements.....	18
Sexual Exploitation of Patients.....	7	Medical Supervisor-Trainee Relationship.....	18
Contact with Patients Before Prescribing.....	8	Competence and Reentry to the Active Practice of Medicine	18
Writing of Prescriptions.....	8	Capital Punishment	18
Self-Treatment and Treatment of Family Members.....	8	Physician Supervision of Other Licensed Health Care Practitioners.....	19
The Treatment of Obesity.....	9	Drug Overdose Prevention.....	19
Prescribing Legend/Controlled Substances for Other Than Valid Medical or Therapeutic Purposes, with Particular Reference to Substances or Preparations with Anabolic Properties.....	9	Medical Testimony.....	19
Policy for the Use of Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain.....	9	Collaborative Care within the Health Care Team.....	19
End-of-Life Responsibilities and Palliative Care.....	10	Telemedicine.....	20
Joint Statement on Pain Management in End-of-Life Care.....	11	Physician Scope of Practice.....	20

POSITION STATEMENTS

THE PHYSICIAN-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP

The duty of the physician is to provide competent, compassionate, and economically prudent care to all his or her patients. Having assumed care of a patient, the physician may not neglect that patient nor fail for any reason to prescribe the full care that patient requires in accord with the standards of acceptable medical practice. Further, it is the Board's position that it is unethical for a physician to allow financial incentives or contractual ties of any kind to adversely affect his or her medical judgment or patient care.

Therefore, it is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that any act by a physician that violates or may violate the trust a patient places in the physician places the relationship between physician and patient at risk. This is true whether such an act is entirely self-determined or the result of the physician's contractual relationship with a health care entity. The Board believes the interests and health of the people of North Carolina are best served when the physician-patient relationship remains inviolate. The physician who puts the physician-patient relationship at risk also puts his or her relationship with the Board in jeopardy.

Elements of the Physician-Patient Relationship

The North Carolina Medical Board licenses physicians as a part of regulating the practice of medicine in this state. Receiving a license to practice medicine grants the physician privileges and imposes great responsibilities. The people of North Carolina expect a licensed physician to be competent and worthy of their trust. As patients, they come to the physician in a vulnerable condition, believing the physician has knowledge and skill that will be used for their benefit.

Patient trust is fundamental to the relationship thus established. It requires that:

- there be adequate communication between the physician and the patient;
- the physician report all significant findings to the patient or the patient's legally designated surrogate/guardian/personal representative;
- there be no conflict of interest between the patient and the physician or third parties;
- personal details of the patient's life shared with the physician be held in confidence;
- the physician maintain professional knowledge and skills;
- there be respect for the patient's autonomy;
- the physician be compassionate;
- the physician respect the patient's right to request further restrictions on medical information disclosure and to request alternative communications;
- the physician be an advocate for needed medical care, even at the expense of the physician's personal interests; and
- the physician provide neither more nor less than the medical problem requires.

The Board believes the interests and health of the people of North Carolina are best served when the physician-patient relationship, founded on patient trust, is considered sacred, and when the elements crucial to that relationship and to that trust—communication, patient primacy, confidentiality, competence, patient autonomy, compassion, selflessness, appropriate care—are foremost in the hearts, minds, and actions of the physicians licensed by the Board.

This same fundamental physician-patient relationship also applies to all licensees.

Termination of the Physician-Patient Relationship

The Board recognizes the physician's right to choose patients and to terminate the professional relationship with them when he or she believes it is best to do so. That being understood, the Board maintains that termination of the physician-patient relationship must be done in compliance with the physician's obligation to support continuity of care for the patient.

The decision to terminate the relationship must be made by the physician personally. Further, termination must be accompanied by appropriate written notice given by the physician to the patient or the patient's representative sufficiently far in advance (at least 30 days) to allow other medical care to be secured. A copy of such notification is to be included in the medical record. Should the physician be a member of a group, the notice of termination must state clearly whether the termination involves only the individual physician or includes other members of the group. In the latter case, those members of the group joining in the termination must be designated. It is advisable that the notice of termination also include instructions for transfer of or access to the patient's medical records. (Adopted July 1995) (Amended July 1998, January 2000, March 2002, August 2003, September 2006, July 2012)

MEDICAL RECORD DOCUMENTATION

The North Carolina Medical Board takes the position that an accurate, current and complete medical record is an essential component of patient care. Licensees should maintain a medical record for each patient to whom they provide care. The medical record should contain an appropriate history and physical examination, results of ancillary studies, diagnoses, and any plan for treatment. The medical record should be legible. When the care giver does not handwrite legibly, notes should be dictated, transcribed, reviewed, and signed within a reasonable time. The Board recognizes and encourages the trend towards the use of electronic medical records ("EMR"). However, the Board cautions against relying upon software that pre-populates particular fields in the EMR without updating those fields in order to create a medical record that accurately reflects the elements delineated in this Position Statement.

The medical record is a chronological document that:

- records pertinent facts about an individual's health and wellness;
- enables the treating care provider to plan and evaluate treatments or interventions;
- enhances communication between professionals, assuring the patient optimum continuity of care;
- assists both patient and physician to communicate to third party participants;
- allows the physician to develop an ongoing quality assurance program;
- provides a legal document to verify the delivery of care; and
- is available as a source of clinical data for research and education.

The following required elements should be present in all medical records:

1. The record reflects the purpose of each patient encounter and appropriate information about the patient's history and examination, and the care and treatment provided are described.
2. The patient's past medical history is easily identified and includes serious accidents, operations, significant illnesses and other appropriate information.
3. Medication and other significant allergies, or a statement of their absence, are prominently noted in the record.
4. When appropriate, informed consent obtained from the patient is clearly documented.
5. All entries are dated.

The following additional elements reflect commonly accepted standards for medical record documentation.

1. Each page in the medical record contains the patient's name or ID number.
2. Personal biographical information such as home address, employer, marital status, and all telephone numbers, including home, work, and mobile phone numbers.
3. All entries in the medical record contain the author's identification. Author identification may be a handwritten signature, initials, or a unique electronic identifier.
4. All drug therapies are listed, including dosage instructions and, when appropriate, indication of refill limits. Prescriptions refilled by phone should be recorded.
5. Encounter notes should include appropriate arrangements and specified times for follow-up care.
6. All consultation, laboratory and imaging reports should be entered into the patient's record, reviewed, and the review documented by the practitioner who ordered them. Abnormal reports should be noted in the record, along with corresponding follow-up plans and actions taken.
7. An appropriate immunization record is evident and kept up to date.
8. Appropriate preventive screening and services are offered in accordance with the accepted practice guidelines.

(Adopted May 1994) (Amended May 1996, May 2009)

ACCESS TO MEDICAL RECORDS

A licensee's policies and practices relating to medical records under his or her control should be designed to benefit the health and welfare of patients, whether current or past, and should facilitate the transfer of clear and reliable information about a patient's care. Such policies and practices should conform to applicable federal and state laws governing health information.

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that notes made by a licensee in the course of diagnosing and treating patients are primarily for the licensee's use and to promote continuity of care. Patients, however, have a substantial right of access to their medical records and a qualified right to amend their records pursuant to the HIPAA privacy regulations.

Medical records are confidential documents and should only be released when permitted by law or with proper written authorization of the patient. Licensees are responsible for safeguarding and protecting the medical record and for providing adequate security measures.

Each licensee has a duty on the request of a patient or the patient's rep-

POSITION STATEMENTS

representative to release a copy of the record in a timely manner to the patient or the patient's representative, unless the licensee believes that such release would endanger the patient's life or cause harm to another person. This includes medical records received from other licensee offices or health care facilities. A summary may be provided in lieu of providing access to or copies of medical records only if the patient agrees in advance to such a summary and to any fees imposed for its production.

Licensees may charge a reasonable fee for the preparation and/or the photocopying of medical and other records. To assist in avoiding misunderstandings, and for a reasonable fee, the licensee should be willing to review the medical records with the patient at the patient's request. Medical records should not be withheld because an account is overdue or a bill is owed (including charges for copies or summaries of medical records).

Should it be the licensee's policy to complete insurance or other forms for established patients, it is the position of the Board that the licensee should complete those forms in a timely manner. If a form is simple, the licensee should perform this task for no fee. If a form is complex, the licensee may charge a reasonable fee.

To prevent misunderstandings, the licensee's policies about providing copies or summaries of medical records and about completing forms should be made available in writing to patients when the licensee-patient relationship begins.

Licensees should not relinquish control over their patients' medical records to third parties unless there is an enforceable agreement that includes adequate provisions to protect patient confidentiality and to ensure access to those records.*

When responding to subpoenas for medical records, unless there is a court or administrative order, licensees should follow the applicable federal regulations. [*] See also Position Statement on Departures from or Closings of Medical Practices.

(Adopted November 1993) (Amended May 1996, September 1997, March 2002, August 2003, September 2010)

RETENTION OF MEDICAL RECORDS

Physicians have both a legal and ethical obligation to retain patient records. The Board, therefore, recognizes the necessity and importance of a licensee's proper maintenance, retention, and disposition of medical records. The following guidelines are offered to assist licensees in meeting their ethical and legal obligations:

- State and federal laws require that records be kept for a minimum length of time including but not limited to:
 1. Medicare and Medicaid Investigations (up to 7 years);
 2. HIPAA (up to 6 years);
 3. Medical Malpractice (varies depending on the case but should be measured from the date of the last professional contact with the patient)—physicians should check with their medical malpractice insurer; North Carolina has no statute relating specifically to the retention of medical records;
 4. Immunization records always must be kept.
- In addition to existing state and federal laws, medical considerations may also provide the basis for deciding how long to retain medical records. Patients should be notified regarding how long the physician will retain medical records.
- In deciding whether to keep certain parts of the record, an appropriate criterion is whether a physician would want the information if he or she were seeing the patient for the first time. The Board, therefore, recognizes that the retention policies of physicians giving one-time, brief episodic care may differ from those of physicians providing continuing care for patients.
- In order to preserve confidentiality when discarding old records, all records should be destroyed, including both paper and electronic medical records.
- Those licensees providing episodic care should attempt to provide a copy of the patient's record to the patient, the patient's primary care provider, or, if applicable, the referring physician.
- If it is feasible, patients should be given an opportunity to claim the records or have them sent to another physician before old records are discarded.
- The physician should respond in a timely manner to requests from patients for copies of their medical records or to access to their medical records.
- Physicians should notify patients of the amount, and under what circumstances, the physician will charge for copies of a patient's medical record, keeping in mind that N.C. Gen. Stat. 90-411 provides limits on the fee a physician can charge for copying of medical records.

Physicians should retain medical records as long as needed not only to serve and protect patients, but also to protect themselves against adverse actions. The times stated may fall below the community standard for retention in their communities and practice settings and for the specific needs. Physicians are encouraged (may want to) seek advice from private counsel and/

or their malpractice insurance carrier.

(Adopted May 1998) (Amended May 2009)

DEPARTURES FROM OR CLOSINGS OF MEDICAL PRACTICES

Departures from or closings of medical practices are trying times. If mishandled, they can significantly disrupt continuity of care and endanger patients.

Provide Continuity of Care

Practitioners continue to have obligations toward their patients during and after the departure from or closing of a medical practice. Practitioners may not abandon a patient or abruptly withdraw from the care of a patient. Patients should therefore be given reasonable advance notice (at least 30 days) to allow other medical care to be secured. Good continuity of care includes preserving and providing appropriate access to medical records.* Also, good continuity of care may often include making appropriate referrals. The practitioner(s) and other parties that may be involved should ensure that the requirements for continuity of care are effectively addressed.

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that during such times practitioners and other parties that may be involved in such processes must consider how their actions affect patients. In particular, practitioners and other parties that may be involved have the following obligations.

Permit Patient Choice

It is the patient's decision from whom to receive care. Therefore, it is the responsibility of all practitioners and other parties that may be involved to ensure that:

- Patients are notified in a timely fashion of changes in the practice and given the opportunity to seek other medical care, sufficiently far in advance (at least 30 days) to allow other medical care to be secured, which is often done by newspaper advertisement and by letters to patients currently under care;
- Patients clearly understand that they have a choice of health care providers;
- Patients are told how to reach any practitioner(s) remaining in practice, and when specifically requested, are told how to contact departing practitioners; and
- Patients are told how to obtain copies of or transfer their medical records.

No practitioner, group of practitioners, or other parties involved should interfere with the fulfillment of these obligations, nor should practitioners put themselves in a position where they cannot be assured these obligations can be met.

Written Policies

The Board recommends that practitioners and practices prepare written policies regarding the secure storage, transfer and retrieval of patient medical records. Practitioners and practices should notify patients of these policies. At a minimum, the Board recommends that such written policies specify:

- A procedure and timeline that describes how the practitioner or practice will notify each patient when appropriate about (1) a pending practice closure or practitioner departure, (2) how medical records are to be accessed, and (3) how future notices of the location of the practice's medical records will be provided;
- How long medical records will be retained;
- The procedure by which the practitioner or practice will dispose of unclaimed medical records after a specified period of time;
- How the practitioner or practice shall timely respond to requests from patients for copies of their medical records or to access to their medical records; In the event of the practitioner's death or incapacity, how the deceased practitioner's executor, administrator, personal representative or survivor will notify patients of the location of their medical records and how patients can access those records; and
- The procedure by which the deceased or incapacitated practitioner's executor, administrator, personal representative or survivor will dispose of unclaimed medical records after a specified period of time.

The Board further expects that its licensees comply with any applicable state and/or federal law or regulation pertaining to a patient's protected healthcare information.

*NOTE: The Board's Position Statement on the Retention of Medical Records applies, even when practices close permanently due to the retirement or death of the practitioner.

(Adopted January 2000) (Amended August 2003, July 2009)

THE RETIRED PHYSICIAN/LICENSEE

The retirement of a licensee is defined by the North Carolina Medical

POSITION STATEMENTS

Board as the total and complete cessation of the practice of medicine and/or surgery by the licensee in any form or setting. According to the Board's definition, the retired licensee is not required to maintain a currently registered license and SHALL NOT:

- provide patient services;
- order tests or therapies;
- prescribe, dispense, or administer drugs;
- perform any other medical and/or surgical acts; or
- receive income from the provision of medical and/or surgical services performed following retirement.

The North Carolina Medical Board is aware that a number of licensees consider themselves "retired," but still hold a currently registered medical license (full, volunteer, or limited) and provide professional medical and/or surgical services to patients on a regular or occasional basis. Such licensees customarily serve the needs of previous patients, friends, nursing home residents, free clinics, emergency rooms, community health programs, etc. The Board commends those licensees for their willingness to continue service following "retirement," but it recognizes such service is not the "complete cessation of the practice of medicine" and therefore must be joined with an undiminished awareness of professional responsibility. That responsibility means that such licensees SHOULD:

- practice within their areas of professional competence;
- prepare and keep medical records in accord with good professional practice; and
- meet the Board's continuing medical education requirement.

The Board also reminds "retired" licensees with currently registered licenses that all federal and state laws and rules relating to the practice of medicine and/or surgery apply to them, that the position statements of the Board are as relevant to them as to licensees in full and regular practice, and that they continue to be subject to the risks of liability for any medical and/or surgical acts they perform.

(Adopted January 1997) (Amended September 2006, July 2012)

ADVANCE DIRECTIVES AND PATIENT AUTONOMY

Licensees must be aware that North Carolina law specifically recognizes the individual's right to a peaceful and natural death. NC Gen Stat § 90-320 (a) (2007) reads:

The General Assembly recognizes as a matter of public policy that an individual's rights include the right to a peaceful and natural death and that a patient or the patient's representative has the fundamental right to control the decisions relating to the rendering of the patient's own medical care, including the decision to have life-prolonging measures withheld or withdrawn in instances of a terminal condition.

Licensees must also be aware that North Carolina law empowers any adult individual with capacity to make a Health Care Power of Attorney (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 32A-17 (2007)) and stipulates that, when a patient lacks understanding or capacity to make or communicate health care decisions, the instructions of a duly appointed health care agent are to be taken as those of the patient unless evidence to the contrary is available (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 32A-24(b)(2007)).

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that it is in the best interest of the patient and of the licensee/patient relationship to encourage patients to complete or authorize documents that express their wishes for the kind of care they desire at the end of their lives. Licensees should encourage their patients to appoint a health care agent to act through the execution of a Health Care Power of Attorney and to provide documentation of the appointment to the responsible licensee(s). Further, licensees should provide full information to their patients in order to enable those patients to make informed and intelligent decisions preferably prior to a terminal illness. The Board also encourages the use of portable licensee orders to improve the communication of the patient's wishes for treatment at the end of life from one care setting to another.

It is also the position of the Board that licensees are ethically obligated to follow the wishes of the terminally ill or incurable patient as expressed by and properly documented in a declaration of a desire for a natural death; however, when the wishes of a patient are contrary to what a licensee believes in good conscience to be appropriate care, the licensee may withdraw from the case once continuity of care is assured.

It is also the position of the Board that withholding or withdrawal of life-prolonging measures is in no manner to be construed as permitting diminution of nursing care, relief of pain, or any other care that may provide comfort for the patient.

(Adopted July 1993) (Amended May 1996; March 2008; November 2012)

AVAILABILITY OF LICENSEES TO THEIR PATIENTS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that once a relation-

ship between a licensee and a patient is created, it is the duty of the licensee to provide care whenever it is needed or to assure that proper backup by a healthcare provider is available to take care of the patient during or outside normal office hours.

If the licensee is not going to be available after hours, the licensee must provide clear instructions to the patient for securing after-hours care. It is the responsibility of the licensee to ensure that the patient has sufficient information regarding how to secure after-hours care.

It should be noted that these duties are applicable to a licensee whether the licensee is practicing telemedicine or practicing medicine through traditional means.

(Adopted July 1993) (Amended May 1996, January 2001, October 2003, July 2006, May 2012)

GUIDELINES FOR AVOIDING MISUNDERSTANDINGS DURING PHYSICAL EXAMINATIONS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that proper care and sensitivity are needed during physical examinations to avoid misunderstandings that could lead to charges of sexual misconduct against licensees. In order to prevent such misunderstandings, the Board offers the following guidelines.

1. Sensitivity to patient dignity should be considered by the licensee when undertaking a physical examination. The patient should be assured of adequate auditory and visual privacy and should never be asked to disrobe in the presence of the licensee. Examining rooms should be safe, clean, and well maintained, and should be equipped with appropriate furniture for examination and treatment. Gowns, sheets and/or other appropriate apparel should be made available to protect patient dignity and decrease embarrassment to the patient while a thorough and professional examination is conducted.
2. Whatever the sex of the patient, a third party, a staff member, should be readily available at all times during a physical examination, and it is strongly advised that a third party be present when the licensee performs an examination of the breast(s), genitalia, or rectum. It is the licensee's responsibility to have a staff member available at any point during the examination.
3. The licensee should individualize the approach to physical examinations so that each patient's apprehension, fear, and embarrassment are diminished as much as possible. An explanation of the necessity of a complete physical examination, the components of that examination, and the purpose of disrobing may be necessary in order to minimize the patient's possible misunderstanding.
4. The licensee and staff should exercise the same degree of professionalism and care when performing diagnostic procedures (eg, electro-cardiograms, electromyograms, endoscopic procedures, and radiological studies, etc), as well as during surgical procedures and postsurgical follow-up examinations when the patient is in varying stages of consciousness.
5. The licensee should be on the alert for suggestive or flirtatious behavior or mannerisms on the part of the patient and should not permit a compromising situation to develop.

(Adopted May 1991) (Amended May 1993, May 1996, January 2001, February 2001, October 2002, July 2010)

SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENTS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that sexual exploitation of a patient is unprofessional conduct and undermines the public trust in the medical profession and harms patients both individually and collectively. This Position Statement is based, in part, upon the Federation of State Medical Board's guidelines regarding sexual boundaries ("FSMB Guidelines").

Sexual behavior between a licensee and a patient is never diagnostic or therapeutic. Such behavior may be verbal or physical and may include expressions of thoughts and feelings or gestures that are sexual or that reasonably may be construed by the patient as sexual.

The FSMB Guidelines define and distinguish between two types of professional sexual misconduct: sexual impropriety and sexual violation. Both types of sexual misconduct could constitute a basis for disciplinary action by the Board.

Sexual impropriety may comprise behavior, gestures, or expressions that are seductive, sexually suggestive, disrespectful of patient privacy, or sexually demeaning to a patient, that may include, but are not limited to:

1. Neglecting to employ disrobing or draping practices respecting the patient's privacy, or deliberately watching a patient dress or undress;
2. Subjecting a patient to an intimate examination in the presence of medical students or other parties without the patient's informed consent or in the

POSITION STATEMENTS

event such informed consent has been withdrawn;

3. Examination or touching of genital mucosal areas without the use of gloves;
4. Inappropriate comments about or to the patient, including but not limited to, making sexual comments about a patient's body or underclothing, making sexualized or sexually demeaning comments to a patient, criticizing the patient's sexual orientation, making comments about potential sexual performance during an examination;
5. Using the physician-patient relationship to solicit a date or romantic relationship;
6. Initiation by the physician of conversation regarding the sexual problems, preferences, or fantasies of the physician;
7. Performing an intimate examination or consultation without clinical justification;
8. Performing an intimate examination or consultation without explaining to the patient the need for such examination or consultation even when the examination or consultation is pertinent to the issue of sexual function or dysfunction; and
9. Requesting details of sexual history or sexual likes or dislikes when not clinically indicated for the type of examination or consultation.

Sexual violation may include physical sexual contact between a physician and patient, whether or not initiated by the patient, and engaging in any conduct with a patient that is sexual or may be reasonably interpreted as sexual, including but not limited to:

1. Sexual intercourse, genital to genital contact;
2. Oral to genital contact;
3. Oral to anal contact and genital to anal contact;
4. Kissing in a romantic or sexual manner;
5. Touching breasts, genitals, or any sexualized body part for any purpose other than appropriate examination or treatment, or where the patient has refused or has withdrawn consent;
6. Encouraging the patient to masturbate in the presence of the physician or masturbation by the physician while the patient is present; and
7. Offering to provide practice-related services, such as drugs, in exchange for sexual favors.

The Board also refers its licensees to the Board's Position Statement entitled "Guidelines for avoiding misunderstandings during physical examinations." (Adopted May 1991) (Amended April 1996, January 2001, September 2006, May 2012)

CONTACT WITH PATIENTS BEFORE PRESCRIBING

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that prescribing drugs to an individual the prescriber has not personally examined is inappropriate except as noted in the paragraphs below. Before prescribing a drug, a licensee should make an informed medical judgment based on the circumstances of the situation and on his or her training and experience. Ordinarily, this will require that the licensee personally perform an appropriate history and physical examination, make a diagnosis, and formulate a therapeutic plan, a part of which might be a prescription. This process must be documented appropriately.

Prescribing for a patient whom the licensee has not personally examined may be suitable under certain circumstances. These may include admission orders for a newly hospitalized patient, prescribing for a patient of another licensee for whom the prescriber is taking call, or continuing medication on a short-term basis for a new patient prior to the patient's first appointment. Established patients may not require a new history and physical examination for each new prescription, depending on good medical practice.

Prescribing for an individual whom the licensee has not met or personally examined may also be suitable when that individual is the partner of a patient whom the licensee is treating for gonorrhea or chlamydia. Partner management of patients with gonorrhea or chlamydia should include the following items:

- a) Signed prescriptions of oral antibiotics of the appropriate quantity and strength sufficient to provide curative treatment for each partner named by the infected patient. Notation on the prescription should include the statement: "Expedited partner therapy."
- b) Signed prescriptions to named partners should be accompanied by written material that states that clinical evaluation is desirable; that prescriptions for medication or related compounds to which the partner is allergic should not be accepted; and that lists common medication side effects and the appropriate response to them.
- c) Prescriptions and accompanying written material should be given to the licensee's patient for distribution to named partners.
- d) The licensee should keep appropriate documentation of partner management. Documentation should include the names of partners and a

copy of the prescriptions issued or an equivalent statement.

It is the position of the Board that prescribing drugs to individuals the licensee has never met based solely on answers to a set of questions, as is common in Internet or toll-free telephone prescribing, is inappropriate and unprofessional.

(Adopted November 1999) (Amended February 2001, November 2009) (Reviewed July 2010)

WRITING OF PRESCRIPTIONS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that prescriptions should be written in ink or indelible pencil or typewritten or electronically issued and should be signed by the licensee at time of issuance. Prescriptions that are handwritten should indicate the quantity in both numbers AND words, e.g., 30 (thirty).

Each handwritten prescription for a DEA controlled substance (2, 2N, 3, 3N, 4 and 5) should be written on a separate prescription blank. Each electronic prescription for a DEA controlled substance (2, 2N, 3, 3N, 4 and 5) should be issued separately and comply with DEA regulations. Multiple medications may appear on a single prescription blank only when none are DEA-controlled.

No prescriptions should be issued for a patient in the absence of a documented licensee-patient relationship.

Any prescriptions written by licensees for their personal use should comply with the Board's position statement on "Self Treatment and Treatment of Family Members." As noted in that position statement, it is the Board's position that it is not appropriate for licensees to write prescriptions for controlled substances for themselves or their family members.

The practice of pre-signing prescriptions is unacceptable to the Board.

It is the responsibility of those who prescribe controlled substances to fully comply with applicable federal and state laws and regulations. Links to these laws and regulations may be found on the Board's website, www.ncmedboard.org

(Adopted May 1991, September 1992) (Amended May 1996; March 2002; July 2002, March 2011, July 2012) (Reviewed March 2005)

SELF-TREATMENT AND TREATMENT OF FAMILY MEMBERS

It is the Board's position that it is not appropriate for licensees to write prescriptions for controlled substances or to perform procedures on themselves or their family members. Rules 32B .1001, 32S .0212, and 32M .0109 prohibit licensees from prescribing controlled substances (including all narcotics) to themselves or immediate family members. In addition, licensees should not treat their own chronic conditions or those of their immediate family members or others with whom the licensee has a significant emotional relationship. In such situations, professional objectivity may be compromised, and the licensee's personal feelings may unduly influence his or her professional judgment, thereby interfering with care.

There are, however, certain limited situations in which it may be appropriate for licensees to treat themselves, their family members, or others with whom the licensee has a significant emotional relationship.

1. Emergency Conditions. In an emergency situation, when no other qualified licensee is available, it is acceptable for licensees to treat themselves or their family members until another licensee becomes available.
2. Urgent Situations. There may be instances when licensees or family members do not have their prescribed medications or easy physician access. It may be appropriate for licensees to provide short term prescriptions.
3. Acute Minor Illnesses within Clinical Competence. While licensees should not serve as primary or regular care providers for themselves or their family members, there are certain situations in which care may be acceptable. Examples would be treatment of antibiotic-induced fungal infections or prescribing ear drops for a family member with external otitis. It is the expectation of the Board that licensees will not treat recurrent acute problems.
4. Over the Counter Medication. This position statement is not intended to prevent licensees from suggesting over the counter medications or other non-prescriptive modalities for themselves or family members, as a lay person might.

Licensees who act in accord with this position statement will be held to the same standard of care applicable to licensees providing treatment for patients who are unrelated to them. Thus, licensees should not treat problems beyond their expertise or training and should be sure to maintain an appropriate medical record documenting any care that is given. It may also be prudent to provide a copy of the medical record to the patient's primary care provider.

Licensees who inappropriately treat themselves, their family members or others with whom they have a significant emotional relationship should be

POSITION STATEMENTS

aware that they may be subject to disciplinary action by the Board. (Adopted May 1991) (Amended May 1996; May 2000; March 2002; September 2005, March 2012)

THE TREATMENT OF OBESITY

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that the cornerstones of the treatment of obesity are diet (caloric control) and exercise. Medications and surgery should only be used to treat obesity when the benefits outweigh the risks of the chosen modality.

The treatment of obesity should be based on sound scientific evidence and principles. Adequate medical documentation must be kept so that progress as well as the success or failure of any modality is easily ascertained. (Adopted [as The Use of Anorectics in Treatment of Obesity] October 1987) (Amended March 1996) (Amended and retitled January 2005) (Reviewed November 2010)

PRESCRIBING LEGEND OR CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES FOR OTHER THAN VALIDATED MEDICAL OR THERAPEUTIC PURPOSES, WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO SUBSTANCE OR PREPARATIONS WITH ANABOLIC PROPERTIES

General

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that prescribing any controlled or legend substance for other than a validated medical or therapeutic purpose is unprofessional conduct.

The physician shall complete and maintain a medical record that establishes the diagnosis, the basis for that diagnosis, the purpose and expected response to therapeutic medications, and the plan for the use of medications in treatment of the diagnosis.

The Board is not opposed to the use of innovative, creative therapeutics; however, treatments not having a scientifically validated basis for use should be studied under investigational protocols so as to assist in the establishment of evidence-based, scientific validity for such treatments.

Substances/Preparations with Anabolic Properties

The use of anabolic steroids, testosterone and its analogs, human growth hormone, human chorionic gonadotrophin, other preparations with anabolic properties, or autotransfusion in any form, to enhance athletic performance or muscle development for cosmetic, nontherapeutic reasons, in the absence of an established disease or deficiency state, is not a medically valid use of these medications.

The use of these medications under these conditions will subject the person licensed by the Board to investigation and potential sanctions.

The Board recognizes that most anabolic steroid abuse occurs outside the medical system. It wishes to emphasize the physician's role as educator in providing information to individual patients and the community, and specifically to high school and college athletes, as to the dangers inherent in the use of these medications.

(Adopted May 1998) (Amended July 1998, January 2001) (Reviewed November 2005, September 2011)

POLICY FOR THE USE OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES FOR THE TREATMENT OF PAIN

- Appropriate treatment of chronic pain may include both pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic modalities. The Board realizes that controlled substances, including opioid analgesics, may be an essential part of the treatment regimen.
- All prescribing of controlled substances must comply with applicable state and federal law.
- Guidelines for treatment include: (a) complete patient evaluation, (b) establishment of a treatment plan (contract), (c) informed consent, (d) periodic review, and (e) consultation with specialists in various treatment modalities as appropriate.
- Deviation from these guidelines will be considered on an individual basis for appropriateness.

Section I: Preamble

The North Carolina Medical Board recognizes that principles of quality medical practice dictate that the people of the State of North Carolina have access to appropriate and effective pain relief. The appropriate application of up-to-date knowledge and treatment modalities can serve to improve the quality of life for those patients who suffer from pain as well as reduce the

morbidity and costs associated with untreated or inappropriately treated pain. For the purposes of this policy, the inappropriate treatment of pain includes nontreatment, undertreatment, overtreatment, and the continued use of ineffective treatments.

The diagnosis and treatment of pain is integral to the practice of medicine. The Board encourages physicians to view pain management as a part of quality medical practice for all patients with pain, acute or chronic, and it is especially urgent for patients who experience pain as a result of terminal illness. All physicians should become knowledgeable about assessing patients' pain and effective methods of pain treatment, as well as statutory requirements for prescribing controlled substances. Accordingly, this policy has been developed to clarify the Board's position on pain control, particularly as related to the use of controlled substances, to alleviate physician uncertainty and to encourage better pain management.

Inappropriate pain treatment may result from physicians' lack of knowledge about pain management. Fears of investigation or sanction by federal, state and local agencies may also result in inappropriate treatment of pain. Appropriate pain management is the treating physician's responsibility. As such, the Board will consider the inappropriate treatment of pain to be a departure from standards of practice and will investigate such allegations, recognizing that some types of pain cannot be completely relieved, and taking into account whether the treatment is appropriate for the diagnosis.

The Board recognizes that controlled substances including opioid analgesics may be essential in the treatment of acute pain due to trauma or surgery and chronic pain, whether due to cancer or non-cancer origins. The Board will refer to current clinical practice guidelines and expert review in approaching cases involving management of pain. The medical management of pain should consider current clinical knowledge and scientific research and the use of pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic modalities according to the judgment of the physician. Pain should be assessed and treated promptly, and the quantity and frequency of doses should be adjusted according to the intensity, duration of the pain, and treatment outcomes. Physicians should recognize that tolerance and physical dependence are normal consequences of sustained use of opioid analgesics and are not the same as addiction.

The North Carolina Medical Board is obligated under the laws of the State of North Carolina to protect the public health and safety. The Board recognizes that the use of opioid analgesics for other than legitimate medical purposes pose a threat to the individual and society and that the inappropriate prescribing of controlled substances, including opioid analgesics, may lead to drug diversion and abuse by individuals who seek them for other than legitimate medical use. Accordingly, the Board expects that physicians incorporate safeguards into their practices to minimize the potential for the abuse and diversion of controlled substances.

Physicians should not fear disciplinary action from the Board for ordering, prescribing, dispensing or administering controlled substances, including opioid analgesics, for a legitimate medical purpose and in the course of professional practice. The Board will consider prescribing, ordering, dispensing or administering controlled substances for pain to be for a legitimate medical purpose if based on sound clinical judgment. All such prescribing must be based on clear documentation of unrelieved pain. To be within the usual course of professional practice, a physician-patient relationship must exist and the prescribing should be based on a diagnosis and documentation of unrelieved pain. Compliance with applicable state or federal law is required.

The Board will judge the validity of the physician's treatment of the patient based on available documentation, rather than solely on the quantity and duration of medication administration. The goal is to control the patient's pain while effectively addressing other aspects of the patient's functioning, including physical, psychological, social and work-related factors.

Allegations of inappropriate pain management will be evaluated on an individual basis. The Board will not take disciplinary action against a physician for deviating from this policy when contemporaneous medical records document reasonable cause for deviation. The physician's conduct will be evaluated to a great extent by the outcome of pain treatment, recognizing that some types of pain cannot be completely relieved, and by taking into account whether the drug used is appropriate for the diagnosis, as well as improvement in patient functioning and/or quality of life.

Section II: Guidelines

The Board has adopted the following criteria when evaluating the physician's treatment of pain, including the use of controlled substances:

Evaluation of the Patient—A medical history and physical examination must be obtained, evaluated, and documented in the medical record. The medical record should document the nature and intensity of the pain, current and past treatments for pain, underlying or coexisting diseases or conditions,

POSITION STATEMENTS

the effect of the pain on physical and psychological function, and history of substance abuse. The medical record also should document the presence of one or more recognized medical indications for the use of a controlled substance.

Treatment Plan —The written treatment plan should state objectives that will be used to determine treatment success, such as pain relief and improved physical and psychosocial function, and should indicate if any further diagnostic evaluations or other treatments are planned. After treatment begins, the physician should adjust drug therapy to the individual medical needs of each patient. Other treatment modalities or a rehabilitation program may be necessary depending on the etiology of the pain and the extent to which the pain is associated with physical and psychosocial impairment.

Informed Consent and Agreement for Treatment —The physician should discuss the risks and benefits of the use of controlled substances with the patient, persons designated by the patient or with the patient's surrogate or guardian if the patient is without medical decision-making capacity. The patient should receive prescriptions from one physician and one pharmacy whenever possible. If the patient is at high risk for medication abuse or has a history of substance abuse, the physician should consider the use of a written agreement between physician and

- patient outlining patient responsibilities, including
- urine/serum medication levels screening when requested;
- number and frequency of all prescription refills; and
- reasons for which drug therapy may be discontinued (e.g., violation of agreement); and
- the North Carolina Controlled Substance Reporting Service can be accessed and its results used to make treatment decisions.

Periodic Review —The physician should periodically review the course of pain treatment and any new information about the etiology of the pain or the patient's state of health. Continuation or modification of controlled substances for pain management therapy depends on the physician's evaluation of progress toward treatment objectives. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Objective evidence of improved or diminished function should be monitored and information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. If the patient's progress is unsatisfactory, the physician should assess the appropriateness of continued use of the current treatment plan and consider the use of other therapeutic modalities. Reviewing the North Carolina Controlled Substance Reporting Service should be considered if inappropriate medication usage is suspected and intermittently on all patients.

Consultation —The physician should be willing to refer the patient as necessary for additional evaluation and treatment in order to achieve treatment objectives. Special attention should be given to those patients with pain who are at risk for medication misuse, abuse or diversion. The management of pain in patients with a history of substance abuse or with a comorbid psychiatric disorder may require extra care, monitoring, documentation and consultation with or referral to an expert in the management of such patients.

Medical Records —The physician should keep accurate and complete records to include

- the medical history and physical examination,
- diagnostic, therapeutic and laboratory results,
- evaluations and consultations,
- treatment objectives,
- discussion of risks and benefits,
- informed consent,
- treatments,
- medications (including date, type, dosage and quantity prescribed),
- instructions and agreements and
- periodic reviews including potential review of the North Carolina Controlled Substance Reporting Service.

Records should remain current and be maintained in an accessible manner and readily available for review.

Compliance With Controlled Substances Laws and Regulations To prescribe, dispense or administer controlled substances, the physician must be licensed in the state and comply with applicable federal and state regulations. Physicians are referred to the Physicians Manual of the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration and any relevant documents issued by the state of North Carolina for specific rules governing controlled substances as well as applicable state regulations.

Section III: Definitions

For the purposes of these guidelines, the following terms are defined as follows:

Acute Pain —Acute pain is the normal, predicted physiological response to

a noxious chemical, thermal or mechanical stimulus and typically is associated with invasive procedures, trauma and disease. It is generally time-limited.

Addiction —Addiction is a primary, chronic, neurobiologic disease, with genetic, psychosocial, and environmental factors influencing its development and manifestations. It is characterized by behaviors that include the following: impaired control over drug use, craving, compulsive use, and continued use despite harm. Physical dependence and tolerance are normal physiological consequences of extended opioid therapy for pain and are not the same as addiction.

Chronic Pain —Chronic pain is a state in which pain persists beyond the usual course of an acute disease or healing of an injury, or that may or may not be associated with an acute or chronic pathologic process that causes continuous or intermittent pain over months or years.

Pain —An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage or described in terms of such damage.

Physical Dependence —Physical dependence is a state of adaptation that is manifested by drug class-specific signs and symptoms that can be produced by abrupt cessation, rapid dose reduction, decreasing blood level of the drug, and/or administration of an antagonist. Physical dependence, by itself, does not equate with addiction.

Pseudoaddiction —The iatrogenic syndrome resulting from the misinterpretation of relief seeking behaviors as though they are drug-seeking behaviors that are commonly seen with addiction. The relief seeking behaviors resolve upon institution of effective analgesic therapy.

Substance Abuse —Substance abuse is the use of any substance(s) for non-therapeutic purposes or use of medication for purposes other than those for which it is prescribed.

Tolerance —Tolerance is a physiologic state resulting from regular use of a drug in which an increased dosage is needed to produce a specific effect, or a reduced effect is observed with a constant dose over time. Tolerance may or may not be evident during opioid treatment and does not equate with addiction.

(Adopted September 1996 as "Management of Chronic Non-Malignant Pain.") (Redone July 2005 based on the Federation of State Medical Board's "Model Policy for the Use of Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain," as amended by the FSMB in 2004.) (Amended September 2008)

END-OF-LIFE RESPONSIBILITIES AND PALLIATIVE CARE

Assuring Patients

Death is part of life. When appropriate processes have determined that the use of life prolonging measures or invasive interventions will only prolong the dying process, it is incumbent on physicians to accept death "not as a failure, but the natural culmination of our lives."*

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that patients and their families should be assured of competent, comprehensive palliative care at the end of their lives. Physicians should be knowledgeable regarding effective and compassionate pain relief, and patients and their families should be assured such relief will be provided.

Palliative Care

Palliative care is an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problems associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering by means of early identification an impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other physical, psychosocial and spiritual problems. Palliative care:

- provides relief from pain and other distressing symptoms;
- affirms life and regards dying as a normal process;
- intends neither to hasten nor postpone death;
- integrates the psychological and spiritual aspects of patient care;
- offers a support system to help patients live as actively as possible until death;
- offers a support system to help the family cope during the patient's illness and in their own bereavement;
- uses a team approach to address the needs of patients and their families, including bereavement counseling, if indicated;
- will enhance quality of life, and may also positively influence the course of illness;
- [may be] applicable early in the course of illness, in conjunction with other therapies that are intended to prolong life, such as chemotherapy or radiation therapy, and includes those investigations needed to better understand and
- manage distressing clinical complications.**

POSITION STATEMENTS

Opioid Use

The Board will assume opioid use in such patients is appropriate if the responsible physician is familiar with and abides by acceptable medical guidelines regarding such use, is knowledgeable about effective and compassionate pain relief, and maintains an appropriate medical record that details a pain management plan. (See the Board's position statement on the Policy for the Use of Controlled Substances for the Treatment of Pain for an outline of what the Board expects of physicians in the management of pain.) Because the Board is aware of the inherent risks associated with effective pain relief in such situations, it will not interpret their occurrence as subject to discipline by the Board.

(Adopted October 1999) (Amended May 2007; March 2008)

*Steven A. Schroeder, MD, President, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

** Taken from the world Health Organization definition of Palliative Care (2002) www.who.int/cancer/palliative/definition/en

JOINT STATEMENT ON PAIN MANAGEMENT IN END-OF-LIFE CARE

(Adopted by the North Carolina Medical, Nursing, and Pharmacy Boards)

Through dialogue with members of the healthcare community and consumers, a number of perceived regulatory barriers to adequate pain management in end-of-life care have been expressed to the Boards of Medicine, Nursing, and Pharmacy. The following statement attempts to address these misperceptions by outlining practice expectations for physicians and other health care professionals authorized to prescribe medications, as well as nurses and pharmacists involved in this aspect of end-of-life care. The statement is based on:

- the legal scope of practice for each of these licensed health professionals;
- professional collaboration and communication among health professionals providing palliative care; and
- a standard of care that assures on-going pain assessment, a therapeutic plan for pain management interventions; and evidence of adequate symptom management for the dying patient.

It is the position of all three Boards that patients and their families should be assured of competent, comprehensive palliative care at the end of their lives. Physicians, nurses and pharmacists should be knowledgeable regarding effective and compassionate pain relief, and patients and their families should be assured such relief will be provided.

Because of the overwhelming concern of patients about pain relief, the physician needs to give special attention to the effective assessment of pain. It is particularly important that the physician frankly but sensitively discuss with the patient and the family their concerns and choices at the end of life. As part of this discussion, the physician should make clear that, in some end of life care situations, there are inherent risks associated with effective pain relief. The Medical Board will assume opioid use in such patients is appropriate if the responsible physician is familiar with and abides by acceptable medical guidelines regarding such use, is knowledgeable about effective and compassionate pain relief, and maintains an appropriate medical record that details a pain management plan. Because the Board is aware of the inherent risks associated with effective pain relief in such situations, it will not interpret their occurrence as subject to discipline by the Board.

With regard to pharmacy practice, North Carolina has no quantity restrictions on dispensing controlled substances including those in Schedule II. This is significant when utilizing the federal rule that allows the partial filling of Schedule II prescriptions for up to 60 days. In these situations it would minimize expenses and unnecessary waste of drugs if the prescriber would note on the prescription that the patient is terminally ill and specify the largest anticipated quantity that could be needed for the next two months. The pharmacist could then dispense smaller quantities of the prescription to meet the patient's needs up to the total quantity authorized. Government-approved labeling for dosage level and frequency can be useful as guidance for patient care. Health professionals may, on occasion, determine that higher levels are justified in specific cases. However, these occasions would be exceptions to general practice and would need to be properly documented to establish informed consent of the patient and family.

Federal and state rules also allow the fax transmittal of an original prescription for Schedule II drugs for hospice patients. If the prescriber notes the hospice status of the patient on the faxed document, it serves as the original. Pharmacy rules also allow the emergency refilling of prescriptions in Schedules III, IV, and V. While this does not apply to Schedule II drugs, it can be useful in situations where the patient is using drugs such as Vicodin for pain or Xanax for anxiety.

The nurse is often the health professional most involved in on-going pain assessment, implementing the prescribed pain management plan, evaluating

the patient's response to such interventions and adjusting medication levels based on patient status. In order to achieve adequate pain management, the prescription must provide dosage ranges and frequency parameters within which the nurse may adjust (titrate) medication in order to achieve adequate pain control. Consistent with the licensee's scope of practice, the RN or LPN is accountable for implementing the pain management plan utilizing his/her knowledge base and documented assessment of the patient's needs. The nurse has the authority to adjust medication levels within the dosage and frequency ranges stipulated by the prescriber and according to the agency's established protocols. However, the nurse does not have the authority to change the medical pain management plan. When adequate pain management is not achieved under the currently prescribed treatment plan, the nurse is responsible for reporting such findings to the prescriber and documenting this communication. Only the physician or other health professional with authority to prescribe may change the medical pain management plan.

Communication and collaboration between members of the healthcare team, and the patient and family are essential in achieving adequate pain management in end-of-life care. Within this interdisciplinary framework for end of life care, effective pain management should include:

- thorough documentation of all aspects of the patient's assessment and care;
- a working diagnosis and therapeutic treatment plan including pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic interventions;
- regular and documented evaluation of response to the interventions and, as appropriate, revisions to the treatment plan;
- evidence of communication among care providers;
- education of the patient and family; and
- a clear understanding by the patient, the family and healthcare team of the treatment goals.

It is important to remind health professionals that licensing boards hold each licensee accountable for providing safe, effective care. Exercising this standard of care requires the application of knowledge, skills, as well as ethical principles focused on optimum patient care while taking all appropriate measures to relieve suffering. The healthcare team should give primary importance to the expressed desires of the patient tempered by the judgment and legal responsibilities of each licensed health professional as to what is in the patient's best interest.

(Adopted October 1999) (Amended January 2011)

OFFICE-BASED PROCEDURES

Preface

This Position Statement on Office-Based Procedures is an interpretive statement that attempts to identify and explain the standards of practice for Office-Based Procedures in North Carolina. The Board's intention is to articulate existing professional standards and not to promulgate a new standard.

This Position Statement is in the form of guidelines designed to assure patient safety and identify the criteria by which the Board will assess the conduct of its licensees in considering disciplinary action arising out of the performance of office-based procedures. Thus, it is expected that the licensee who follows the guidelines set forth below will avoid disciplinary action by the Board. However, this Position Statement is not intended to be comprehensive or to set out exhaustively every standard that might apply in every circumstance. The silence of the Position Statement on any particular matter should not be construed as the lack of an enforceable standard.

General Guidelines

The Physician's Professional and Legal Obligation

The North Carolina Medical Board has adopted the guidelines contained in this Position Statement in order to assure patients have access to safe, high quality office-based surgical and special procedures. The guidelines further assure that a licensed physician with appropriate qualifications takes responsibility for the supervision of all aspects of the perioperative surgical, procedural and anesthesia care delivered in the office setting, including compliance with all aspects of these guidelines.

These obligations are to be understood (as explained in the Preface) as existing standards identified by the Board in an effort to assure patient safety and provide licensees guidance to avoid practicing below the standards of practice in such a manner that the licensee would be exposed to possible disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct as contemplated in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-14(a)(6).

Exemptions

These guidelines do not apply to Level I procedures.

POSITION STATEMENTS

Written Policies and Procedures

Written policies and procedures should be maintained to assist office-based practices in providing safe and quality surgical or special procedure care, assure consistent personnel performance, and promote an awareness and understanding of the inherent rights of patients.

Emergency Procedure and Transfer Protocol

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should assure that a transfer protocol is in place, preferably with a hospital that is licensed in the jurisdiction in which it is located and that is within reasonable proximity of the office where the procedure is performed.

All office personnel should be familiar with and capable of carrying out written emergency instructions. The instructions should be followed in the event of an emergency, any untoward anesthetic, medical or surgical complications, or other conditions making hospitalization of a patient necessary. The instructions should include arrangements for immediate contact of emergency medical services when indicated and when advanced cardiac life support is needed. When emergency medical services are not indicated, the instructions should include procedures for timely escort of the patient to the hospital or to an appropriate practitioner.

Infection Control

The practice should comply with state and federal regulations regarding infection control. For all surgical and special procedures, the level of sterilization should meet applicable industry and occupational safety requirements. There should be a procedure and schedule for cleaning, disinfecting and sterilizing equipment and patient care items. Personnel should be trained in infection control practices, implementation of universal precautions, and disposal of hazardous waste products. Protective clothing and equipment should be readily available.

Performance Improvement

A performance improvement program should be implemented to provide a mechanism to review yearly the current practice activities and quality of care provided to patients.

Performance improvement activities should include, but are not limited to, review of mortalities; the appropriateness and necessity of procedures performed; emergency transfers; reportable complications, and resultant outcomes (including all postoperative infections); analysis of patient satisfaction surveys and complaints; and identification of undesirable trends (such as diagnostic errors, unacceptable results, follow-up of abnormal test results, medication errors, and system problems). Findings of the performance improvement program should be incorporated into the practice's educational activity.

Medical Records and Informed Consent

The practice should have a procedure for initiating and maintaining a health record for every patient evaluated or treated. The record should include a procedure code or suitable narrative description of the procedure and should have sufficient information to identify the patient, support the diagnosis, justify the treatment, and document the outcome and required follow-up care.

Medical history, physical examination, lab studies obtained within 30 days of the scheduled procedure, and pre-anesthesia examination and evaluation information and data should be adequately documented in the medical record.

The medical records also should contain documentation of the intraoperative and postoperative monitoring required by these guidelines.

Written documentation of informed consent should be included in the medical record.

Credentialing of Physicians

A physician who performs surgical or special procedures in an office requiring the administration of anesthesia services should be credentialed to perform that surgical or special procedure by a hospital, an ambulatory surgical facility, or substantially comply with criteria established by the Board.

Criteria to be considered by the Board in assessing a physician's competence to perform a surgical or special procedure include, without limitation:

1. state licensure;
2. procedure specific education, training, experience and successful evaluation appropriate for the patient population being treated (i.e., pediatrics);
3. for physicians, board certification, board eligibility or completion of a training program in a field of specialization recognized by the ACGME or by a national medical specialty board that is recognized by the ABMS for expertise and proficiency in that field. For purposes of this requirement, board eligibility or certification is relevant only if the board in question

is recognized by the ABMS, AOA, or equivalent board certification as determined by the Board;

4. professional misconduct and malpractice history;
5. participation in peer and quality review;
6. participation in continuing education consistent with the statutory requirements and requirements of the physician's professional organization;
7. to the extent such coverage is reasonably available in North Carolina, malpractice insurance coverage for the surgical or special procedures being performed in the office;
8. procedure-specific competence (and competence in the use of new procedures and technology), which should encompass education, training, experience and evaluation, and which may include the following:
 - a. adherence to professional society standards;
 - b. credentials approved by a nationally recognized accrediting or credentialing entity; or
 - c. didactic course complemented by hands-on, observed experience; training is to be followed by a specified number of cases supervised by a practitioner already competent in the respective procedure, in accordance with professional society standards.

If the physician administers the anesthetic as part of a surgical or special procedure (Level II only), he or she also should have documented competence to deliver the level of anesthesia administered.

Accreditation

After one year of operation following the adoption of these guidelines, any physician who performs Level II or Level III procedures in an office should be able to demonstrate, upon request by the Board, substantial compliance with these guidelines, or should obtain accreditation of the office setting by an approved accreditation agency or organization. The approved accreditation agency or organization should submit, upon request by the Board, a summary report for the office accredited by that agency.

All expenses related to accreditation or compliance with these guidelines shall be paid by the physician who performs the surgical or special procedures.

Patient Selection

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should evaluate the condition of the patient and the potential risks associated with the proposed treatment plan. The physician also is responsible for determining that the patient has an adequate support system to provide for necessary follow-up care. Patients with pre-existing medical problems or other conditions, who are at undue risk for complications, should be referred to an appropriate specialist for preoperative consultation.

ASA Physical Status Classifications

Patients that are considered high risk or are ASA physical status classification III, IV, or V and require a general anesthetic for the surgical procedure, should not have the surgical or special procedure performed in a physician office setting.

Candidates for Level II Procedures

Patients with an ASA physical status classification I, II, or III may be acceptable candidates for office-based surgical or special procedures requiring conscious sedation/analgesia. ASA physical status classification III patients should be specifically addressed in the operating manual for the office. They may be acceptable candidates if deemed so by a physician qualified to assess the specific disability and its impact on anesthesia and surgical or procedural risks.

Candidates for Level III Procedures

Only patients with an ASA physical status classification I or II, who have no airway abnormality, and possess an unremarkable anesthetic history are acceptable candidates for Level III procedures.

Surgical or Special Procedure Guidelines

Patient Preparation

A medical history and physical examination to evaluate the risk of anesthesia and of the proposed surgical or special procedure, should be performed by a physician qualified to assess the impact of co-existing disease processes on surgery and anesthesia. Appropriate laboratory studies should be obtained within 30 days of the planned surgical procedure.

A pre-procedure examination and evaluation should be conducted prior to the surgical or special procedure by the physician. The information and data obtained during the course of this evaluation should be documented in the medical record.

POSITION STATEMENTS

- The physician performing the surgical or special procedure also should:
1. ensure that an appropriate pre-anesthetic examination and evaluation is performed proximate to the procedure;
 2. prescribe the anesthetic, unless the anesthesia is administered by an anesthesiologist in which case the anesthesiologist may prescribe the anesthetic;
 3. ensure that qualified health care professionals participate;
 4. remain physically present during the intraoperative period and be immediately available for diagnosis, treatment, and management of anesthesia-related complications or emergencies; and
 5. ensure the provision of indicated post-anesthesia care.

Discharge Criteria

Criteria for discharge for all patients who have received anesthesia should include the following:

1. confirmation of stable vital signs;
2. stable oxygen saturation levels;
3. return to pre-procedure mental status;
4. adequate pain control;
5. minimal bleeding, nausea and vomiting;
6. resolving neural blockade, resolution of the neuraxial blockade; and
7. eligible to be discharged in the company of a competent adult.

Information to the Patient

The patient should receive verbal instruction understandable to the patient or guardian, confirmed by written post-operative instructions and emergency contact numbers. The instructions should include:

1. the procedure performed;
2. information about potential complications;
3. telephone numbers to be used by the patient to discuss complications or should questions arise;
4. instructions for medications prescribed and pain management;
5. information regarding the follow-up visit date, time and location; and
6. designated treatment hospital in the event of emergency.

Reportable Complications

Physicians performing surgical or special procedures in the office should maintain timely records, which should be provided to the Board within three business days of receipt of a Board inquiry. Records of reportable complications should be in writing and should include:

1. physician's name and license number;
2. date and time of the occurrence;
3. office where the occurrence took place;
4. name and address of the patient;
5. surgical or special procedure involved;
6. type and dosage of sedation or anesthesia utilized in the procedure; and
7. circumstances involved in the occurrence.

Equipment Maintenance

All anesthesia-related equipment and monitors should be maintained to current operating room standards. All devices should have regular service/maintenance checks at least annually or per manufacturer recommendations. Service/maintenance checks should be performed by appropriately qualified biomedical personnel. Prior to the administration of anesthesia, all equipment/monitors should be checked using the current FDA recommendations as a guideline. Records of equipment checks should be maintained in a separate, dedicated log which must be made available to the Board upon request. Documentation of any criteria deemed to be substandard should include a clear description of the problem and the intervention. If equipment is utilized despite the problem, documentation should clearly indicate that patient safety is not in jeopardy.

The emergency supplies should be maintained and inspected by qualified personnel for presence and function of all appropriate equipment and drugs at intervals established by protocol to ensure that equipment is functional and present, drugs are not expired, and office personnel are familiar with equipment and supplies. Records of emergency supply checks should be maintained in a separate, dedicated log and made available to the Board upon request.

A physician should not permit anyone to tamper with a safety system or any monitoring device or disconnect an alarm system.

Compliance with Relevant Health Laws

Federal and state laws and regulations that affect the practice should be identified and procedures developed to comply with those requirements.

Nothing in this position statement affects the scope of activities subject to or exempted from the North Carolina health care facility licensure laws.

Patient Rights

Office personnel should be informed about the basic rights of patients and understand the importance of maintaining patients' rights. A patients' rights document should be readily available upon request.

Enforcement

In that the Board believes that these guidelines constitute the accepted and prevailing standards of practice for office-based procedures in North Carolina, failure to substantially comply with these guidelines creates the risk of disciplinary action by the Board.

Level II Guidelines

Personnel

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure or a health care professional who is present during the intraoperative and postoperative periods should be ACLS certified, and at least one other health care professional should be BCLS certified. In an office where anesthesia services are provided to infants and children, personnel should be appropriately trained to handle pediatric emergencies (i.e., APLS or PALS certified).

Recovery should be monitored by a registered nurse or other health care professional practicing within the scope of his or her license or certification who is BCLS certified and has the capability of administering medications as required for analgesia, nausea/vomiting, or other indications.

Surgical or Special Procedure Guidelines

Intraoperative Care and Monitoring

The physician who performs Level II procedures that require conscious sedation in an office should ensure that monitoring is provided by a separate health care professional not otherwise involved in the surgical or special procedure. Monitoring should include, when clinically indicated for the patient:

- direct observation of the patient and, to the extent practicable, observation of the patient's responses to verbal commands;
- pulse oximetry should be performed continuously (an alternative method of measuring oxygen saturation may be substituted for pulse oximetry if the method has been demonstrated to have at least equivalent clinical effectiveness);
- an electrocardiogram monitor should be used continuously on the patient;
- the patient's blood pressure, pulse rate, and respirations should be measured and recorded at least every five minutes; and
- the body temperature of a pediatric patient should be measured continuously.

Clinically relevant findings during intraoperative monitoring should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Postoperative Care and Monitoring

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should evaluate the patient immediately upon completion of the surgery or special procedure and the anesthesia.

Care of the patient may then be transferred to the care of a qualified health care professional in the recovery area. A registered nurse or other health care professional practicing within the scope of his or her license or certification and who is BCLS certified and has the capability of administering medications as required for analgesia, nausea/vomiting, or other indications should monitor the patient postoperatively.

At least one health care professional who is ACLS certified should be immediately available until all patients have met discharge criteria. Prior to leaving the operating room or recovery area, each patient should meet discharge criteria.

Monitoring in the recovery area should include pulse oximetry and non-invasive blood pressure measurement. The patient should be assessed periodically for level of consciousness, pain relief, or any untoward complication. Clinically relevant findings during post-operative monitoring should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Equipment and Supplies

Unless another availability standard is clearly stated, the following equipment and supplies should be present in all offices where Level II procedures are performed:

1. Full and current crash cart at the location where the anesthetizing is being carried out. (the crash cart inventory should include appropriate resuscitative equipment and medications for surgical, procedural or anesthetic complications);
2. age-appropriate sized monitors, resuscitative equipment, supplies, and

POSITION STATEMENTS

- medication in accordance with the scope of the surgical or special procedures and the anesthesia services provided;
3. emergency power source able to produce adequate power to run required equipment for a minimum of two (2) hours;
 4. electrocardiographic monitor;
 5. noninvasive blood pressure monitor;
 6. pulse oximeter;
 7. continuous suction device;
 8. endotracheal tubes, laryngoscopes;
 9. positive pressure ventilation device (e.g., Ambu);
 10. reliable source of oxygen;
 11. emergency intubation equipment;
 12. adequate operating room lighting;
 13. appropriate sterilization equipment; and
 14. IV solution and IV equipment.

Level III Guidelines

Personnel

Anesthesia should be administered by an anesthesiologist or a CRNA supervised by a physician. The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should not administer the anesthesia. The anesthesia provider should not be otherwise involved in the surgical or special procedure.

The physician or the anesthesia provider should be ACLS certified, and at least one other health care professional should be BCLS certified. In an office where anesthesia services are provided to infants and children, personnel should be appropriately trained to handle pediatric emergencies (i.e., APLS or PALS certified).

Surgical or Special Procedure Guidelines

Intraoperative Monitoring

The physician who performs procedures in an office that require major conduction blockade, deep sedation/analgesia, or general anesthesia should ensure that monitoring is provided as follows when clinically indicated for the patient:

- direct observation of the patient and, to the extent practicable, observation of the patient's responses to verbal commands;
- pulse oximetry should be performed continuously. Any alternative method of measuring oxygen saturation may be substituted for pulse oximetry if the method has been demonstrated to have at least equivalent clinical effectiveness;
- an electrocardiogram monitor should be used continuously on the patient;
- the patient's blood pressure, pulse rate, and respirations should be measured and recorded at least every five minutes;
- monitoring should be provided by a separate health care professional not otherwise involved in the surgical or special procedure;
- end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring should be performed on the patient continuously during endotracheal anesthesia;
- an in-circuit oxygen analyzer should be used to monitor the oxygen concentration within the breathing circuit, displaying the oxygen percent of the total inspiratory mixture;
- a respirometer (volumeter) should be used to measure exhaled tidal volume whenever the breathing circuit of a patient allows;
- the body temperature of each patient should be measured continuously; and
- an esophageal or precordial stethoscope should be utilized on the patient.

Clinically relevant findings during intraoperative monitoring should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Postoperative Care and Monitoring

The physician who performs the surgical or special procedure should evaluate the patient immediately upon completion of the surgery or special procedure and the anesthesia.

Care of the patient may then be transferred to the care of a qualified health care professional in the recovery area. Qualified health care professionals capable of administering medications as required for analgesia, nausea/vomiting, or other indications should monitor the patient postoperatively.

Recovery from a Level III procedure should be monitored by an ACLS certified (PALS or APLS certified when appropriate) health care professional using appropriate criteria for the level of anesthesia. At least one health care professional who is ACLS certified should be immediately available during postoperative monitoring and until the patient meets discharge criteria. Each patient should meet discharge criteria prior to leaving the operating or recovery area.

Monitoring in the recovery area should include pulse oximetry and

non-invasive blood pressure measurement. The patient should be assessed periodically for level of consciousness, pain relief, or any untoward complication. Clinically relevant findings during postoperative monitoring should be documented in the patient's medical record.

Equipment and Supplies

Unless another availability standard is clearly stated, the following equipment and supplies should be present in all offices where Level III procedures are performed:

1. full and current crash cart at the location where the anesthetizing is being carried out (the crash cart inventory should include appropriate resuscitative equipment and medications for surgical, procedural or anesthetic complications);
2. age-appropriate sized monitors, resuscitative equipment, supplies, and medication in accordance with the scope of the surgical or special procedures and the anesthesia services provided;
3. emergency power source able to produce adequate power to run required equipment for a minimum of two (2) hours;
4. electrocardiographic monitor;
5. noninvasive blood pressure monitor;
6. pulse oximeter;
7. continuous suction device;
8. endotracheal tubes, and laryngoscopes;
9. positive pressure ventilation device (e.g., Ambu);
10. reliable source of oxygen;
11. emergency intubation equipment;
12. adequate operating room lighting;
13. appropriate sterilization equipment;
14. IV solution and IV equipment;
15. sufficient ampules of dantrolene sodium should be emergently available;
16. esophageal or precordial stethoscope;
17. emergency resuscitation equipment;
18. temperature monitoring device;
19. end tidal CO₂ monitor (for endotracheal anesthesia); and
20. appropriate operating or procedure table.

Definitions

AAAASF – the American Association for the Accreditation of Ambulatory Surgery Facilities.

AAHC – the Accreditation Association for Ambulatory Health Care

ABMS – the American Board of Medical Specialties

ACGME – the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education

ACLS certified – a person who holds a current "ACLS Provider" credential certifying that they have successfully completed the national cognitive and skills evaluations in accordance with the curriculum of the American Heart Association for the Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support Program.

Advanced cardiac life support certified – a licensee that has successfully completed and recertified periodically an advanced cardiac life support course offered by a recognized accrediting organization appropriate to the licensee's field of practice. For example, for those licensees treating adult patients, training in ACLS is appropriate; for those treating children, training in PALS or APLS is appropriate.

Ambulatory surgical facility – a facility licensed under Article 6, Part D of Chapter 131E of the North Carolina General Statutes or if the facility is located outside North Carolina, under that jurisdiction's relevant facility licensure laws.

Anesthesia provider – an anesthesiologist or CRNA.

Anesthesiologist – a physician who has successfully completed a residency program in anesthesiology approved by the ACGME or AOA, or who is currently a diplomate of either the American Board of Anesthesiology or the American Osteopathic Board of Anesthesiology, or who was made a Fellow of the American College of Anesthesiology before 1982.

AOA – the American Osteopathic Association

APLS certified – a person who holds a current certification in advanced pediatric life support from a program approved by the American Heart Association.

Approved accrediting agency or organization – a nationally recognized accrediting agency (e.g., AAAASF; AAHC, JCAHO, and HFAP) including any agency approved by the Board.

ASA – the American Society of Anesthesiologists

BCLS certified – a person who holds a current certification in basic cardiac life support from a program approved by the American Heart Association.

Board – the North Carolina Medical Board.

Conscious sedation – the administration of a drug or drugs in order to induce that state of consciousness in a patient which allows the patient to tolerate unpleasant medical procedures without losing defensive reflexes, adequate cardio-respiratory function and the ability to respond purposefully to verbal command or to tactile stimulation if verbal response is not possible as, for example, in the case of a small child or deaf person. Conscious sedation does not include an oral dose of pain medication or minimal pre-procedure tranquilization such as the

POSITION STATEMENTS

administration of a pre-procedure oral dose of a benzodiazepine designed to calm the patient. "Conscious sedation" should be synonymous with the term "sedation/analgesia" as used by the American Society of Anesthesiologists.

Credentialed – a physician that has been granted, and continues to maintain, the privilege by a hospital or ambulatory surgical facility licensed in the jurisdiction in which it is located to provide specified services, such as surgical or special procedures or the administration of one or more types of anesthetic agents or procedures, or can show documentation of adequate training and experience.

CRNA – a registered nurse who is authorized by the North Carolina Board of Nursing to perform nurse anesthesia activities.

Deep sedation/analgesia – the administration of a drug or drugs which produces depression of consciousness during which patients cannot be easily aroused but can respond purposefully following repeated or painful stimulation. The ability to independently maintain ventilatory function may be impaired. Patients may require assistance in maintaining a patent airway, and spontaneous ventilation may be inadequate. Cardiovascular function is usually maintained.

FDA – the Food and Drug Administration.

General anesthesia – a drug-induced loss of consciousness during which patients are not arousable, even by painful stimulation. The ability to independently maintain ventilatory function is often impaired. Patients often require assistance in maintaining a patent airway, and positive pressure ventilation may be required because of depressed spontaneous ventilation or drug-induced depression of neuromuscular function. Cardiovascular function may be impaired.

Health care professional – any office staff member who is licensed or certified by a recognized professional or health care organization.

HFAP – the Health Facilities Accreditation Program, a division of the AOA.

Hospital – a facility licensed under Article 5, Part A of Chapter 131E of the North Carolina General Statutes or if the facility is located outside North Carolina, under that jurisdiction's relevant facility licensure laws.

Immediately available – within the office.

JCAHO – the Joint Commission for the Accreditation of Health Organizations

Level I procedures – any surgical or special procedures:

- that do not involve drug-induced alteration of consciousness;
- where preoperative medications are not required or used other than minimal preoperative tranquilization of the patient (anxiolysis of the patient);
- where the anesthesia required or used is local, topical, digital block, or none; and
- where the probability of complications requiring hospitalization is remote.

Level II procedures – any surgical or special procedures:

- that require the administration of local or peripheral nerve block, minor conduction blockade, Bier block, minimal sedation, or conscious sedation; and
- where there is only a moderate risk of surgical and/or anesthetic complications and the need for hospitalization as a result of these complications is unlikely.

Level III procedures – any surgical or special procedures:

- that require, or reasonably should require, the use of major conduction blockade, deep sedation/analgesia, or general anesthesia; and
- where there is only a moderate risk of surgical and/or anesthetic complications and the need for hospitalization as a result of these complications is unlikely.

Local anesthesia – the administration of an agent which produces a transient and reversible loss of sensation in a circumscribed portion of the body.

Major conduction blockade – the injection of local anesthesia to stop or prevent a painful sensation in a region of the body. Major conduction blocks include, but are not limited to, axillary, interscalene, and supraclavicular block of the brachial plexus; spinal (subarachnoid), epidural and caudal blocks.

Minimal sedation (anxiolysis) – the administration of a drug or drugs which produces a state of consciousness that allows the patient to tolerate unpleasant medical procedures while responding normally to verbal commands. Cardiovascular or respiratory function should remain unaffected and defensive airway reflexes should remain intact.

Minor conduction blockade – the injection of local anesthesia to stop or prevent a painful sensation in a circumscribed area of the body (i.e., infiltration or local nerve block), or the block of a nerve by direct pressure and refrigeration. Minor conduction blocks include, but are not limited to, intercostal, retrobulbar, paravertebral, peribulbar, pudendal, sciatic nerve, and ankle blocks.

Monitoring – continuous, visual observation of a patient and regular observation of the patient as deemed appropriate by the level of sedation or recovery using instruments to measure, display, and record physiologic values such as heart rate, blood pressure, respiration and oxygen saturation.

Office – a location at which incidental, limited ambulatory surgical procedures are performed and which is not a licensed ambulatory surgical facility pursuant to Article 6, Part D of Chapter 131E of the North Carolina General Statutes.

Operating room – that location in the office dedicated to the performance of surgery or special procedures.

OSHA – the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

PALS certified – a person who holds a current certification in pediatric advanced life support from a program approved by the American Heart Association.

Physical status classification – a description of a patient used in determining

if an office surgery or procedure is appropriate. For purposes of these guidelines, ASA classifications will be used. The ASA enumerates classification: I-normal, healthy patient; II-a patient with mild systemic disease; III a patient with severe systemic disease limiting activity but not incapacitating; IV-a patient with incapacitating systemic disease that is a constant threat to life; and V-moribund, patients not expected to live 24 hours with or without operation.

Physician – an individual holding an MD or DO degree licensed pursuant to the NC Medical Practice Act and who performs surgical or special procedures covered by these guidelines.

Recovery area – a room or limited access area of an office dedicated to providing medical services to patients recovering from surgical or special procedures or anesthesia.

Reportable complications – untoward events occurring at any time within forty-eight (48) hours of any surgical or special procedure or the administration of anesthesia in an office setting including, but not limited to, any of the following: paralysis, nerve injury, malignant hyperthermia, seizures, myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, renal failure, significant cardiac events, respiratory arrest, aspiration of gastric contents, cerebral vascular accident, transfusion reaction, pneumothorax, allergic reaction to anesthesia, unintended hospitalization for more than twenty-four (24) hours, or death.

Special procedure – patient care that requires entering the body with instruments in a potentially painful manner, or that requires the patient to be immobile, for a diagnostic or therapeutic procedure requiring anesthesia services; for example, diagnostic or therapeutic endoscopy; invasive radiologic procedures, pediatric magnetic resonance imaging; manipulation under anesthesia or endoscopic examination with the use of general anesthesia.

Surgical procedure – the revision, destruction, incision, or structural alteration of human tissue performed using a variety of methods and instruments and includes the operative and non-operative care of individuals in need of such intervention, and demands pre-operative assessment, judgment, technical skill, post-operative management, and follow-up.

Topical anesthesia – an anesthetic agent applied directly or by spray to the skin or mucous membranes, intended to produce a transient and reversible loss of sensation to a circumscribed area.

[A Position Statement on Office-Based Surgery was adopted by the Board on September 2000. The statement above (Adopted January 2003) replaces that statement.]

(Adopted September 2011) (Amended January 2003, May 2011)

LASER SURGERY

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that the revision, destruction, incision, or other structural alteration of human tissue using laser technology is surgery.* Laser surgery should be performed only by a physician or by a licensed health care practitioner working within his or her professional scope of practice and with appropriate medical training functioning under the supervision, preferably on-site, of a physician or by those categories of practitioners currently licensed by this state to perform surgical services.

Licensees should use only devices approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration unless functioning under protocols approved by institutional review boards. As with all new procedures, it is the licensee's responsibility to obtain adequate training and to make documentation of this training available to the North Carolina Medical Board on request.

Laser Hair Removal

Lasers are employed in certain hair-removal procedures, as are various devices that (1) manipulate and/or pulse light causing it to penetrate human tissue and (2) are classified as "prescription" by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Hair-removal procedures using such technologies should be performed only by a physician or by an individual designated as having adequate training and experience by a physician who bears full responsibility for the procedure. The physician who provides medical supervision is expected to provide adequate oversight of licensed and non-licensed personnel both before and after the procedure is performed. The Board believes that the guidelines set forth in this Position Statement are applicable to every licensee of the Board involved in laser hair removal, whether as an owner, medical director, consultant or otherwise.

It is the position of the Board that good medical practice requires that each patient be examined by a physician, physician assistant or nurse practitioner licensed or approved by this Board prior to receiving the first laser hair removal treatment and at other times as medically indicated. The examination should include a history and a focused physical examination. Where prescription medication such as topical anesthetics are used, the Board expects physicians to follow the guidelines set forth in the Board's Position Statement titled "Contact with Patients Before Prescribing." When medication is prescribed or dispensed in connection with laser hair removal, the supervising physician shall assure the patient receives thorough instructions on the safe

POSITION STATEMENTS

use or application of said medication.

The responsible supervising physician should be on site or readily available to the person actually performing the procedure. What constitutes "readily available" will depend on a variety of factors. Those factors include the specific types of procedures and equipment used; the level of training of the persons performing the procedure; the level and type of licensure, if any, of the persons performing the procedure; the use of topical anesthetics; the quality of written protocols for the performance of the procedure; the frequency, quality and type of ongoing education of those performing the procedures; and any other quality assurance measures in place. In all cases, the Board expects the physician to be able to respond quickly to patient emergencies and questions by those performing the procedures.

*Definition of surgery as adopted by the NCMB, November 1998:

Surgery, which involves the revision, destruction, incision, or structural alteration of human tissue performed using a variety of methods and instruments, is a discipline that includes the operative and non-operative care of individuals in need of such intervention, and demands pre-operative assessment, judgment, technical skills, post-operative management, and follow up. (Adopted July 1999) (Amended January 2000; March 2002; August 2002; July 2005; March 2012) (Reviewed March 2011)

CARE OF PATIENT UNDERGOING SURGERY OR OTHER INVASIVE PROCEDURE*

The evaluation, diagnosis, and care of the surgical patient is primarily the responsibility of the surgeon. The surgeon bears responsibility for ensuring the patient undergoes a preoperative assessment appropriate to the procedure. The assessment shall include a review of the patient's data relevant to the procedure. The operating surgeon shall have a detailed discussion with each patient regarding the diagnosis and the nature of the surgery, advising the patient fully of the risks involved. It is also the responsibility of the operating surgeon to reevaluate the patient immediately prior to the procedure.

It is the responsibility of the operating surgeon to assure safe and readily available postoperative care for each patient on whom he or she performs surgery. It is not improper to involve other licensed health care practitioners in postoperative care so long as the operating surgeon maintains responsibility for such care. The postoperative note must reflect the findings encountered in the individual patient and the procedure performed.

When identical procedures are done on a number of patients, individual notes should be done for each patient that reflect the specific findings and procedures of that operation.

(Invasive procedure includes, but is not limited to, endoscopies, cardiac catheterizations, interventional radiology procedures, etc. Surgeon refers to the provider performing the procedure.) *This position statement was formerly titled, "Care of the Surgical Patient." (Adopted September 1991) (Amended March 2001, September 2006, July 2012)

HIV/HVB INFECTED HEALTH CARE WORKERS

The North Carolina Medical Board supports and adopts the following rules of the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services regarding infection control in health care settings and HIV/HBV infected health care workers.

10A NCAC 41A .0206: INFECTION CONTROL—HEALTH CARE SETTINGS

(a) The following definitions shall apply throughout this Rule:

- (1) "Health care organization" means hospital; clinic; physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, or chiropractic office; home health agency; nursing home; local health department; community health center; mental health agency; hospice; ambulatory surgical center; urgent care center; emergency room; or any other health care provider that provides clinical care.
- (2) "Invasive procedure" means entry into tissues, cavities, or organs or repair of traumatic injuries. The term includes the use of needles to puncture skin, vaginal and cesarean deliveries, surgery, and dental procedures during which bleeding occurs or the potential for bleeding exists.
- (b) Health care workers, emergency responders, and funeral service personnel shall follow blood and body fluid precautions with all patients.
- (c) Health care workers who have exudative lesions or weeping dermatitis shall refrain from handling patient care equipment and devices used in performing invasive procedures and from all direct patient care that involves the potential for contact of the patient, equipment, or devices with the lesion or dermatitis until the condition resolves.
- (d) All equipment used to puncture skin, mucous membranes, or other tissues in medical, dental, or other settings must be disposed of in accordance with 10A NCAC 36B after use or sterilized prior to reuse.
- (e) In order to prevent transmission of HIV and hepatitis B from health care

workers to patients, each health care organization that performs invasive procedures shall implement a written infection control policy. The health care organization shall ensure that health care workers in its employ or who have staff privileges are trained in the principles of infection control and the practices required by the policy; require and monitor compliance with the policy; and update the policy as needed to prevent transmission of HIV and hepatitis B from health care workers to patients. The health care organization shall designate a staff member to direct these activities. The designated staff member in each health care organization shall complete a course in infection control approved by the Department. The course shall address:

- (1) Epidemiologic principles of infectious disease;
 - (2) Principles and practice of asepsis;
 - (3) Sterilization, disinfection, and sanitation;
 - (4) Universal blood and body fluid precautions;
 - (5) Engineering controls to reduce the risk of sharp injuries;
 - (6) Disposal of sharps; and
 - (7) Techniques that reduce the risk of sharp injuries to health care workers.
- (f) The infection control policy required by this Rule shall address the following components that are necessary to prevent transmission of HIV and hepatitis B from infected health care workers to patients:
- (1) Sterilization and disinfection, including a schedule for maintenance and microbiologic monitoring of equipment; the policy shall require documentation of maintenance and monitoring;
 - (2) Sanitation of rooms and equipment, including cleaning procedures, agents, and schedules;
 - (3) Accessibility of infection control devices and supplies;
 - (4) Procedures to be followed in implementing 10A NCAC 41A .0202(4) and .0203(b)(4) when a health care provider or a patient has an exposure to blood or other body fluids of another person in a manner that poses a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B.

History Note: Authority G.S. 130A 144; 130A 145; Eff. October 1, 1992; Amended Eff. December 1, 2003; July 1, 1994; January 4, 1994.

10A NCAC 41A .0207: HIV AND HEPATITIS B INFECTED HEALTH CARE WORKERS

(a) The following definitions shall apply throughout this Rule:

- (1) "Surgical or obstetrical procedures" means vaginal deliveries or surgical entry into tissues, cavities, or organs. The term does not include phlebotomy; administration of intramuscular, intradermal, or subcutaneous injections; needle biopsies; needle aspirations; lumbar punctures; angiographic procedures; endoscopic and bronchoscopic procedures; or placing or maintaining peripheral or central intravascular lines.
- (2) "Dental procedure" means any dental procedure involving manipulation, cutting, or removal of oral or perioral tissues, including tooth structure during which bleeding occurs or the potential for bleeding exists. The term does not include the brushing of teeth.
- (b) All health care workers who perform surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures and who know themselves to be infected with HIV or hepatitis B shall notify the State Health Director. Health care workers who assist in these procedures in a manner that may result in exposure of patients to their blood and who know themselves to be infected with HIV or hepatitis B shall also notify the State Health Director. The notification shall be made in writing to the Chief, Communicable Disease Control Branch, 1902 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1902..
- (c) The State Health Director shall investigate the practice of any infected health care worker and the risk of transmission to patients. The investigation may include review of medical and work records and consultation with health care professionals who may have information necessary to evaluate the clinical condition or practice of the infected health care worker. The attending physician of the infected health care worker shall be consulted. The State Health Director shall protect the confidentiality of the infected health care worker and may disclose the worker's infection status only when essential to the conduct of the investigation or periodic reviews pursuant to Paragraph (h) of this Rule. When the health care worker's infection status is disclosed, the State Health Director shall give instructions regarding the requirement for protecting confidentiality.
- (d) If the State Health Director determines that there may be a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to patients, the State Health Director shall appoint an expert panel to evaluate the risk of transmission to patients, and review the practice, skills, and clinical condition of the infected health care worker, as well as the nature of the surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures performed and operative and infection control techniques used. Each expert panel shall include an infectious disease specialist, an infection control expert, a person who practices the same occupational specialty as the infected health care worker and, if the health care worker is a licensed professional, a representative of the appropriate licensure board. The panel may include other experts. The State Health Director shall consider for appointment recommendations from health care organizations and local societies of health care professionals.
- (e) The expert panel shall review information collected by the State Health Director and may request that the State Health Director obtain additional information

POSITION STATEMENTS

as needed. The State Health Director shall not reveal to the panel the identity of the infected health care worker. The infected health care worker and the health care worker's attending physician shall be given an opportunity to present information to the panel. The panel shall make recommendations to the State Health Director that address the following:

- (1) Restrictions that are necessary to prevent transmission from the infected health care worker to patients;
- (2) Identification of patients that have been exposed to a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B; and
- (3) Periodic review of the clinical condition and practice of the infected health care worker.

(f) If, prior to receipt of the recommendations of the expert panel, the State Health Director determines that immediate practice restrictions are necessary to prevent an imminent threat to the public health, the State Health Director shall issue an isolation order pursuant to G.S. 130A 145. The isolation order shall require cessation or modification of some or all surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures to the extent necessary to prevent an imminent threat to the public health. This isolation order shall remain in effect until an isolation order is issued pursuant to Paragraph (g) of this Rule or until the State Health Director determines the imminent threat to the public health no longer exists.

(g) After consideration of the recommendations of the expert panel, the State Health Director shall issue an isolation order pursuant to G.S. 130A 145. The isolation order shall require any health care worker who is allowed to continue performing surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures to, within a time period specified by the State Health Director, successfully complete a course in infection control procedures approved by the Department of Health and Human Services, General Communicable Disease Control Branch, in accordance with 10A NCAC 41A .0206(e). The isolation order shall require practice restrictions, such as cessation or modification of some or all surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures, to the extent necessary to prevent a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to patients. The isolation order shall prohibit the performance of procedures that cannot be modified to avoid a significant risk of transmission. If the State Health Director determines that there has been a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to a patient, the State Health Director shall notify the patient or assist the health care worker to notify the patient.

(h) The State Health Director shall request the assistance of one or more health care professionals to obtain information needed to periodically review the clinical condition and practice of the infected health care worker who performs or assists in surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures.

(i) An infected health care worker who has been evaluated by the State Health Director shall notify the State Health Director prior to a change in practice involving surgical or obstetrical procedures or dental procedures. The infected health care worker shall not make the proposed change without approval from the State Health Director. If the State Health Director makes a determination in accordance with Paragraph (c) of this Rule that there is a significant risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to patients, the State Health Director shall appoint an expert panel in accordance with Paragraph (d) of this Rule. Otherwise, the State Health Director shall notify the health care worker that he or she may make the proposed change in practice.

(j) If practice restrictions are imposed on a licensed health care worker, a copy of the isolation order shall be provided to the appropriate licensure board. The State Health Director shall report violations of the isolation order to the appropriate licensure board. The licensure board shall report to the State Health Director any information about the infected health care worker that may be relevant to the risk of transmission of HIV or hepatitis B to patients.

History Note: Authority G.S. 130A 144; 130A 145; Eff. October 1, 1992; Amended Eff. April 1, 2003.

(Adopted November 1992) (Amended May 1996; January 2005) (Reviewed January 2011)

PROFESSIONAL OBLIGATIONS PERTAINING TO INCOMPETENCE, IMPAIRMENT OR UNETHICAL CONDUCT OF LICENSEES

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that its licensees have a professional obligation to act when confronted with an impaired or incompetent colleague or one who has engaged in unethical conduct.

When appropriate, an offer of personal assistance to the colleague may be the most compassionate and effective intervention. When this would not be appropriate or sufficient to address the problem, licensees have a duty to report the matter to the institution best positioned to deal with the problem. For example, impaired licensees should be reported to the North Carolina Physicians Health program. Incompetent licensees should be reported to the clinical authority empowered to take appropriate action. Licensees also may report to the North Carolina Medical Board, and when there is no other institution reasonably likely to be able to deal with the problem, this will be the only way of discharging the duty to report.

This duty is subordinate to the duty to maintain patient confidences. In other words, when the colleague is a patient or when matters concerning a

colleague are brought to the licensee's attention by a patient, the licensee must give appropriate consideration to preserving the patient's confidences in deciding whether to report the colleague.

(Adopted November 1998) (Amended May 2010)

ADVERTISING AND PUBLICITY*

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that advertising or publicity that is deceptive, false, or misleading constitutes unprofessional conduct under the Medical Practice Act.*

The term "advertising" includes oral, written and other types of communication disseminated by or at the direction of a licensee for the purpose of encouraging or soliciting the use of the licensee's services. At issue is whether a member of the general public would be confused or deceived by the advertising in question. The following general principles are intended to assist licensees in meeting the Board's expectations: (1) advertisements should not contain false claims or misrepresentations of fact, either expressly or by implication; (2) advertisements should not omit material facts; and (3) licensees should be prepared to substantiate claims made in advertisements.

Licensees should avoid advertising and publicity that creates unjustified medical expectations, that are accompanied by deceptive claims, or that imply exclusive or unique skills or remedies. Similarly, a statement that a licensee has cured or successfully treated a large number of patients suffering a particular ailment is deceptive if it implies a certainty of results and/or creates unjustified or misleading expectations. When using patient photographs, they should be of the licensee's own patients and demonstrate realistic outcomes. Likewise, when a change of circumstances renders advertising inaccurate or misleading, the licensee is expected to make reasonable efforts to correct the advertising within a reasonable time frame.

The advent of the Internet and the proliferation of websites purporting to "rate" healthcare providers mean that licensees cannot always control information about themselves in the public domain. However, a licensee is expected to exercise reasonable efforts to bring about the correction or elimination of false or misleading information when he or she becomes aware of it.

Physicians Advertising Board Certification

The term "board certified" is publicly regarded as evidence of the skill and training of a physician carrying this designation. Accordingly, in order to avoid misleading or deceptive advertising concerning board certification, physicians are expected to meet the following guidelines.

No physician should advertise or otherwise hold himself or herself out to the public as being "board certified" without proof of current certification by a specialty board approved by the (1) American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS); (2) the Bureau of Osteopathic Specialists of the American Osteopathic Association (AOA-BOS); (3) the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC); or (4) a board that meets the following requirements:

- i. the organization requires satisfactory completion of a training program with training, documentation and clinical requirements similar in scope and complexity to ACGME- or AOA-approved programs, in the specialty or subspecialty field of medicine in which the physician seeks certification. Solely experiential or on-the-job training is not sufficient;
- ii. the organization requires all physicians seeking certification to successfully pass a written or oral examination or both, which tests the applicant's knowledge and skill in the specialty or subspecialty area of medicine. All examinations require a psychometric evaluation for validation;
- iii. the organization requires diplomates to recertify every ten years or less, and the recertification requires, at a minimum, passage of a written examination;
- iv. the organization prohibits all certification and recertification candidates from attempting more than three times in three years to pass the examination;
- v. the organization has written by-laws and a code of ethics to guide the practice of its members and an internal review and control process including budgetary practices to ensure effective utilization of resources;
- vi. the organization has written proof of a determination by the Internal Revenue Service that the certifying organization is tax-exempt under Section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code; and
- vii. the organization has a permanent headquarters and staff sufficient to respond to consumer and regulatory inquiries.

The Board expects any physician advertising or otherwise holding himself or herself out to the public as "board certified" to disclose in the advertisement the specialty board by which the physician was certified. A physician is expected to maintain and provide to the Board upon request evidence of current board certification. In the case of physicians who have been

POSITION STATEMENTS

certified by non-ABMS, non-BOA and non-RCPSC boards, the physician is expected to maintain and provide to the Board upon request evidence that the certifying board meets the criteria listed above.

The above limitations are only intended to apply to physicians who advertise or otherwise hold themselves out to the public as being “board certified.” The above criteria are not applicable in other instances, such as employment determinations, privileging or credentialing decisions, membership on insurance panels, or setting reimbursement rates.

*Business letterheads, envelopes, cards, and similar materials are understood to be forms of advertising and publicity for the purpose of this Position Statement.

(Adopted November 1999) (Amended March 2001) (Reviewed September 2005) (Amended November 2010, March 2012)

SALE OF GOODS FROM PHYSICIAN OFFICES

Inherent in the in-office sale of products is a perceived conflict of interest. On this issue, it is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that the following instructions should guide the conduct of physicians or licensees.

Sale of practice-related items such as ointments, creams and lotions by Dermatologists, splints and appliances by Orthopedists, spectacles by Ophthalmologists, etc., may be acceptable only after the patient has been told those or similar items can be obtained locally from other sources. Any charge made should be reasonable.

Due to the potential for patient exploitation, the Medical Board opposes licensees participating in exclusive distributorships and/or personal branding, or persuading patients to become dealers or distributors of profit making goods or services.

Licensees should not sell any non health-related goods from their offices or other treatment settings. (This does not preclude selling of such low cost items on an occasional basis for the benefit of charitable or community organizations, provided the licensee receives no share of the proceeds, and patients are not pressured to purchase.)

All decisions regarding sales of items by the physician or his/her staff from the physician's office or other place where health care services are provided, must always be guided by what is in the patient's best interest. (Adopted March 2001) (Amended March 2006) (Reviewed May 2011)

REFERRAL FEES AND FEE SPLITTING

Payment by or to a licensee solely for the referral of a patient is unethical. A licensee may not accept payment of any kind, in any form, from any source, such as a pharmaceutical company or pharmacist, an optical company, or the manufacturer of medical appliances and devices, for prescribing or referring a patient to said source. In each case, the payment violates the requirement to deal honestly with patients and colleagues. The patient relies upon the advice of the licensee on matters of referral. All referrals and prescriptions must be based on the skill and quality of the licensee to whom the patient has been referred or the quality and efficacy of the drug or product prescribed.

It is unethical for licensees to offer financial incentives or other valuable considerations to patients in exchange for recruitment of other patients. Such incentives can distort the information that patients provide to potential patients, thus distorting the expectations of potential patients and compromising the trust that is the foundation of the patient- licensee relationship.

Furthermore, referral fees are prohibited by state law pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. Section 90-401. Violation of this law may result in disciplinary action by the Board.

Except in instances permitted by law (NC Gen Stat §55B-14(c)), it is the position of the Board that a licensee cannot share revenue on a percentage basis with a non- licensee. To do so is fee splitting and is grounds for disciplinary action.

(Adopted November 1993) (Amended May 1996, July 2006, January 2012)

UNETHICAL AGREEMENTS IN COMPLAINT SETTLEMENTS

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that it is unethical for a licensee to settle any complaint if the settlement contains an agreement by a patient not to complain or provide information to the Board.

(Adopted November 1993) (Amended May 1996, March 2010)

THE MEDICAL SUPERVISOR-TRAINEE RELATIONSHIP

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board that the relationship between medical supervisors and their trainees in medical schools and other medical training programs is one of the most valuable aspects of medical education. We note, however, that this relationship involves inher-

ent inequalities in status and power that, if abused, may adversely affect the educational experience and, ultimately, patient care. Abusive behavior in the medical supervisor-trainee relationship, whether physical or verbal, is a form of unprofessional conduct. However, criticism and/or negative feedback that is offered with the aim of improving the educational experience and patient care should not be construed as abusive behavior.

(Adopted April 2004) (Reviewed November 2010)

COMPETENCE AND RE-ENTRY TO THE ACTIVE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE

The ability to practice medicine results from a complex interaction of knowledge, physical skills, judgment, and character tempered by experience leading to competence. Maintenance of competence requires a commitment to lifelong learning and the continuous practice of medicine, in whatever field one has chosen. Absence from the active practice of medicine leads to the attenuation of the ability to practice competently.

It is the position of the North Carolina Medical Board, in accord with GS 90-6(a), that practitioners seeking licensure, or reactivation of a North Carolina medical license, who have had an interruption, for whatever reason, in the continuous practice of medicine greater than two (2) years must reestablish, to the Board's satisfaction, their competence to practice medicine safely.

Any such applicant must meet all the requirements for and completion of a regular license application. In addition, full-scale assessments, engagement in formal training programs, supervised practice arrangements, formal testing, or other proofs of competence may be required.

The Board will cooperate with appropriate entities in the development of programs and resources that can be used to fulfill the above requirements, including the issuance, when necessary and appropriate, of a time or location limited and/or restricted license (e.g., residency training license).

It shall be the responsibility of the applicant to develop a reentry program subject to the approval of the Board.

(Adopted July 2006)

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT

In North Carolina Dept. Correction v. North Carolina Medical Board, the North Carolina Supreme Court ruled that while the North Carolina Medical Board does “retain disciplinary power over a licensed medical doctor who participates in an execution,” the Board “may not discipline or threaten discipline against its licensees solely for participating in the execution alone.” Consistent with the Supreme Court's ruling, the Board will not take any disciplinary action against a physician for participation in an execution.

The North Carolina Medical Board does, however, continue to take the position that physician participation in capital punishment is a departure from the ethics of the medical profession. The North Carolina Medical Board cites the provisions of AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.06 (printed below) as an accurate statement of the professional ethics of physician participation in executions.

Relevant Provisions of AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.06

An individual's opinion on capital punishment is the personal moral decision of the individual. A physician, as a member of a profession dedicated to preserving life when there is hope of doing so, should not be a participant in a legally authorized execution. Physician participation in execution is defined generally as actions which would fall into one or more of the following categories: (1) an action which would directly cause the death of the condemned; (2) an action which would assist, supervise or contribute to the ability of another individual to directly cause the death of the condemned; (3) an action which could automatically cause an execution to be carried out on a condemned prisoner.

Physician participation in an execution includes, but is not limited to, the following actions: prescribing or administering tranquilizers and other psychotropic agents and medications that are part of the execution procedure; monitoring vital signs on site or remotely (including monitoring electrocardiograms); attending or observing an execution as a physician; and rendering of technical advice regarding execution.

In the case where the method of execution is lethal injection, the following actions by the physician would also constitute physician participation in execution: selecting injection sites; starting intravenous lines as a port for a lethal injection device; prescribing, preparing, administering, or supervising injection drugs or their doses or types; inspecting, testing, or maintaining lethal injection devices; and consulting with or supervising lethal injection personnel.

The following actions do not constitute physician participation in execu-

POSITION STATEMENTS

tion: (1) testifying as to medical history and diagnoses or mental state as they relate to competence to stand trial, testifying as to relevant medical evidence during trial, testifying as to medical aspects of aggravating or mitigating circumstances during the penalty phase of a capital case, or testifying as to medical diagnoses as they relate to the legal assessment of competence for execution; (2) certifying death, provided that the condemned has been declared dead by another person; (3) witnessing an execution in a totally nonprofessional capacity; (4) witnessing an execution at the specific voluntary request of the condemned person, provided that the physician observes the execution in a nonprofessional capacity; and (5) relieving the acute suffering of a condemned person while awaiting execution, including providing tranquilizers at the specific voluntary request of the condemned person to help relieve pain or anxiety in anticipation of the execution.
(Created Jan 2007) (Amended July 2009)

PHYSICIAN SUPERVISION OF OTHER LICENSED HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS

The physician who provides medical supervision of other licensed health-care practitioners is expected to provide adequate oversight. The physician must always maintain the ultimate responsibility to assure that high quality care is provided to every patient. In discharging that responsibility, the physician should exercise the appropriate amount of supervision over a licensed healthcare practitioner which will ensure the maintenance of quality medical care and patient safety in accord with existing state and federal law and the rules and regulations of the North Carolina Medical Board. What constitutes an “appropriate amount of supervision” will depend on a variety of factors. Those factors include, but are not limited to:

- The number of supervisees under a physician’s supervision
- The geographical distance between the supervising physician and the supervisee
- The supervisee’s practice setting
- The medical specialty of the supervising physician and the supervisee
- The level of training of the supervisee
- The experience of the supervisee
- The frequency, quality, and type of ongoing education of the supervisee
- The amount of time the supervising physician and the supervisee have worked together
- The quality of the written collaborative practice agreement, supervisory arrangement, protocol or other written guidelines intended for the guidance of the supervisee
- The supervisee’s scope of practice consistent with the supervisee’s education, national certification and/or collaborative practice agreement
(Adopted July 2007) (Reviewed September 2012)

DRUG OVERDOSE PREVENTION

The Board is concerned about the three-fold rise in overdose deaths over the past decade in the State of North Carolina as a result of both prescription and non-prescription drugs. The Board has reviewed, and is encouraged by, the efforts of Project Lazarus, a pilot program in Wilkes County that is attempting to reduce the number of drug overdoses by making the drug naloxone* and an educational program on its use available to those persons at risk of suffering a drug overdose.

The prevention of drug overdoses is consistent with the Board’s statutory mission to protect the people of North Carolina. The Board therefore encourages its licensees to cooperate with programs like Project Lazarus in their efforts to make naloxone available to persons at risk of suffering opioid drug overdose.

* Naloxone is the antidote used in emergency medical settings to reverse respiratory depression due to opioid toxicity.
(Adopted September 2008)

MEDICAL TESTIMONY

The Board recognizes that medical testimony is vital to the administration of justice in both judicial and administrative proceedings. In order to provide further guidance to those licensees called upon to testify, the Board adopts and endorses the AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 9.07 entitled “Medical Testimony.” In addition to AMA Ethics Opinion 9.07, the Board provides the following guidelines to those licensees testifying as medical experts:

- Licensee expert witnesses are expected to be impartial and should not adopt a position as an advocate or partisan in the legal proceedings.
- The licensee expert witness should review all the relevant medical information in the case and testify to its content fairly, honestly, and in a balanced manner. In addition, the licensee expert witness may be called upon to draw an inference or an opinion based on evidence presented in the case.

In doing so, the licensee expert witness should apply the same standards of fairness and honesty.

- The licensee expert witness is ethically and legally obligated to tell the truth. The licensee expert witness should be aware that failure to provide truthful testimony constitutes unprofessional conduct and may expose the licensee expert witness to disciplinary action by the Board pursuant to N.C. Gen Stat. § 90-14(a)(6).

The language of AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 9.07 provides:

In various legal and administrative proceedings, medical evidence is critical. As citizens and as professionals with specialized knowledge and experience, physicians have an obligation to assist in the administration of justice.

When a legal claim pertains to a patient the physician has treated, the physician must hold the patient’s medical interests paramount, including the confidentiality of the patient’s health information, unless the physician is authorized or legally compelled to disclose the information.

Physicians who serve as fact witnesses must deliver honest testimony. This requires that they engage in continuous self-examination to ensure that their testimony represents the facts of the case. When treating physicians are called upon to testify in matters that could adversely impact their patients’ medical interests, they should decline to testify unless the patient consents or unless ordered to do so by legally constituted authority. If, as a result of legal proceedings, the patient and the physician are placed in adversarial positions it may be appropriate for a treating physician to transfer the care of the patient to another physician.

When physicians choose to provide expert testimony, they should have recent and substantive experience or knowledge in the area in which they testify, and be committed to evaluating cases objectively and to providing an independent opinion. Their testimony should reflect current scientific thought and standards of care that have gained acceptance among peers in the relevant field. If a medical witness knowingly provides testimony based on a theory not widely accepted in the profession, the witness should characterize the theory as such. Also, testimony pertinent to a standard of care must consider standards that prevailed at the time the event under review occurred.

All physicians must accurately represent their qualifications and must testify honestly. Physician testimony must not be influenced by financial compensation; for example, it is unethical for a physician to accept compensation that is contingent upon the outcome of litigation.

Organized medicine, including state and specialty societies, and medical licensing boards can help maintain high standards for medical witnesses by assessing claims of false or misleading testimony and issuing disciplinary sanctions as appropriate. (II, IV, V, VII) Issued December 2004 based on the report “Medical Testimony,” adopted June 2004.
(Adopted March 2008) (Amended September 2012)

COLLABORATIVE CARE WITHIN THE HEALTHCARE TEAM

The North Carolina Medical Board (“the Board”) recognizes that the manner in which its licensees interact with others can significantly impact patient care.

The Board strongly urges its licensees to fulfill their obligations to maximize the safety of patient care by behaving in a manner that promotes both professional practice and a work environment that ensures high standards of care. The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education highlights the importance of interpersonal/communication skills and professionalism as two of the six core competencies required for graduation from residency. Licensees should consider it their ethical duty to foster respect among all health care professionals as a means of ensuring good patient care.

Disruptive behavior is a style of interaction with physicians, hospital personnel, patients, family members, or others that interferes with patient care. Behaviors such as foul language; rude, loud or offensive comments; and intimidation of staff, patients and family members are commonly recognized as detrimental to patient care. Furthermore, it has become apparent that disruptive behavior is often a marker for concerns that can range from a lack of interpersonal skills to deeper problems, such as depression or substance abuse. As a result, disruptive behavior may reach a threshold such that it constitutes grounds for further inquiry by the Board into the potential underlying causes of such behavior. Behavior by a licensee that is disruptive could be grounds for Board discipline.

The Board distinguishes disruptive behavior from constructive criticism that is offered in a professional manner with the aim of improving patient care. The Board also reminds its licensees of their responsibility not only to patients, but also to themselves. Symptoms of stress, such as exhaustion and depression, can negatively affect a licensee’s health and performance. Licensees suffering such symptoms are encouraged to seek the support needed to help them regain their equilibrium.

POSITION STATEMENTS

Finally, licensees, in their role as patient and peer advocates, are obligated to take appropriate action when observing disruptive behavior on the part of other licensees. The Board urges its licensees to support their hospital, practice, or other healthcare organization in their efforts to identify and manage disruptive behavior, by taking a role in this process when appropriate. (January 2010)

TELEMEDICINE

“Telemedicine” is the practice of medicine using electronic communication, information technology or other means between a licensee in one location and a patient in another location with or without an intervening health care provider.

The Board recognizes that technological advances have made it possible for licensees to provide medical care to patients who are separated by some geographical distance. As a result, telemedicine is a potentially useful tool that, if employed appropriately, can provide important benefits to patients, including: increased access to health care, expanded utilization of specialty expertise, rapid availability of patient records, and the reduced cost of patient care.

The Board cautions, however, that licensees practicing via telemedicine will be held to the same standard of care as licensees employing more traditional in-person medical care. A failure to conform to the appropriate standard of care, whether that care is rendered in-person or via telemedicine, may subject the licensee to potential discipline by this Board.

The Board provides the following considerations to its licensees as guidance in providing medical services via telemedicine:

Training of Staff -- Staff involved in the telemedicine visit should be trained in the use of the telemedicine equipment and competent in its operation.

Examinations -- Licensees using telemedicine technologies to provide care to patients located in North Carolina must provide an appropriate examination prior to diagnosing and/or treating the patient. However, this examination need not be in-person if the technology is sufficient to provide the same information to the licensee as if the exam had been performed face-to-face. Other examinations may also be considered appropriate if the licensee is at a distance from the patient, but a licensed health care professional is able to provide various physical findings that the licensee needs to complete an adequate assessment. On the other hand, a simple questionnaire without an appropriate examination may be a violation of law and/or subject the licensee to discipline by the Board.

Licensee-Patient Relationship -- The licensee using telemedicine should have some means of verifying that the person seeking treatment is in fact who he or she claims to be. A diagnosis should be established through the use of accepted medical practices, i.e., a patient history, mental status examination, physical examination and appropriate diagnostic and laboratory testing. Licensees using telemedicine should also ensure the availability for appropriate follow-up care and maintain a complete medical record that is available to the patient and other treating health care providers.

Medical Records -- The licensee treating a patient via telemedicine must maintain a complete record of the telemedicine patient's care according to prevailing medical record standards. The medical record serves to document the analysis and plan of an episode of care for future reference. It must reflect an appropriate evaluation of the patient's presenting symptoms, and relevant components of the electronic professional interaction must be documented as with any other encounter.

The licensee must maintain the record's confidentiality and disclose the records to the patient consistent with state and federal law. If the patient has a primary

care provider and a telemedicine provider for the same ailment, then the primary care provider's medical record and the telemedicine provider's record constitute one complete patient record.

Licensure -- The practice of medicine is deemed to occur in the state in which the patient is located. Therefore, any licensee using telemedicine to regularly provide medical services to patients located in North Carolina should be licensed to practice medicine in North Carolina. Licensees need not reside in North Carolina, as long as they have a valid, current North Carolina license.

North Carolina licensees intending to practice medicine via telemedicine technology to treat or diagnose patients outside of North Carolina should check with other state licensing boards. Most states require physicians to be licensed, and some have enacted limitations to telemedicine practice or require or offer a special registration. A directory of all U.S. medical boards may be accessed at the Federation of State Medical Boards Web site: http://www.fsmb.org/directory_smb.html.

(Adopted July 2010)

¹See also the Board's Position Statement entitled "Contact with Patients before Prescribing."
²N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-18(c)(11) exempts from the requirement for licensure: "The practice of medicine or surgery by any nonregistered reputable physician or surgeon who comes into this State, either in person or by use of any electronic or other mediums, on an irregular basis, to consult with a resident registered physician or to consult with personnel at a medical school about educational or medical training. This proviso shall not apply to physicians resident in a neighboring state and regularly practicing in this State."

The Board also notes that the North Carolina General Statutes define the practice of medicine as including, "The performance of any act, within or without this State, described in this subdivision by use of any electronic or other means, including the Internet or telephone." N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-1.1(5)f.

PHYSICIAN SCOPE OF PRACTICE

This Position Statement is intended to guide physicians who undertake to perform new procedures, use new technologies, or migrate into areas of practice for which they have not received formal graduate medical education. The Board recognizes that medicine is a dynamic field that, along with individual practices, continues to evolve. Economic pressures, business opportunities, lifestyle considerations, and access to care are all reasons that physicians move into new areas of practice. However, patient harm can occur when physicians practicing outside areas in which they were trained are unable to meet accepted and prevailing standards of care in the new practice area.

The informed, prudent care of patients begins with adequate training and the selection of appropriate patients. Follow up care and the ability to address complications is paramount. Physicians intending to expand their practice to an area outside of their graduate medical education should ensure that they have acquired the appropriate level of education and training.

It is the Board's position that all physicians, irrespective of their training, will be held to the standard of acceptable and prevailing medical practice as set forth in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-14(a)(6).^{*} It also may be prudent for physicians to confirm that their liability insurance provides coverage for the procedures they intend to perform.

^{*}In some instances, the Board may have provided relevant guidance to particular practice areas. See for example the Board's position statements on Laser Surgery, Office-Based Procedures, Care of the Patient Undergoing Surgery or Other Invasive Procedure, and Advertising and Publicity. (Adopted March 2011)

KHOB CHAI
TAK Ďakujem
THANK YOU
mercí shukran
arigatô danke
MAHALO asante dank u
XIE XIE

Quality expert medical reviews are an essential component of the Board's disciplinary work. They help to establish whether care was within accepted and prevailing standards in North Carolina and thus play a critical role in determining the outcome of cases. More than 350 licensees have registered with the Board as reviewers, and more than 100 completed reviews in 2012. The NCMB extends its sincere thanks to these men and women for their service to the public and to the profession.


Interested in completing reviews for the Board? Contact Scott G. Kirby, MD, the NCMB's Medical Director at scott.kirby@ncmed-board.org or (919) 326-1109 ext. 247

Prescribe Suboxone? Register to comply with state law

By William D. Bronson, Program Manager, Drug Control Unit, NCDHHS

Licenses who prescribe buprenorphine and certain combination drugs such as Suboxone for the treatment of opioid addiction are required to register annually with the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services. Licenses who are not currently registered are out of compliance with state law. Please take swift action to obtain a Controlled Substance Registration for "Office Based Treatment."

There is no fee at this time to register. Contact the DHHS Drug Control Unit at 919-733-1765 to request a registration application, or for more information regarding the registration requirement. The U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency has mailed letters to advise DEA-registered prescribers of their legal obligation to register with the state.



About buprenorphine and other synthetic opioids

Buprenorphine and Suboxone are drugs approved by the FDA and DEA for the treatment of opioid addiction. These drugs may be prescribed for this purpose by physicians who have completed specific training approved by Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT) and who have received special permission from the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) under the DATA 2000 Federal Legislation. Physicians approved under this section, known as DATA 2000 waiver physicians, are limited to 30 patients during the first year. After reapplying to CSAT for an increase, they may increase their patient load to 100 total patients in aggregate (regardless of the number of locations in which they practice).

Additional NC requirements

North Carolina Law (NC GS §90-101 (a1)) also states that physicians must provide written documentation of a plan to engage or refer patients to qualified providers to receive counseling and case management as appropriate and must acknowledge the application of Federal confidentiality regulations under 42 CFR to patient information. DHHS Drug Control Inspectors will consult with applicants to achieve compliance with these provisions either through an onsite visit or a telephone consultation and desk review. Dispensers of buprenorphine must also follow other Federal and State regulations related to the ordering, storage, dispensing and record keeping of controlled substances.

Serve on the North Carolina Medical Board

Three physician members of the North Carolina Medical Board will complete their second and final terms this year. The independent panel that nominates candidates for the Board will soon be seeking candidates to fill these seats, for terms beginning Nov. 1.

Candidates must have an active North Carolina medical license and must be practicing clinical medicine at least part time. Candidates must have no history of public discipline for the past 10 years.

Candidates should also be aware that serving on the Board requires a significant commitment of time and possess both the ability and willingness to dedicate this level of service to people of North Carolina, as well as the medical profession.

The process established by state law (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-2 and 90-3) requires anyone interested in serving on the Board to apply through the Review Panel for the North Carolina Medical Board. This body screens applicants, conducts interviews, and

makes recommendations to the Governor, who then makes appointments to the Board. The application will be available on the Review Panel's website by mid-February and will be due in July. The Review Panel will interview all qualified applicants in Raleigh in late August.

Visit the Review Panel online at www.ncmedboardreviewpanel.com For more information, call Dave Feild, the administrator for the Review Panel, at 919-861-4533.

NCMB welcomes four new Board Members

The North Carolina Medical Board is pleased to welcome four new Board members and to welcome back Dr. Eleanor E. Greene, who was recently reappointed as a physician member of the Board. The Board's four newest members include a Cary gastroenterologist, a Raleigh trauma surgeon, a Wilmington family nurse practitioner and a former senior advisor to Gov. Beverly Perdue. Perdue made the appointments before completing her term as Governor in January.

"The current Board and its staff are delighted to welcome each of the new Board members," said R. David Henderson, executive director of the North Carolina Medical Board. "Serving on the Medical Board is a tremendous commitment of attention and time. We appreciate each new member's dedication to North Carolinians and to the medical profession and look forward to working with each of them."

"The Board is also extremely pleased that Dr. Greene will continue her tenure on the Board," Mr. Henderson said. "She is a valued member of the Board and a dedicated public servant."

Board member terms run from November 1, 2012 to October 31, 2015.

SUBHASH C. GUMBER, MD, PHD

Dr. Gumber, who lives and practices in Cary, is a partner in the Wake Endoscopy Center and Raleigh Medical Group, both in Raleigh. He is the practice's director of Gastroenterology and also serves on its Board.

Dr. Gumber earned his medical degree from the University of Massachusetts Medical School. He completed an



Dr. Gumber

internship and residency in Internal Medicine at Boston University Medical Center and a fellowship in Gastroenterology at Beth Israel Hospital, Harvard Medical School. Before training as a physician, Dr. Gumber earned a doctoral degree from the Institute of Biological Chemistry, Washington State University, and a master's degree, with honors, in

biochemistry from Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.

Dr. Gumber is certified by the American Board of Gastroenterology. He is a fellow of the American College of Gastroenterology and is an active member of the North Carolina Medical Society and of the American Association

of Physicians of Indian Origin. He has authored numerous articles and abstracts for scholarly journals.

HELEN DIANE MEELHEIM, F-NP

Ms. Meelheim, of Beaufort, NC, is a family nurse practitioner at East Carteret Family Practice in Beaufort. She earned a bachelor of science in nursing from the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, and completed the family nurse practitioner program and earned a master's in nursing administration from East Carolina University. After a decade of clinical practice and teaching, she returned to Chapel Hill, where she earned a law degree from the UNC School of Law. She is the first NP to serve on the Board.

Ms. Meelheim served as an adjunct clinical instructor at the ECU School of Nursing, and as a nursing administrator and clinical instructor in surgery for the Department of Surgery at the Brody School of Medicine at ECU. After earning her law degree, she served as assistant executive director of the North Carolina Medical Board from 1992-2002. She has also practiced law.

Ms. Meelheim served active duty in the United States Army in the 1990s, as a clinic family nurse practitioner at Womack Army Hospital in Fort Bragg, NC, and then as acting head nurse and family nurse practitioner in support of OIF, in Germany. Ms. Meelheim has served for 28 years in the US Army Reserve, where her current rank is Colonel. Most recently, she served as Command Surgeon/FNP-BC for the 1st Sustainment Command (Theater) Forward Command Post at Camp Arifjan Kuwait.

Ms. Meelheim is a recipient of several awards, including the Army Commendation Medal for excellence as a family nurse practitioner and the Legion of Merit (2010) for excellence as a family nurse practitioner and command surgeon for Kuwait, Afghanistan, Iraq Task Force Sinai, Qatar and Ft. Bragg.



Ms. Meelheim

PASCAL OSITA UDEKWU, MD

Dr. Udekwu has practiced at WakeMed Health & Hospitals in Raleigh since 1991. He completed residency training in pediatrics and in general surgery at the University of Chicago, a fellowship in trauma and surgical critical care at the University of Pittsburgh, PA and earned a master's degree in business administration and health administration from Pfeiffer University in Meisenheimer, NC.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Dr. Udekwu holds multiple leadership roles including Director of Trauma, Vice Chairman of Medical Staff Quality Improvement and Director of Surgical Critical Care, all at WakeMed Health & Hospitals. He is also Associate Director of the Surgical Residency Program at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

Dr. Udekwu currently serves as associate professor at UNC-Chapel Hill and is an adjunct professor at Campbell University's College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences. He is triple-board certified with certifications from the American Board of Pediatrics, the American Board of Surgery and the American Board of Surgery—Surgical Critical Care.



Dr. Udekwu

Dr. Udekwu has authored numerous papers and abstracts for scholarly journals and is a member of several professional organizations. He is a fellow of both the American College of Surgeons and of the American

College of Chest Physicians.

In addition, Dr. Udekwu served in the United States Army Reserve from 1988-2005 deploying to Bagram Afghanistan as Chief of Surgery in 2003. He currently serves as a Colonel in the United States Air Force Reserve at Joint Base Andrews, Maryland.

College of Chest Physicians.

MICHAEL J. ARNOLD, MBA

Mr. Arnold, of Wake Forest, has worked as a policy, research and public affairs professional at high levels of state government for nearly two decades, first serving nine years as a university administrator and on faculty



Mr. Arnold

at the University of North Carolina at Wilmington and then later as a high-ranking senior official in the Executive branch of state government.

Mr. Arnold was recently appointed as Senior Advisor for Policy and Intergovernmental Affairs with Secretary of State Elaine Marshall. Prior to that, Mr. Arnold served as Senior Advisor for Policy and Research with Governor Beverly

Perdue. He also served in the same role during Perdue's term as Lt. Governor.

Mr. Arnold has also worked as Senior Research Director for the NC Health and Wellness Trust Fund, which was one of three entities created by the NC General Assembly

to invest North Carolina's portion of the Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement. Prior to that, he served in a public affairs and development role for the Alice Aycock Poe Center for Health Education in Raleigh, one of the state's largest health education centers.

Mr. Arnold earned a bachelor's degree in Communication Studies from the University of North Carolina, Wilmington, and a master of business administration from the same institution. He also earned a certification in Non-profit Management, with an emphasis on communications and strategic planning from Duke University.

ELEANOR E. GREENE, MD, MPH

Eleanor E. Greene, MD, of High Point, earned a BS degree in medical technology from the former Bowman Gray School of Medicine (now Wake Forest University School of Medicine) in Winston-Salem, NC. She received her MD and a Master of Public Health in Maternal and Child Health from the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, and completed residency in obstetrics and gynecology at the Ohio State University in Columbus, OH. She currently practices with Cone Health Medical Group at Triad Women's Center in High Point.

Dr. Greene is a member of the North Carolina Medical Society, Doctors for America, North Carolina Obstetrics and Gynecology Society, and the National Medical Association, where she served on the Board of Directors, Finance and Health Policy Committees.

She serves on the Piedmont Health Services and Sickle Cell Agency. She served on the North Carolina Advisory Committee on Cancer Coordination and Control, on the Board of Directors of the Healthy Start Foundation, completing two terms on each. Dr. Greene is past president of the Old North State Medical Society, and continues to serve on its current Executive Committee. She is a fellow of the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology.

Dr. Greene is the first physician from High Point, NC, and the first African American female physician to serve on the NC Medical Board. She speaks on the topic of Women's Health and Women in Medicine at numerous church and community forums. Dr. Greene recently served as moderator for a conversation on Women's Health and the Affordable Care Act featuring the Department of Health and Human Services Director, Secretary Kathleen Sebelius.

Dr. Greene was appointed to the Board in 2010. She serves on the Review, Executive and Policy Committees.



Dr. Greene

North Carolina Medical Board

Quarterly Board Actions Report | August - October 2012

The Board actions listed below are published in an abbreviated format. The report does not include non-prejudicial actions such as reentry agreements and non-disciplinary consent orders. Recent Board actions are also available at www.ncmedboard.org. Go to "Professional Resources" to view current disciplinary data or to sign up to receive notification when new actions are posted via the RSS Feed subscription service.

Name/license#/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
<u>ANNULMENTS</u>			
NONE			
<u>SUMMARY SUSPENSIONS</u>			
NONE			
<u>REVOICATIONS</u>			
DYER, G. David, MD (000022562) Wilmington, NC	10/19/2012	MD was convicted of three felony counts of prescribing controlled substances without a legal justification and two felony counts of attempting to traffic in opiates.	MD's license is revoked retroactive to May 11, 2010.
<u>SUSPENSIONS</u>			
ADAMS, Jill Funderburk, NP (0000201364) Matthews, NC	08/08/2012	Excessive and inappropriate prescribing of controlled substances.	NP's approval to practice is indefinitely suspended.
BARR, John Findley, MD (000026186) Cleveland, NC	09/11/2012	Inappropriate prescribing of controlled substances.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license.
COLEMAN, Adam C., PA (001001168) Durham, NC	10/09/2012	PA fraudulently obtained controlled substances by writing prescriptions in the names of family members and forging the names of physicians.	Indefinite suspension of NC physician assistant license.
GUFFEY, Neal Hamilton, MD (009500489) Winston-Salem, NC	10/16/2012	MD has a history of alcohol and substance dependence; MD relapsed in January 2012.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license.
JUSTIS, Christopher Morrow, MD (000038991) Edenton, NC	09/20/2012	History of substance abuse, alcohol abuse; DWI charge on June 5, 2012.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license
KAOD, Hany Aziz, MD (200901680) Goldsboro, NC	08/27/2012	MD wrote prescriptions in the names of relatives and diverted the medications to himself. MD has completed 90 days of inpatient residential treatment.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license.
KILBY, Michael Warren, MD (009801335) Danbury, NC	09/06/2012	History of alcohol and substance abuse; history of arrest for DWI.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license.
KINNALLY, Steven Joseph, PA (001000347) Lake Waccamaw, NC	09/05/2012	PA appeared impaired at work. PA was diagnosed with alcohol dependence, referred to treatment yet failed to report to the treatment facility; He has indicated that he is applying for a license in the state of Washington.	Indefinite suspension of NC physician assistant license.
LARKY, Howard Chad, DO (201001760) Bexly, OH	09/11/2012	While practicing in Ohio, DO engaged in an intimate relationship with a patient, despite an ongoing physician-patient relationship. DO prescribed a controlled substance to a family member in 2007. DO's license was suspended by the Ohio Board, and upon reinstatement, was placed on probation for two years.	DO's license is suspended for 180 days; DO is also placed on probation for two years to run concurrent with the suspension and probationary period imposed by the Ohio Board.
LITHMAN, Jerry Richard, MD (000033084) Durham, NC	09/05/2012	Inappropriate and excessive prescribing of controlled substances.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license; MD may not apply for reinstatement sooner than October 1, 2013.
MURPHY, John Bond, Jr., MD (000027496) Salisbury, NC	10/19/2012	MD was hired in August 2011 to serve as medical director for HRC (a medical practice providing hormone replacement therapy). Despite having a more hands-on role in the care of patients than prior HRC medical personnel, MD's treatment of patients nonetheless departed from accepted and prevailing standards of care in NC.	MD's license suspended for 12 months, stayed. MD shall not professionally associate in any way with HRC; Must comply with other conditions.
ORLI, Tom, MD (200201510) Winston-Salem, NC	10/17/2012	MD admitted to taking oxycodone pills for personal use from a patient.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license.

BOARD ACTIONS REPORT

Name/license#/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
SMITH, Douglas Ray, MD (000013919) Charlotte, NC	10/05/2012	MD agreed to meet a patient presumably to engage in a sexual encounter. MD reports that he later decided not to meet the patient. MD presented to NCPHP and surrendered his license on 6/5/2012.	Indefinite suspension of NC medical license.
SYED, Arjumand Bano, MD (200301067) Durham, NC	08/14/2012	MD was hired by HRC (a medical practice providing hormone replacement therapy) to supervise an NP. HRC used MD to make it appear that HRC was practicing medicine in NC through a NC licensed physician when in fact medicine was administered and protocols/guidelines were developed by a MD not licensed in NC. MD did not adequately supervise HRC staff.	NC medical license is suspended for 12 months, immediately stayed except for a period of 30 days beginning on October 5, 2012, and ending on November 3, 2012.
PROBATIONS			
NONE			
REPRIMANDS			
ADAMS, Tiffanie Lauren, PA (001003714) Salisbury, NC	08/17/2012	PA failed to appropriately report a misdemeanor charge for Driving While License Revoked; PA misrepresented to a Board investigator that she was not being compensated by her employer when in fact she was.	PA is issued a license, with a reprimand and \$1,000 fine. Must complete CME course in professionalism.
BUEHLER, James Raymond, MD (200201562) Sapphire, NC	10/16/2012	MD had a urine test positive for cannabinoid, violating his NCPHP contract. A substance abuse assessment determined that MD is safe to practice, provided he adheres to his contract/obtains a workplace monitor.	Reprimand; MD must comply with conditions.
CZUBA, Karen Margaret, MD (200801524) Spartanburg, SC	08/17/2012	MD failed to properly supervise an NP who sees patients in SC and NC. MD is located in SC and communicated and performed her supervising duties remotely. An RN working with the NP would see patients and recommend medications, which the NP would prescribe without the patient being examined by the NP or by MD.	Reprimand; MD must complete a CME course in using templates in electronic medical records within six months of the date of this order.
EDWARDS, Jonathan Arnold, MD (009400481) Charlotte, NC	08/15/2012	MD failed to adequately supervise a nurse practitioner, Jill Funderburk Adams.	Reprimand
LOWE-HOYTE, Charmaine Pamela A, MD (000033392) Hendersonville, NC	08/21/2012	Inappropriate prescribing of opioid medications	Reprimand; with conditions and restrictions on license.
MCAFEE, Paul Clifton, MD (201201999) Towson, MD	10/04/2012	MD failed to report malpractice payments when submitting a license application using the expedited application process. When the Board became aware, MD was informed that he was not eligible to use the expedited application. MD then submitted a standard application and again failed to report his full malpractice history.	Reprimand; \$2,000 fine
MCDONALD, Thomas Joseph, Jr., MD (009500144) Roanoke Rapids, NC	10/11/2012	MD permitted an unlicensed PA to perform medical acts at his office under his supervision; MD permitted the unlicensed PA to see patients for the purpose of continuing her education.	Reprimand; \$3,000 fine
MCNEILL, Dianne Marie, MD (200101247) Chesapeake, VA	10/04/2012	While practicing in Virginia, MD allowed an RN to evaluate, develop treatment plans and inject patients with B-12 and dermal fillers/facial injectables and to provide follow-up care. At times, RN provided dermal fillers/facial injectables when MD was not present and had not examined the patient. This led the Virginia Board to reprimand MD.	Reprimand
PATEL, Balubhai Naranbhai, MD (000033555) Cary, NC	10/25/2012	MD had an inappropriate friendship with a female employee, treated the employee's two children and did not maintain a medical record on one of them. MD and the female employee both deny that there was a sexual relationship between them. MD did co-sign an apartment lease for this employee and on at least one occasion, he paid the employee's rent.	Reprimand
RAI, Kashmir Kaur, MD (200600055) Harahan, LA	08/27/2012	MD entered into a consent order with the Louisiana Board due to inappropriate prescribing of controlled substances.	Reprimand; restrictions on prescribing.
STINSON, Jeremy Richard, PA (001000432) Raleigh, NC	08/20/2012	PA's care of a patient with post-surgical nausea, lower abdominal pain, numbness of the legs and bladder incontinence failed to meet accepted standard.	Reprimand

BOARD ACTIONS REPORT

Name/license#/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
TECH, Timothy Michael, LP (100000389) Bristol, TN	08/16/2012	LP reported to work after having drunk alcohol the night before. Neither LP or his supervisor believed he was impaired but, nonetheless, LP was dismissed from his position as a result of the incident. LP was charged with DUI on 11/29/11. LP has completed an intensive outpatient treatment program.	Reprimand; LP must enter into and maintain a five-year monitoring contract with NCPHP.
<u>DENIALS OF LICENSE/APPROVAL</u>			
<i>NONE</i>			
<u>SURRENDERS</u>			
ADKINS, Paula Clark, MD (009900745) Pinehurst, NC	09/21/2012	History of substance abuse/relapse; prior history with the NC Medical Board.	MD surrendered license; agrees not to apply for reinstatement.
BROGAN, Marlene Marie, MD (009600466) Concord, NC	09/18/2012		Voluntary surrender of medical license.
WILSON, Vincent Paul, MD (201201585) New Bern, NC	10/23/2012		Voluntary surrender of medical license.
ZIOMEK, Paul Henry, MD (000036083) Rutherfordton, NC	10/29/2012		Voluntary surrender of medical license.
<u>PUBLIC LETTERS OF CONCERN</u>			
CHIPLEY, Paul Simpson, MD (009500040) Wilmington, NC	09/04/2012	MD was arrested and charged with DWI. He was later charged with Hit & Run with Property Damage. The charge has since been dismissed.	Public letter of concern
FOSTER, Robert Middleton, Jr., MD (000026821) Roxboro, NC	08/14/2012	MD prescribed controlled substances/medications when he closed his medical practice. MD may have resigned blank prescriptions and provided them to his staff to write prescriptions for patients MD was unable to see and write for himself.	Public letter of concern
GRAHAM, Marisa Rose, PA (001001328) Charleston, SC	08/29/2012	PA failed to note a decrease in the platelet count of a patient she treated with antibiotics and failed to recognize the patient was suffering from possible sepsis.	NC PA license reinstated with a public letter of concern.
LITTLE, Heidi Jo, PA (001003693) Roanoke Rapids, NC	08/08/2012	PA began performing medical acts, tasks and functions as a PA prior to obtaining a license.	NC PA license issued with a public letter of concern.
MCDONALD, Thomas Joseph, MD (009500144) Roanoke Rapids, NC	08/01/2012	MD's care of a patient who ultimately expired due to complications from thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura may have failed to meet prevailing and accepted standards of care.	Public letter of concern
MCENTIRE, Patrick Cary, MD (000025057) Southport, NC	10/30/2012	MD failed to communicate with the family of a pediatric patient. After discussing wound closure options for the patient's laceration, MD closed the wound without the benefit of anesthetic. Wound closure required seven staples, three of which required removal and repositioning. The Board is concerned that it appears the family did not fully understand the staple procedure and the associated risks, including the need to reposition staples.	Public letter of concern
MITCHELL, Maria, DO (200500543) Greenville, NC	09/04/2012	DO has some medical knowledge gaps that should be addressed. A CPEP assessment made several recommendations that DO must address.	Public letter of concern; DO shall complete all CPEP educational recommendations.
MORTON, Terrence Dewitt, Jr., MD (000032611) Statesville, NC	10/25/2012	MD may have allowed his practice's management company, Minute Clinic, LLC, to exert influence over matters affecting or influencing the practice of medicine. Minute Clinic, LLC, may not practice medicine in NC. NPs employed by Minute Clinic, LLC, routinely reviewed care provided by MD's midlevel practitioners and have on occasion suggested that treatment decisions be changed. On one occasion, treatment changes were made by an employee of Minute Clinic, LLC. The Board is further concerned that MD also serves as a regional medical director for Minute Clinic, LLC, which could create a conflict of interest.	Public letter of concern

BOARD ACTIONS REPORT

Name/license#/location	Date of action	Cause of action	Board action
MORYKON, John McMillan, PA (001003707) Wilmington, NC	08/15/2012	PA reported on his application an arrest and charge for resisting a public officer and for intoxicated and disruptive behavior in public. PA failed to disclose marijuana use on his application, instead claiming that he had never used marijuana. A drug screen tested positive for THC, the active ingredient in marijuana.	Via consent order, PA is issued a license, with public letter of concern.
POWELL, Eddie Nelson, II, MD (200800223) Greenville, NC	10/19/2012	MD wrote prescriptions for various medications, including controlled substances, to several close friends. MD failed to keep a medical record of treatment provided to these individuals.	Public letter of concern; MD is required to arrange to attend a Category 1 CME course on record keeping.
SIMMONS, Sandra Jean, MD (009400638) Raleigh, NC	10/19/2012	MD failed to complete CME requirements from 2007 to 2010. MD ultimately completed the required hours, but failed to do so within the time frame allowed and after subsequent extensions.	Public letter of concern; \$1,000 fine.
SKIPPER, Joni Byrd, MD (RTL) Greenville, NC	08/01/2012	MD, who previously practiced pharmacy in SC, had an action taken against her SC pharmacy license related to a 2010 incident in which she diverted a controlled substance to self-medicate.	MD is issued a resident training license (RTL) with a public letter of concern.
SMITH, Dallas Aaron, Jr., MD (000020965) Greensboro, NC	09/24/2012	MD's interpretation of mammogram films for two patients did not meet standards of care.	Public letter of concern
WRIGHT, John Robert, Jr., MD (009601770) Asheville, NC	10/09/2012	MD's care of a patient who presented for induction of labor at nearly 42 weeks gestation may have failed to conform to accepted standards.	Public letter of concern
VEST, Gayle Southworth, MD (000022856) Norton, VA	09/24/2012	MD's care of a patient with hypertension and preeclampsia may have failed to conform to accepted standards. MD had an action taken against her license by the Virginia Board related to this case.	Public letter of concern
YESHNIK, Melvin Anthony, MD (000149057) Westminster, MD	09/06/2012	MD failed to disclose multiple malpractice payments/lawsuits and that he previously withdrew a license application. The Board is concerned about the 2007 consent order MD entered into with the Maryland Board related to MD's care of a patient with preeclampsia.	MD is issued a NC medical license, with a public letter of concern and \$1,000 administrative fine.
<u>MISCELLANEOUS ACTIONS</u>			
SCHOEN, Martin William, MD (200801382) Clayton, MO	08/21/2012	History of substance abuse; MD has undergone and successfully completed treatment.	MD is issued a NC medical license; must maintain NCPHP contract.
UWENSUYI-EDOSOMWAN, F. I., MD (009600362) Charlotte, NC	08/01/2012	Concerns regarding quality of care.	Non-disciplinary consent order. MD must be assessed by a Board-approved assessment center.
<u>CONSENT ORDERS AMENDED</u>			
NONE			
<u>TEMPORARY/DATED LICENSES: ISSUED, EXTENDED, EXPIRED, OR REPLACED BY FULL LICENSES</u>			
LONG, Scott David, PA (0000103319) Greensboro, NC	08/03/2012	History of substance abuse.	PA is issued a temporary license to expire; must maintain an NCPHP contract.

FINES

The NCMB issues non-disciplinary administrative fines in certain cases where incorrect and/or incomplete information on a medical licensing application causes Board staff to spend an inordinate amount of time resolving the issue(s).		
Date	Reason	Amount
08/20/12	Made false statements to the Board in connection with an application for NC medical license.	\$1,000.00
08/16/12	Provided an incorrect response on application for NC physician assistant license.	\$500.00
08/29/12	Provided an incorrect response on application for NC medical license.	\$500.00
09/13/12	Failed to timely renew NC medical license and practiced with an inactive medical license.	\$500.00
09/19/12	Provided an incorrect response on application for NC medical license.	\$1,000.00
09/27/12	Failed to provide accurate information on NC license application.	\$500.00

North Carolina Medical Board
1203 Front Street
Raleigh, NC 27609

Prsrt Std
US Postage
PAID
Permit No. 2172
Raleigh, NC



EXAMINATIONS

Residents Please Note USMLE Information

United States Medical Licensing Examination

Computer-based testing for Step 3 is available on a daily basis. Applications are available on the Federation of State Medical Board's Web site at www.fsmb.org.

Special Purpose Examination (SPEX)

The Special Purpose Examination (or SPEX) of the Federation of State Medical Boards of the United States is available year-round. For additional information, contact the Federation of State Medical Boards at PO Box 619850, Dallas, TX 75261-9850, or telephone (817) 868-4000.

BOARD MEETING DATES

February 21-22, 2013 (Hearings)
March 20-22, 2013 (Full Board)
April 11-12, 2013 (Hearings)
May 15-17, 2013 (Full Board)

Meeting agendas, minutes and a full list of meeting dates can be found on the Board's website ncmedboard.org

Visit the Board's website at www.ncmedboard.org to change your address online. The Board requests all licensees maintain a current address on file with the Board office. Changes of address should be submitted to the Board within 30 days of a move.

New Corporation/PLLC "home" lets users manage NCMB corporate files online

The NCMB is pleased to introduce a new online home for professional corporations and professional limited liability companies. The Board's corporate registrants can use this portal to manage many tasks online, including changes of address, changes of registered agent, changes in shareholder roster and requests for certification for new shareholders. The Board hopes automating these tasks will make it easier for corporations to manage their businesses and ensure they are in compliance with corporate rules, laws and filing requirements.

Access the corporations portal at <http://tinyurl.com/aepfh5t> or visit www.ncmedboard.org, go to Professional Resources and select "Corporation/PLLC Info Page" from the navigation menu.

